

Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address

☐ Individual appearing without attorney
☒ Attorney for: Karen Sue Naylor, Chapter 11 Trustee

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SANTA ANA DIVISION**

CASE NO.: 8:15-bk-13008 TA
CHAPTER: 7

☒ **INTERIM FEES AND/OR EXPENSES**
(11 U.S.C. § 331)

DATE:11/07/2017
TIME: 11:00 am
COURTROOM: 5B
PLACE: 411 West Fourth Street
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Debtor(s).

- This form is optional. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

6. Total fees allowed or paid to Applicant to date (including retainers and prior approved fee applications): \$ 424,242.50
- a. Retainer received: \$ 0.00
- b. Retainer remaining as of the date of this Application: \$ 0.00
- c. Total amount requested in all prior applications: \$ 424,242.50
- d. Total amount actually paid pursuant to prior approved applications: \$ 424,242.50
- e. Total amount currently due but unpaid pursuant to prior approved applications: \$ 0.00
- f. Total amount allowed but reserved pending final fee application: \$ 0.00

7. **Summary of Requested Fees:** (attach detailed supporting documentation to this Application)

Professional Person's Name	Hourly Rate	X	Total Hours this Person	=	Total Fees this Person
a. Todd C. Ringstad	\$ 650.00	X	.70	=	\$ 455.00
b. Nanette D. Sanders	\$ 650.00	X	152.90	=	\$ 99,385.00
c. Nanette D. Sanders	\$ 625.00	X	193.50	=	\$ 120,937.50
d. Christopher A. Minier	\$ 450.00	X	352.80	=	\$ 158,760.00
e. Brian Nelson	\$ 375.00	X	141.20	=	\$ 52,950.00
f. Brian Nelson	\$ 350.00	X	139.70	=	\$ 48,895.00

- g. ☒ Continued on attached page
8. The hourly rates above are the same rates charged by the above professionals for non-bankruptcy services except as follows: N/A ☐ See attached page

9. Bonus requested (final fee applications only): \$ 0.00
(attach Declaration and Memorandum of Points and Authorities justifying bonus)

10. **TOTAL FEES REQUESTED THIS APPLICATION:** \$ 481,382.50

11. Total expenses paid to Applicant to date (including retainers and prior approved expense applications): \$ 2,532.94

12. Summary of Requested Expense Reimbursement: *(attach detailed supporting documentation to this Application)*

Type of Expense	Reimbursement Requested this Application
a. Court Call	\$ 362.50
b. Document Reproduction	\$ 1,141.40
c. Facsimile	\$ 76.40
d. Mileage	\$ 67.20
e. Misc.	\$ 1,177.50
f. Pacer	\$ 351.90
g. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continued on Attached Page	

13. TOTAL EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTED THIS APPLICATION: \$3,698.75

14. Applicant submits the following in support of the Application herein pursuant to LBR 2016-1 (*specify*):
Declaration of Nanette D. Sanders

15. Total number of attached pages of supporting documentation: 357

16. Applicant declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing Application and all attached supporting documentation are true and correct and accurately reflect services rendered and expenses incurred.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

10/13/2017 Nanette D. Sanders /s/ Nanette D. Sanders
Date *Printed Name* *Signature*

ANNA'S LINENS, INC.
Case No. 8:15-bk-13008 TA

Fee Summary

	Hours	Rate	Total
Todd C. Ringstad	.70	650.00	\$455.00
Nanette D. Sanders	152.90	650.00	\$99,385.00
Nanette D. Sanders	193.50	625.00	\$120,937.50
Nanette D. Sanders	.30	0.00	\$0.00
Christopher A. Minier	352.80	450.00	\$158,760.00
Christopher A. Minier	21.60	0.00	\$0.00
Brian Nelson	141.20	375.00	\$52,950.00
Brian Nelson	139.70	350.00	\$48,895.00
Brian Nelson	.10	0.00	\$0.00
Total			\$481,382.50

Expense Summary

Court Call	\$362.50
Document Reproduction	\$1,141.40
Facsimile Fees	\$76.40
Filing Fee	\$61.00
Messenger	\$100.00
Mileage	\$67.20
Misc.	\$1,177.50
Pacer	\$351.90
Parking	\$25.00
Postage	\$335.85
Total	\$3,698.75

DECLARATION OF NANETTE D. SANDERS

I, Nanette D. Sanders, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner with the law firm of Ringstad & Sanders LLP (“R&S” and/or the “Firm”), general insolvency counsel for Karen Sue Naylor, the duly appointed and acting Trustee for the Chapter 7 (the “Trustee”) estate of Anna’s Linens, Inc. (“Debtor”). The matters set forth herein are of my own personal knowledge and, if called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify as to the truth of the matters set forth herein, except those matters set forth on information and belief, as to which I am informed and believe they are true.

2. R&S is a law partnership with offices in Irvine, California. All attorneys who appear in this case are duly licensed to practice in the State of California and various United States District Courts, including the Central District of California. R&S specializes in insolvency, bankruptcy, and corporate reorganizations, as well as related litigation.

3. On or about March 31, 2016, the Firm filed and served an Application to Employ Ringstad & Sanders LLP as counsel to Chapter 7 Trustee, Karen Sue Naylor, Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declarations of Karen Sue Naylor and Nanette D. Sanders in Support Thereof (the “Employment Application”) [Docket No. 1459]. An Order Authorizing the employment of the Firm as counsel for the Trustee was entered by the Court on April 27, 2016 [Docket No. 1493].

4. On or about May 8, 2017, the Firm filed and served its first interim application for allowance of attorney’s fees and reimbursement of costs (the “First Interim Application”) [Docket No. 1880]. The First Interim Application addressed the period beginning March 31, 2016 through September 30, 2016, and sought fees in the amount of \$424,242.50 and costs in the amount of \$2,532.94. The First Interim Application was granted by way of order entered June 7, 2017 [Docket No. 1930], and the interim fees and expenses awarded thereby have been paid to the Firm in full.

5. This application is the second interim application for allowance of attorney’s fees and reimbursement of costs submitted by R&S. This application covers the period beginning October 1, 2016 through April 30, 2017 (the “Application Period”). During the Application

1 Period, R&S incurred fees in the amount of **\$481,382.50** and costs in the amount of **\$3,698.75**.

2 The Firm has not received any retainers in connection with its representation of the Trustee.

3 6. No understanding exists for sharing of compensation received or to be received by
4 R&S for services rendered in this case, except that the compensation received herein shall be
5 shared among the members and associates of R&S.

6 7. Based upon my review of the case docket maintained in this proceeding and
7 information gathered during the course of the Firm's representation of the Trustee, I am
8 informed and believe that on June 14, 2015 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary
9 petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11.

10 8. On or about March 2, 2016 the Debtor filed a motion to convert the case to one
11 under Chapter 7 [Docket No. 1379]. On March 30, 2016 an order granting the motion was
12 entered by the Court [Docket No. 1455].

13 9. The Trustee was appointed on March 31, 2016 [Docket No. 1458].

14 10. The Section 341(a) meeting was held on May 18, 2016.

15 11. Copies of R&S's billing statements for all services rendered during the
16 "Application Period" are attached hereto collectively as Exhibit "1". The billing statements set
17 forth in detail the time spent and the specific professional services rendered by R&S.

18 12. A true and correct copy of the Firm Profile of Ringstad & Sanders LLP is
19 attached hereto as Exhibit "2." The Firm Profile contains accurate descriptions of the education
20 background and experience of each of the professionals rendering service during this period.
21 For the convenience of the Court, set forth below is a brief narrative history of the services
22 rendered to the Trustee during the Application Period. The narrative describes services

23 13. For the convenience of the Court, set forth below is a brief narrative history of the
24 services rendered to the Trustee during the Application Period. The narrative describes services
25 in the following categories¹:

26
27 ¹ The Firm has established additional billing categories which may have been described in the First
28 Interim Application but not included herein if services were not rendered and charged to such
category/categories during the current Application Period.

- 1 a. 401K;
- 2 b. Administration;
- 3 c. Adversary v. Board/Lenders;
- 4 d. American Dawn;
- 5 e. American Textile Adversary
- 6 f. Asset Analysis;
- 7 g. Baltic Linen Adversary;
- 8 h. Bari Textile;
- 9 i. Beatrice Home Fashions;
- 10 j. Bess Home Fashions;
- 11 k. Bid Protection Reserve Account;
- 12 l. Biddeford Blankets;
- 13 m. Boston Warehouse Trading Adversary;
- 14 n. Brentwood Originals;
- 15 o. CHF Industries;
- 16 p. Candyrific LLC;
- 17 q. Chapter 11 Fee Applications;
- 18 r. Claims;
- 19 s. Columbia Frame;
- 20 t. Croscill Home Adversary;
- 21 u. Declaratory Relief Adv Salus;
- 22 v. Deepwater Horizon;
- 23 w. Deferred Compensation Plan;
- 24 x. Elrene Home Fashions;
- 25 y. Ex Cell Adversary;
- 26 z. Fee/Employment;
- 27 aa. Franco Manufacturing;
- 28 bb. Ginsey Adversary;

1	cc.	Glenoit Adversary;
2	dd.	Heritage Candy Company;
3	ee.	Hollander Sleep Products, LLC;
4	ff.	Home Fashions International;
5	gg.	Home Trends International;
6	hh.	Housewares International;
7	ii.	Idea Nuova;
8	jj.	Ivie and Associates;
9	kk.	Jay Franco & Sons;
10	ll.	Knud Nielson;
11	mm.	Libbey Glass, Inc.;
12	nn.	Liberty Mutual;
13	oo.	Lifetime Brand Adversary;
14	pp.	Louisville Bedding;
15	qq.	Maytex Mills;
16	rr.	Mohawk Rug & Textile;
17	ss.	Nanshing Preference Adversary;
18	tt.	Natco Products;
19	uu.	P&A Marketing;
20	vv.	Panda Home Fashions;
21	ww.	Performance Team;
22	xx.	Preference Analysis;
23	yy.	Revere Mills Adversary;
24	zz.	Royale Linens, Inc.;
25	aaa.	Sales Tax Claims;
26	bbb.	Salus Appeal of Gubner Employment Order;
27	ccc.	Sam Hedaya Corporation;
28	ddd.	Sander Sale Enterprises;

eee. Saturday Knight;
fff. Sharadha Terry Products;
ggg. Splash Home;
hhh. Spring Valley Floral;
iii. Taxes;
jjj. Texas Tax Claims;
kkk. Triangle Home Fashions;
lll. Vantage Crown Adversary;
mmm. Vara Home Fashions;
nnn. Vendor Motion to Compel Return of Attorneys Fees;
ooo. WARN Act Adversary; and,
ppp. Welcome Industrial.

A. 401k.

14. Under this billing category, the Firm included time expended in connection with the resolution of assertions by the U.S. Department of Labor (the “Department”) that the Debtor had failed, pre-petition, to timely remit employee contributions to the 401k plan maintained for the benefit of the Debtor’s employees. As of the date of conversion, the claims of the Department had been tendered to the carrier providing coverage to the officers of the Debtor responsible for administering the plan. The Trustee coordinated with former representatives of the Debtor to provide information requested by the carrier, prepared the necessary motion to approve a resolution of the estate’s claims, and ultimately the transmittal of insurance proceeds in satisfaction of the claims asserted by the Department to the administrator of the 401k plan. The matter was fully resolved in November, 2016.

15. A majority of the Firm’s services in this category were rendered during the period addressed by the Firm’s First Interim Fee Application. During the current Application Period, R&S attorneys expended .5 hours, totaling \$317.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$635.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	.30	187.50	625.00
April 2017	.20	130.00	650.00
TOTAL:	.50	317.50	635.00

B. ADMINISTRATION

16. This billing category was used during the initial stage of the case, before a sufficient understanding was had to establish primary billing categories. Time was expended addressing creditor inquiries following closure of the Debtor's operations and conversion of the case, gathering necessary information from third party sources and the case docket regarding pending matters requiring immediate attention, initial communications with the remaining Debtor representatives and Debtor's counsel regarding the history of the case and pending issues, and preparation of the Debtor's 1099 Report. Going forward, the category has been used for time related to miscellaneous matters that do not warrant a separate billing category, including general communications with creditors and parties in interest, preparation of notices of stay, maintenance of the Debtor's documents in an off site storage facility (Docu-Trust), maintenance of documents on a Dropbox account, general maintenance of electronic data, preparation of portions of the Trustee's budget motions and addressing bonding issues, motions for approval of cash disbursements, annual reports to the Office of the United States Trustee, etc.

17. R&S attorneys expended 13.80 hours, totaling \$5,835.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$422.83) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	2.20	962.50	437.50
November 2016	3.50	1,307.50	373.57
December 2016	1.50	580.00	388.67

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	2.70	1,165.00	431.48
February 2017	2.20	1,017.50	462.50
March 2017	.80	327.50	409.38
April 2017	.90	475.00	527.78
TOTAL:	13.80	5,835.00	422.83

C. ADVERSARY V. BOARD/LENDERS.

18. As set forth in the First Interim Application, prior to conversion, a group of the Debtor's larger vendors or suppliers (the "Vendor Plaintiffs"), commenced an adversary proceeding (the "Adversary" or "Adversary Proceeding") against members of the Debtor's board of directors and officers (the "Individual Defendants"), and the Debtor's pre and post-petition lenders, including Salus Capital Partners, LLC (collectively, "Salus"). By the underlying complaint, the Vendor Plaintiffs asserted, among others, claims for fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and breach of contract. Certain of these claims were derivative in nature. Consistent with the terms of a tolling agreement negotiated pre-conversion by the Committee with Salus, the Trustee had an opportunity to evaluate the claims asserted via the Adversary and determine whether the estate should participate therein directly as a plaintiff. To facilitate the Trustee's evaluation of the Adversary, the Firm engaged in extensive discussions with counsel for the Vendor Plaintiffs, counsel for the Individual Defendants, and counsel for Salus, as well as an extended review of voluminous documents, including electronic data, pleadings filed to date in the Adversary, including pending motions to dismiss filed by the Individual Defendants and Salus, research/memoranda/mediation briefs prepared by the Committee. The Trustee ultimately concluded that the claims of the estate alleged (derivatively) in the complaint had merit and elected to join the Adversary Proceeding. Concurrently with the decision to participate in the Adversary Proceeding, the Trustee determined it to be appropriate to engage counsel for the Vendor Plaintiffs as special litigation co-counsel for the Trustee. The Firm worked with the Trustee to negotiate and document the terms of this proposed engagement given the unusual and

1 complex issues associated with such proposed employment and sharing of proceeds between the
2 parties.

3 19. During the Application period, the Firm worked with special litigation co-counsel
4 to prepare a second amended adversary complaint that met with the Trustee's approval. The
5 amended complaint was filed on October 3, 2016. Thereafter the Firm has maintained regular
6 communications with Joseph Swisher, the Debtor's former Vice President of Finance, whom the
7 Trustee has engaged as a paraprofessional per an order of the Court, to assist her with the
8 maintenance, gathering and review of documents and data, in the case in general, and in
9 connection with the adversary proceeding. The Firm has worked with Mr. Swisher to identify
10 and categorize documents and data relevant to the adversary proceeding, both from the Debtor's
11 records maintained in storage, and from data preserved from the Debtor's server following the
12 sale of all of the Debtor's assets and conversion of the case to one under Chapter 7. Mr.
13 Swisher's familiarity with the content of the Debtor's books and records, and the manner in
14 which such records were maintained in the ordinary course or preserved prior to conversion, has
15 allowed the Firm to efficiently review many thousands of documents and electronic
16 communications in a relatively brief period of time. The Firm has also coordinated the gathering
17 of documents and information from third party sources, including professionals formerly
18 engaged by the Debtor (either pre-petition or post-petition, pre-conversion).

19 20. In addition to the above evidentiary issues, the Firm has remained in regular
20 communication with the various parties to the adversary proceeding to address privilege issues as
21 they have arisen, participate in substantive and procedural discussions, and encourage all parties
22 to engage in meaningful settlement discussions at every opportunity. The Firm has participated
23 in several comprehensive strategy sessions with the Trustee and special litigation counsel to
24 ensure that the Estate's interests are properly and adequately addressed throughout the
25 prosecution of the adversary proceeding.

26 21. Following the filing of the amended complaint, all Defendants filed motions to
27 dismiss the respective claims asserted against them by the Plaintiffs. The Firm assisted with the
28 evaluation of these motions and the preparation of omnibus oppositions to each. The motions

were heard by the Court on March 30, 2017, with portions of the motions granted and the balance denied. The Firm thereafter participated in internal discussions and analysis regarding the potential filing of a further amended complaint to address the issues raised in the motions to dismiss. Following entry of orders on the motions to dismiss, the insider defendants filed a motion to amend the order relating to their motion, which required further assistance from the Firm in evaluating the motion and formulating an appropriate response thereto.

22. The Firm has continued to participate as co-counsel for the Trustee where necessary and appropriate, attending hearings and status conferences via CourtCall whenever possible. The matter remains quite contentious and more attention has been required to address the on-going disputes between the parties than was hoped at the time the Trustee joined in the adversary proceeding.

23. R&S attorneys expended 119.40 hours, totaling \$73,285.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$613.78) for services in this category. Given that the time records for this category of services contain information that may lead to the disclosure of attorney work product, or the ability to ascertain the Trustee's legal strategy in this acrimonious litigation, the descriptions of services have been redacted where appropriate. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	26.60	16,570.00	622.93
November 2016	22.70	13,830.00	609.25
December 2016	7.60	4,722.50	621.38
January 2017	8.50	5,312.50	625.00
February 2017	9.40	5,312.50	565.16
March 2017	28.80	17,350.00	602.43
April 2017	15.80	10,187.50	644.78
TOTAL:	119.40	73,285.00	613.78

D. AMERICAN DAWN.

24. Prior to conversion of the case to one under Chapter, the Debtor performed a preference analysis regarding pre-petition payments made to vendor American Dawn. The Debtor made a formal demand upon American Dawn for repayment of what were alleged to be preferential transfers. The Debtor and American Dawn were finalizing a negotiated settlement when the case was converted. The Debtor informed the Trustee of the alleged preference claim and the terms of the proposed settlement, and recommended that the Trustee accept the settlement proposal. The Trustee independently reviewed the facts and issues, and finalized the proposed settlement. A motion to approve same was filed on or about May 18, 2016 and approved by the Court by way of order entered June 14, 2016 [Docket No. 1541]. The approved settlement provided the estate with free and clear funds in the amount of \$110,000.

25. This matter was largely concluded during the application period of the First Interim Application. The Firm provided nominal final services in this category during the application period equal to .40 hours, totaling \$172.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$431.25), and this billing category has now been closed. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	.10	45.00	450.00
February 2017	.30	127.50	425.00
TOTAL:	.40	172.50	431.25

E. AMERICAN TEXTILE ADVERSARY.

26. Pre-conversion, the Debtor initiated an adversary proceeding against American Textile asserting a preference claim. The adversary remained pending as of conversion. The Firm undertook a comprehensive analysis of the claims asserted and the defenses raised, considered the substance of settlement discussions that occurred pre-conversion, stipulated to several extensions of time for the defendant to answer, and recommenced settlement efforts.

During the course of her analysis, the Trustee determined that the Debtor's preference analysis was flawed and did not properly consider the defenses established by American Textile². Although the Debtor asserted a preference recovery claim in the amount of \$596,013.77, the matter was ultimately settled for the sum of \$12,000 given available defenses, with the settlement approved by the Court by way of order entered February 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1789]. This matter has now been concluded.

27. R&S attorneys expended 11.60 hours, totaling \$5,210.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$449.14) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	3.70	1,655.00	447.30
November 2016	2.40	1,080.00	450.00
December 2016	1.10	495.00	450.00
January 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
February 2017	2.30	1,035.00	450.00
March 2017	1.20	540.00	450.00
April 2017	.10	45.00	450.00
TOTAL:	11.60	5,210.00	449.14

F. ASSET ANALYSIS.

28. Under this billing category, the Firm included time expended in evaluating with or on behalf of the Trustee potential assets available for administration. These efforts included:

(a) gathering necessary information from third parties, the case docket, pre-conversion

341(a) meetings, and various pleadings to facilitate the Trustee's analysis.

(b) communications with representatives of the Debtor, Debtor's counsel, counsel for the Committee, and various creditors.

² It should be noted that this was the first pending preference adversary focused upon by the Trustee following her appointment. As a result, the time expended in this category reflects the learning curve experienced in understanding the Debtor's pre-conversion preference analysis, the data and documents available to the Trustee to support that analysis, and the errors uncovered as a result.

- (c) waterfall analysis prepared by the Debtor, and schedules of potential recovery claims and preference analysis.
- (d) evaluated the ability of the Trustee to pursue certain claims in light of previous orders of the Court in the case, and newly issued decisions of various courts, including *In re Jevic*.
- (e) efforts to understand available cash balances were complicated by multiple pre-conversion orders that established a myriad of reserve accounts for specific creditor constituencies, some of which are subject to the continuing lien claim asserted by Salus, and others which are not.
- (f) review of various checks received by Trustee to determine whether such funds were free and clear or remained subject to the lien of Salus.
- (g) review of pre-petition bonds and deposits to determine whether remaining deposits subject to recovery, prepare appropriate demands and address issues regarding same.
- (h) communicated with various third party creditors in receipt of pre-petition deposits in an effort to recover all or a portion of same;
- (i) coordinated the turnover of files from various professionals engaged by the Debtor pre and post-petition.
- (j) analyzed the services provided to the Debtor pre and post-petition by TRS Recovery Services to collect upon bad checks from customers and provided recommendation to the Trustee regarding continued utilization of such services.
- (k) communicated with third parties expressing an interest in potentially acquiring assets of the Estate.
- (l) prepared a motion to extend the applicable Section 546 deadline to ensure the Trustee has an adequate opportunity to investigate and prosecute valid recovery claims.
- (m) engaged with special insurance coverage counsel and provided needed documents/information for analysis and claim evaluation.

29. R&S attorneys expended 26.10 hours, totaling \$13,687.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$524.43) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	2.40	1,005.00	418.75
November 2016	.50	312.50	625.00
December 2016	1.10	687.50	625.00
January 2017	.60	375.00	625.00
February 2017	2.20	1,100.00	500.00
March 2017	12.60	6,980.00	553.97
April 2017	6.70	3,227.50	481.72
TOTAL:	26.10	13,687.50	524.43

G. BALTIC LINEN ADVERSARY.

30. Pre-conversion, on November 17, 2015, the Debtor commenced an adversary proceeding against Baltic Linen ("Baltic"), seeking the recovery of alleged preferential payments to this pre-petition vendor in the approximate amount of \$616,000 and for disallowance of claims. Baltic filed an answer on December 17, 2015, and on March 29, 2016, the day before the order was entered converting the case to Chapter 7, filed a motion for summary judgment. This motion was set for hearing on May 21, 2016. On April 19, 2016, the Trustee filed a stipulation to continue the hearing to June 9, 2016, which stipulation was approved by order entered April 20, 2016. After the exchange and review of documents, and considerable discussion with Baltic's counsel, the Trustee recognized the substantial defenses available to Baltic and as a result stipulated that the only remaining issue in the adversary proceeding was whether \$45,000 of the monies paid to Baltic pre-petition were paid in the ordinary course of business. Thereafter, on July 12, 2016, Baltic filed a motion to amend the motion for summary judgment, a motion that the Trustee opposed on August 8, 2016. Settlement discussions continued and a

1 resolution was ultimately reached. The settlement, which was approved by the Court on
2 February 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1789], resulted in the payment of \$15,000 to the estate. The
3 adversary was subsequently dismissed by way of stipulation and order [Adv. Docket No. 66].

4 31. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 10.40 hours, totaling
5 \$4,680.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is
6 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	5.70	2,565.00	450.00
November 2016	.30	135.00	450.00
December 2016	.70	315.00	450.00
January 2017	.90	405.00	450.00
February 2017	.70	315.00	450.00
March 2017	2.10	945.00	450.00
TOTAL:	10.40	4,680.00	450.00

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 **H. BARI TEXTILE.**

18 32. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
19 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Bari Textile, and preparation of a
20 comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a
21 preference adversary proceeding. These settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and,
22 although outside the Application Period, an adversary complaint was filed against Bari Textile
23 on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01126).

24 33. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.70 hours, totaling
25 \$1,197.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$443.52) for services in this category. Set forth below is
26 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:
27
28

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
February 2017	2.60	1,162.50	447.12
TOTAL:	2.70	1,197.50	443.52

I. BEATRICE HOME FASHIONS.

34. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Beatrice Home Fashions, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. These settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Beatrice Home Fashions on April 25, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01058).

35. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 5.60 hours, totaling \$2,640.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$292.86) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
February 2017	3.20	1,432.50	447.66
March 2017	.50	225.00	450.00
April 2017	1.80	947.50	526.39
TOTAL:	5.60	2,640.00	292.86

J. BESS HOME FASHIONS.

36. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Bess Home Fashions, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the

commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. Although outside the current Application Period, these settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Bess Home Fashions on May 31, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01084).

37. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.20 hours, totaling \$975.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$443.18) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	2.10	937.50	443.18
TOTAL:	2.20	975.00	443.18

K. BID PROTECTION RESERVE ACCOUNT.

38. The Final Order Granting Emergency Motion by Debtor for Entry of Interim and Final Orders: (A) Authorizing Assumption of Agency Agreement; (B) Authorizing Sale Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 363(b) and (f); (C) Approving the Store Closing Sale Guidelines; (D) Authorizing the Debtor to Abandon; and (E) Authorizing Lease Rejection Procedures with Respect to the Closing Stores Pursuant to Section 365 (the "GOB Sale Order") [Docket No. 240], required the Debtor to establish a segregated account (the "Bid Protection Reserve Account") in the amount of \$800,000 for the purpose of paying what the GOB Sale Order defines as the "Tiger/Yellen Stalking Horse Bid Protections" upon Court approval of such amounts. Pre-conversion, the Debtor filed its Motion to Compromise Controversy with Tiger Capital Group and Yellen Partners re Bid Protections (the "Tiger Compromise Motion") [Docket No. 984], which motion was granted pursuant to order of the Court entered on October 9, 2015 [Docket No. 1083]. Consistent with the Tiger Compromise Motion, (i) funds were released from the Bid Protection Reserve Account leaving the sum of \$520,492.42 on hand in the account as of the date of conversion, and (ii) Tiger Capital Group ("Tiger") was granted a \$130,000 contingent claim as follows: (a) if the Debtor's secured creditors are not paid in full, then Tiger will receive an additional \$130,000 from the Bid

Protection Reserve Account, and the Estate will receive \$390,000 from such reserve account; or, (b) if the Debtor's secured creditors are paid in full, then the Estate will receive the funds in the Bid Protection Reserve Account. It is the Trustee's understanding that the disputed lien claim of Salus extends to the funds maintained in the Bid Protection Reserve Account, to the extent any funds therein are ultimately distributed to the Estate.

39. Following an analysis of the assets of the Estate and the secured claims asserted against such assets, the Trustee concluded that it is extremely likely that all secured creditors have been or will be paid in full, thus entitling the Estate to the funds on hand in the Bid Protection Reserve Account. In an effort to avoid a protracted delay in the administration of these funds, the Firm endeavored to resolve the contingent claim of Tiger, permit the distribution of the funds reserved to the Estate, and thereafter the closing of the Bid Protection Reserve Account. These efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and the matter is being held in abeyance pending a final order of the Court confirming that the claims of Salus have been paid in full.

40. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 12.60 hours, totaling \$5,987.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$475.20) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	2.20	1,375.00	625.00
January 2017	4.50	1,822.50	405.00
February 2017	3.90	1,792.50	459.62
March 2017	.40	232.50	581.25
April 2017	1.60	765.00	478.13
TOTAL:	12.60	5,987.50	475.20

L. BIDDEFORD BLANKETS.

41. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Biddeford Blankets, and

1 preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the
2 commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. These settlement efforts were ultimately
3 unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Beatrice Home Fashions on June 1,
4 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01088).

5 42. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 1.30 hours, totaling
6 \$570.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$438.46) for services in this category. Set forth below is a
7 chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	.10	37.50	375.00
March 2017	1.20	532.50	443.75
TOTAL:	1.30	570.00	438.46

8
9
10
11
12
13
14 **M. BOSTON WAREHOUSE TRADING ADVERSARY.**

15 43. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint to avoid preferential
16 transfers to Boston Warehouse Trading ("Boston") in the approximate amount of \$242,000 and
17 for disallowance of claims. As of the date of conversion, the adversary remained pending with
18 no answer filed. The Trustee and Boston stipulated to further extend Boston's deadline to
19 respond and to continue the pending status conference so that settlement discussions could be
20 had. Following a review of the Debtor's preference analysis and receipt of documents from
21 Boston, the Trustee determined that the Debtor's analysis was materially flawed (as with other
22 preference adversaries commenced by the Debtor pre-conversion), with Boston having
23 established complete defenses to the preference claim. The matter was ultimately settled for the
24 sum of \$5,000.00, which settlement was approved by the Court on February 8, 2017 [Docket No.
25 1789]. The adversary was subsequently dismissed on March 9, 2017 Adv. Docket No. 30].

26 44. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 8.40 hours, totaling
27 \$3,780.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is
28 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	4.80	2,160.00	450.00
November 2016	.70	315.00	450.00
December 2016	.90	405.00	450.00
January 2017	.60	270.00	450.00
February 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
March 2017	.60	270.00	450.00
TOTAL:	8.40	3,780.00	450.00

N. BRENTWOOD ORIGINALS.

45. Nominal services billed to this billing category to date relate to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Brentwood Originals.

46. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended .10 hours, totaling \$35.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$350.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
TOTAL:	.10	35.00	350.00

O. CHF INDUSTRIES.

47. Post-conversion, the Trustee has worked with her accountant, Don Fife, and the Firm to undertake a comprehensive preference analysis as to all payments made by the Debtor during the relevant periods. Such an analysis has been prepared as to vendor CHF Industries, Inc. ("CHF"). This analysis was complicated by the fact that CHF and the Debtor engaged in three separate types of transactions that required separate analysis for purposes of any ordinary course of business defense. These three categories can be described as (i) general, (ii) drop ship

and (iii) direct transactions. Upon completion of the analysis, the Trustee prepared a demand letter and offer to compromise. In response, CHF denied that all or any portion of the payments it received from the Debtor pre-petition are recoverable by the estate as preferential transfers.

48. On April 25, 2017, the Trustee filed a complaint against CHF to avoid and recover preferential transfers, as Adv. Proc. No. 8:17-ap-01059 TA. By way of the Complaint, the Trustee sought to recover approximately \$3,737,871.86, which the Trustee is informed was paid by the Debtor to the Vendor during the 90-day period preceding the commencement of the Debtor's Bankruptcy Case, and which the Trustee contended constituted a voidable preference under the provisions of 11 U.S.C. §§ 547(b) and 550(a). Although outside the Applicable period, based upon the defenses to the preference claim asserted by CHF, the particular facts involved with respect to the potential claims against this vendor, the likelihood of success and the cost of litigation, CHF and the Trustee ultimately resolved the matter in August 2017 for the payment of \$215,000.00 by CHF to the Estate. The motion to approve the proposed settlement was filed with the Court on August 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1982], and granted by way of order entered September 21, 2017 [Docket No. 1990]. The Trustee has received payment in full of the settlement funds.

49. During the Application period, R&S attorneys expended 18.70 hours, totaling \$8,515.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$455.35) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	.80	340.00	425.00
January 2017	12.50	5,677.50	454.20
February 2017	3.60	1,590.00	441.67
March 2017	.40	180.00	450.00
April 2017	1.40	727.50	519.64
TOTAL:	18.70	8,515.00	455.35

P. CANDYRIFIC LLC.

50. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Candyrific LLC, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. These settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Candyrific on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01127).

51. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.30 hours, totaling \$1,027.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$446.74) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	.10	37.50	375.00
March 2017	1.90	855.00	450.00
April 2017	.30	135.00	450.00
TOTAL:	2.30	1,027.50	446.74

Q. CHAPTER 11 FEE APPLICATIONS.

52. Following conversion of the case to one under Chapter 7, the Trustee was advised by the various professionals engaged by the Debtor and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") that substantial fees and reimbursable expenses were owing to such professionals. Some of these fees and expenses were the subject of previously entered interim fee orders, others were not. Following service by the Clerk of the Court of the Chapter 7 general claims bar date notice, former financial advisor to the Committee, EisnerAmper, LLP, filed a final fee application and scheduled same for hearing. The application was set on insufficient notice and ultimately the proposed hearing date was withdrawn. In the interim, the Firm communicated with former counsel for the Debtor in an effort to avoid the random filing of final Chapter 11 fee applications until such time as a Chapter 11 claims bar date could be set and

1 noticed and all claims reviewed by the Trustee in an orderly fashion. Although outside the
2 current Application Period, the Trustee filed a motion for Chapter 11 claims bar date on August
3 30, 2017, seeking a November 15, 2017 bar date [Docket No. 1984].

4 53. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.40 hours, totaling
5 \$2,155.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$633.82) for services in this category. Set forth below is
6 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	3.20	2,025.00	632.81
April 2017	.20	130.00	650.00
TOTAL:	3.40	2,155.00	633.82

7
8
9
10
11
12
13 **R. CLAIMS.**

14 54. Under this billing category, the Firm included time expended in connection with
15 the following general tasks, noting that as matters develop, additional billing categories have
16 been created for those requiring expanded services:

- 17 (a) the review of various claims asserted against the estate, typically following the receipt
18 of a formal proof of claim, demand for payment, notice of default or delinquency, etc.
19 As to these claims the Firm prepared standard response templates for use in specified
20 circumstances and notices of stay, and distributed same as appropriate;
- 21 (b) communications with creditors following their receipt of the notice of conversion and
22 other Court communications, particularly as to their inquiries regarding the need for
23 filing additional proofs of claim in light of conversion;
- 24 (c) coordination of efforts to update the Claims Register maintained by the Clerk of the
25 Court following the termination of Epiq, the pre-conversion claims administrator.
26 The order authorizing the termination of Epiq required the original claims in the
27 possession of Epiq to be sent to the Clerk, however all such claims were transmitted
28 to the Firm;

- (d) preparation of a motion for administrative (professional) claims bar date. The Debtor and the Committee had engaged multiple professionals during the course of the Chapter 11 proceeding. Interim fee applications had been filed but final applications will be necessary before conclusion of the case. In an effort to assist the Clerk's office in managing the claims docket, the Trustee intends to file this motion after the May 8, 2017 general claims bar date passes and all claims filed in response thereto are docketed;
- (e) review/analysis of the Debtor's claims reconciliation, related spreadsheet and supporting documentation;
- (f) finalized and filed the necessary motion to have the services of Epiq as claims administrator terminated, communicated with the Clerk's office regarding the management and processing of claims on a going forward basis, communicated with Epiq regarding its post-petition administrative claim for unpaid services;
- (g) addressed subpoenas, surveys and request for information/documents from various governmental agencies seeking information relating to pending labor claims (pending in non-bankruptcy forums);
- (h) continued efforts to have the Debtor removed from various tax rolls in an effort to avoid future billings and tax notices given the Debtor's pre-conversion cessation of business in various jurisdictions;
- (i) coordination with Trustee's accountant regarding status of final tax return preparation where needed so as to address multiple administrative claims filed by taxing authorities;
- (j) evaluated the "sales tax reserve fund" established by pre-conversion Court order regarding specific trust fund tax claims asserted by specific taxing authorities and initial communications with taxing authorities regarding same. A separate billing category was subsequently established given the scope of work required to address these claims;

- (k) evaluated the status of the multitude of Section 503(b)(9) claims asserted against the Estate. A separate billing category was subsequently established given the scope of work required to address these claims; and,
- (l) coordination on an on-going basis with a former employee of the Debtor to obtain information and documents needed regarding specific administrative claims requiring attention.

55. R&S attorneys expended 41.70 hours, totaling \$18,047.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$432.79) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	4.60	2,162.50	470.11
November 2016	6.40	2,625.00	410.16
December 2016	7.50	3,065.00	408.67
January 2017	9.00	3,562.50	395.83
February 2017	2.90	1,417.50	488.79
March 2017	6.90	3,027.50	438.77
April 2017	4.40	2,187.50	497.16
TOTAL:	41.70	18,047.50	432.79

S. COLUMBIA FRAME.

56. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Columbia Frame, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful however the matter is on hold given that this vendor appears to be located in Montreal, Quebec and the Trustee is evaluating whether to expend the time and incur the expense of proceeding against a foreign company.

57. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 1.60 hours, totaling \$720.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	1.60	720.00	450.00
TOTAL:	1.60	720.00	450.00

T. CROSCILL HOME ADVERSARY.

58. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Croscill Home (“Croscill”) to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$1,101,000.00 and for disallowance of claims. On December 18, 2015 and as amended on December 22, 2015, an answer was filed along with a counterclaim. Prior to the conversion, the deadlines had been extended. On May 12, 2016, the Trustee filed an answer to the counterclaim. The Trustee and Croscill engaged in protracted negotiations aimed at reaching a consensual resolution of the Adversary Proceeding. After having examined information and documents provided by Croscill, as well as information and analyses provided by the Debtor and the Trustee’s accountant regarding the shipment of goods from Croscill to the Debtor, and the payment for such goods by the Debtor to Croscill, the Trustee and her counsel came to the conclusion that only a relatively small portion of the Transfers could be recovered due to Croscill’s entitlement to rely on the protection afforded by the “subsequent new value” defense set forth in Bankruptcy Code § 547(c)(4). Croscill also contends that if the “ordinary course of business” defense set forth in Bankruptcy Code § 547(c)(2) is considered together with the subsequent new value defense, the applicability of the defenses in combination completely preclude the Trustee’s ability to recover any of the Transfers. The Trustee subsequently negotiated a settlement with Croscill, which settlement was the subject of a motion to approve compromise to filed shortly with the Court on May 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891], and approved by way of order entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934]. The settlement provided for the payment to the estate of the sum of \$5,000.00 and

allowance of various claims filed by this vendor. The settlement funds have been received by the Trustee.

59. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 16.80 hours, totaling \$7,540.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$448.81) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	1.80	810.00	450.00
November 2016	.60	270.00	450.00
December 2016	.60	270.00	450.00
January 2017	7.90	3,535.00	447.47
February 2017	1.80	810.00	450.00
March 2017	1.20	540.00	450.00
April 2017	2.90	1,305.00	450.00
TOTAL:	16.80	7,540.00	448.81

U. DECLARATORY RELIEF ADV. SALUS.

60. Under this billing category, the Firm included time expended in connection with the evaluation of the disputed lien asserted by Salus (the Debtor's pre and post-petition lender) on various assets of the Estate. An adversary complaint, seeking declaratory relief as to Salus' disputed lien in funds of the estate totaling \$2,605,876.19, and an accounting, was filed on January 6, 2017, entitled *Complaint for: (1) Declaratory Relief as to the Validity and Extent of Alleged Pre and Post-Petition Liens in the Estate's "Rabbi" Trust; and (2) an Accounting of All Amounts Advanced to or for the Debtor, All Amounts Charged to the Debtor, and All Payments Received Pre- and Post-Petition by or on Behalf of the Debtor* [Adv. No. 8:17:ap-01002-TA; Adv. Docket No. 1]. Salus filed a motion to dismiss the adversary, which was ultimately set for hearing on June 8, 2017. Although outside the current Application period, following the Trustee's filing of an opposition to the motion to dismiss, the parties negotiated a resolution of the adversary proceeding and, on June 14, 2017, the Trustee filed a motion with the Court for

approval of such resolution [Docket No. 1950]. At a hearing which occurred on July 11, 2017, the Court granted the motion to compromise and an order approving the settlement was entered on July 14, 2017 [Docket No. 1964]. By virtue of the approved settlement, the sum of \$1,150,000 of the subject funds remained segregated for the benefit of Salus, with Salus' entitlement to same to be determined by the Court at a subsequent date. The balance of the funds which were the subject of the adversary proceeding, to wit, the approximate sum of \$1,455,000, was transferred from the reserve account, free and clear of the disputed lien of Salus.

61. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 31.50 hours, totaling \$16,605.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$527.14) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	6.90	4,312.50	625.00
December 2016	6.40	3,532.50	551.95
January 2017	4.00	1,812.50	453.13
February 2017	4.20	2,345.00	558.33
March 2017	3.90	2,095.00	537.18
April 2017	6.10	2,507.50	411.07
TOTAL:	31.50	16,605.00	527.14

V. DEEPWATER HORIZON.

62. Pre-petition, the Debtor filed a claim with BP Oil for economic damages arising from the 2010 oil spill commonly referred to as "Deepwater Horizon". The Debtor was one of many businesses whose operations were impacted by disrupted shipping in the Gulf of Mexico following the oil spill, namely the inability to receive scheduled shipments of goods for resale in its regional operations. The Debtor's claim has been under review by a court appointed administrator, with the Debtor's claim processor, WPI Recovery Professionals ("WPI"), monitoring the claim and providing claim support information as requested. The Firm has

1 evaluated the estate's claim, coordinated with the Trustee, a former employee of the Debtor and
2 WPI to ensure that all required forms are completed and all requested information supplied.
3 During the Application Period, a settlement offer was received from the Deepwater claims
4 administrator. After consultation with the Estate's claim processor, the Trustee declined the
5 offer. Thereafter, the Deepwater claims administrator made multiple requests for supplemental
6 support information and documents. The Firm has coordinated with the necessary parties to
7 gather such information (tax transcripts and accounting support information). Subsequent to the
8 Application Period, the Deepwater claims administrator has presented proposals to resolve 3 of
9 the 4 pending claims asserted by the Estate. The Trustee believes that these settlements are in
10 the best interest of the Estate and is in the process of submitting a motion to approve same. The
11 proposed settlements would result in net proceeds to the Estate of approximately \$175,000.

12 63. R&S attorneys expended 4.00 hours, totaling \$2,467.50 (for a blended hourly rate
13 of \$616.88) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees
14 incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	1.90	1,187.50	625.00
January 2017	.10	62.50	625.00
February 2017	.60	335.00	558.33
March 2017	.70	427.50	610.71
April 2017	.70	455.00	650.00
TOTAL:	4.00	2,467.50	616.88

23
24 **W. DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN**

25 64. Effective in or around January of 2008, the Debtor created Anna's Linens
26 Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan was amended and restated effective
27 December 1, 2008 (the "Amended Plan"). The purpose of the Amended Plan was to provide
28 specific benefits to directors and a select group of management or highly compensated

employees. The Amended Plan was unfunded for tax purposes and for purposes of Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), was not qualified within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. § 401(a), and was “unfunded and [was] maintained by an employer primarily for the purpose of providing deferred compensation for a select group of management or highly compensated employees” within the meaning of ERISA Sections 201(2), 301(a)(3), and 401(a)(1). Pre-petition the Debtor engaged the services of Newport Group to act as plan administrator (the “Plan Administrator”). Under the Amended Plan, the plan participants could elect to defer certain amounts of salary and other compensation and the Debtor could match certain amounts contributed by the plan participants. Per the terms of the Amended Plan, the plan participants had no legal or equitable rights, interests, or claims in any property or assets of the Debtor as a result of the Amended Plan. On or about March 2, 2010, the Debtor entered into a Master Trust Agreement for Deferred Compensation Plan (the “Master Trust Agreement”). The Master Trust Agreement evidenced the master trust (the “Trust”), which was established to hold and administer the Amended Plan’s assets. Pre-petition the Debtor engaged Wilmington Trust to act as the trustee of the Trust (the “Trust Trustee”). By virtue of a transaction unrelated to the Debtor, Matrix Trust Company was substituted in as the Trust Trustee.

65. Based upon information provided to the Trustee by the Debtor and the Plan Administrator, the Trustee came to understand that plan participants made contributions to the Amended Plan. Those funds were used to purchase an Individual Flexible Premium Variable Universal Life Insurance policy (the “Master Policy”). The Master Policy was purchased on September 11, 2007, the Debtor is the owner of the Master Policy, and is also the beneficiary. The Firm worked with the Trustee and the Trust Trustee to re-title the Master Policy in the name of the bankruptcy estate. Following retitling, the Trustee determined it to be in the best interests of the estate and its creditors to liquidate the Master Policy, and to thereafter dissolve the Trust and close the Amended Plan. A motion for authority to (i) liquidate the Master Policy, (ii) dissolve the Trust, (iii) close the Amended Plan, and (iv) pay administrative fees of the Trust Trustee and Plan administrator was filed to accomplish these goals, with a hearing held on said motion on October 11, 2016. The motion was granted by way of order entered October 24, 2016

[Docket No. 1634]. The estate realized gross proceeds from the liquidation of the Master Policy in the amount of \$2,389,720.21. Salus has asserted a lien in these proceeds and, although outside the scope of the Application Period, the Trustee has commenced a declaratory relief adversary proceeding for a determination as to Salus' lien claim. A separate billing category has been created for services in connection with such adversary proceeding (See, U. Declaratory Relief Adv. Salus section hereinabove) and this billing category has been closed.

66. During the Application period, R&S attorneys expended 16.70 hours, totaling \$9,585.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$573.95) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	14.50	8,210.00	566.21
November 2016	1.20	750.00	625.00
December 2016	.10	62.50	625.00
January 2017	.90	562.50	625.00
TOTAL:	16.70	9,585.00	573.95

X. ELRENE HOME FASHIONS.

67. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendors of the Debtor, Elrene Home Fashions and Josie Accessories, Inc., and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendors, which are related entities, in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Elrene Home Fashions and Josie Accessories, Inc. on June 1, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01096).

68. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.00 hours, totaling \$1,332.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$444.17) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
February 2017	2.00	892.50	446.25
March 2017	.70	315.00	450.00
April 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
TOTAL:	3.00	1,332.50	444.17

Y. EX-CELL ADVERSARY.

69. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Ex-Cell Home Fashions, Inc. ("Ex-Cell") to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$207,000 and for disallowance of claims. On December 18, 2015 Defendant filed an answer and counterclaim, amending these pleadings on December 22, 2015. Prior to conversion, the Debtor and Ex-Cell stipulated to extensions of responsive deadlines, and on May 12, 2016, following her appointment, the Trustee filed an answer to the counterclaim. During July, August and September, 2016, the parties exchanged information in an effort to evaluate Ex-Cell's asserted defenses to the estate's preference claims. On October 11, 2016, the parties prepared and lodged a scheduling order which provided that the deadline to complete discovery was February 20, 2017 with a pretrial conference set for March 6, 2017, and the parties began discovery, including preparation of interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Following a determination by the Trustee that Ex-Cell could document valid defenses to all amounts sought to be recovered in the adversary proceeding, a settlement was reached in April, 2017, with a motion to approve same to be submitted to the Court on April 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891]. Although outside the Application Period, the settlement was approved by way of Court order entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934] and the Trustee has received the settlement funds in the amount of \$5,000.00.

70. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 16.40 hours, totaling \$7,360.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$448.78) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	1.90	855.00	450.00
November 2016	.60	270.00	450.00
December 2016	.60	270.00	450.00
January 2017	7.40	3,310.00	447.30
February 2017	2.20	990.00	450.00
March 2017	1.30	585.00	450.00
April 2017	2.40	1,080.00	450.00
TOTAL:	16.40	7,360.00	448.78

Z. FEE/EMPLOYMENT.

71. Under this billing category, the Firm included time expended during the Application Period in connection with the following general tasks:

(a) Assisted in the review and final preparation of an application to employ the firm of Brutzkus Gubner as special co-litigation counsel to the Trustee in the “Vendors adv. Lenders” adversary proceeding;

(b) Reviewed, analyzed and prepared an omnibus reply to the objections (formal and informal) of Baltic Linen, the insider defendants, and Salus to the proposed employment of the Brutzkus Gubner firm;

(c) Reviewed, analyzed and prepared a reply to Salus’ motion for sur-reply regarding its objection to the application to employ Brutzkus Gubner;

(d) Reviewed the Court’s comprehensive tentative regarding the application to employ Brutzkus Gubner, prepared a detailed outline in preparation for the hearing on the application, and attended the hearing as to same;

(e) Addressed the objection of Salus to the proposed form of order granting the Trustee’s application to employ Brutzkus Gubner;

(f) Reviewed, analyzed and prepared a reply to the motion of Salus for stay of Brutzkus Gubner employment order pending appeal to the District Court;

(g) Prepared an opposition to the motion of Salus for stay pending appeal and late-filed motion for leave to appeal interlocutory order;

(h) Analyzed designation of record on appeal of Brutzkus Gubner employment order filed by Salus and prepared supplemental designation;

(i) Prepared a 45-day notice to retained professionals regarding first interim Chapter 7 fee applications; and;

(j) Begin preparation of the Firm's first interim fee application, which was filed with the Court on May 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1880], and granted at a hearing held on May 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1930].

72. R&S attorneys expended 70.60 hours, totaling \$35,532.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$503.29) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	3.70	2,147.50	580.41
November 2016	28.30	11,720.00	414.13
December 2016	14.30	8,387.50	586.54
January 2017	6.80	2,380.00	350.00
March 2017	.20	130.00	650.00
April 2017	17.30	10,767.50	622.40
TOTAL:	70.60	35,532.50	503.29

AA. FRANCO MANUFACTURING.

73. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Franco Manufacturing, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed against Franco Manufacturing on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01131).

74. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 4.30 hours, totaling \$1,882.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$437.79) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	2.90	1,282.50	442.24
March 2017	1.40	600.00	428.57
TOTAL:	4.30	1,882.50	437.79

BB. GINSEY ADVERSARY.

75. On or about September 18, 2015, Ginsey Industries, Inc. (“Ginsey”) filed a proof of claim against the estate in the total amount of \$176,629.80. By the claim, Ginsey alleged that of the total claim amount, \$27,537.63 was entitled to administrative priority pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 503(b)(9). On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Ginsey to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$146,000 and for disallowance of claims. On September 13, 2016, Defendant filed an answer. Following a review of the Debtor’s preference analysis and receipt of documents from Ginsey, the Trustee determined that the Debtor’s analysis was materially flawed (as with other preference adversaries commenced by the Debtor pre-conversion), with Ginsey having established complete defenses to a majority of the preference claim. The matter was ultimately settled for the sum of \$20,000.00, which settlement was approved by the Court on February 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1789]. The adversary was subsequently dismissed by way of stipulation and order [Adv. Docket No. 32].

76. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 7.80 hours, totaling \$3,530.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$452.56) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	3.50	1,575.00	450.00

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	1.70	765.00	450.00
February 2017	.70	315.00	450.00
March 2017	.60	270.00	450.00
April 2017	1.30	605.00	465.38
TOTAL:	7.80	3,530.00	452.56

CC. GLENOIT ADVERSARY.

77. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Glenoit LLC (“Glenoit”) to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$35,000 and for disallowance of claims. On December 18, 2015 and as amended on December 22, 2015, Glenoit filed an answer as well as a counterclaim. On May 12, 2016, the Trustee filed an answer to the counterclaim. As the parties exchanged documents and information regarding the defenses asserted by Glenoit, and considered settlement options, Glenoit served formal discovery on the Trustee, including interrogatories and a demand for production of documents. Although outside the Application Period, the Trustee ultimately confirmed the validity of a portion of Glenoit’s claimed defenses and the parties reached a settlement in April, 2017. A motion to approve the settlement was filed on May 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891], with an order approving same entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934] and the Trustee has received the settlement funds in the amount of \$8,500.00.

78. R&S attorneys expended 15.10 hours, totaling \$6,757.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.52) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	1.90	855.00	450.00
November 2016	.60	270.00	450.00
December 2016	.60	270.00	450.00

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	7.10	3,165.00	445.77
February 2017	1.10	495.00	450.00
March 2017	1.50	667.50	445.00
April 2017	2.30	1,035.00	450.00
TOTAL:	15.10	6,757.50	447.52

DD. HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY.

79. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Heritage Candy Company, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to the vendor in an effort to avoid the commencement of a preference adversary proceeding. Following the exchange of information and settlement discussions, the matter was settled for a payment to the Estate in the amount of \$20,000.00. A motion to approve the settlement was filed on May 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891], with an order approving same entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934], and the Trustee has received the settlement funds in the amount of \$20,000.00.

80. R&S attorneys expended 7.90 hours, totaling \$3,612.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$457.28) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	2.10	930.00	442.86
April 2017	5.80	2,682.50	462.50
TOTAL:	7.90	3,612.50	457.28

EE. HOLLANDER SLEEP PRODUCTS, LLC.

81. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of potential preference recovery claims against former vendors of the Debtor, Hollander Sleep Products, LLC

1 (“Hollander”) and Hollander Sleep Products Kentucky, LLC (“Hollander Kentucky”), also
2 known as Louisville Bedding (See, section PP hereinbelow), and preparation of comprehensive
3 demand letter s to these related entities in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference
4 adversary proceedings. Although partially outside of the Application period, following the
5 exchange of information and settlement discussions, the matter was settled for payments to the
6 Estate in the amount of \$609,600.00 by Hollander, and payments to the Estate in the amount of
7 \$116,000 by Hollander Kentucky. A motion to approve the proposed settlements was filed on
8 August 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1982].

9 82. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 16.60 hours, totaling
10 \$7,337.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$442.02) for services in this category. Set forth below is
11 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	.60	250.00	416.67
January 2017	9.70	4,255.00	438.66
February 2017	1.50	675.00	450.00
March 2017	3.80	1,715.00	451.32
April 2017	1.00	442.50	442.50
TOTAL:	16.60	7,337.50	442.02

21 **FF. HOME FASHIONS INTERNATIONAL.**

22 83. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
23 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Home Fashions International,
24 LLC, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement
25 of preference adversary proceedings. Although partially outside of the Application period,
26 settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and on June 2, 2017, the Trustee filed a
27 complaint against the vendor to avoid and recover preferential transfers (Adv. No. 8:14-ap-
28 01093). In August, 2017, the parties reached a settlement whereby Home Fashions has agreed to

1 pay the Estate the sum of \$18,000.00. A motion to approve the proposed settlement was filed on
2 August 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1982].

3 84. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 1.10 hours, totaling
4 \$495.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a
5 chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	1.10	495.00	450.00
TOTAL:	1.10	495.00	450.00

11 **GG. HOME TRENDS INTERNATIONAL.**

12 85. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
13 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Home Trends International, and
14 preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of
15 preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement
16 discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding
17 to recover alleged preferential transfers on May 31, 2017 (Adv. No. 8:17-ap-01084).

18 86. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.10 hours, totaling
19 \$937.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$446.83) for services in this category. Set forth below is a
20 chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	2.10	937.50	446.43
TOTAL:	2.10	937.50	446.43

26 **HH. HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL.**

27 87. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
28 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Housewares International, and

preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding to recover alleged preferential transfers on August 2, 2017 (Adv. No. 8:17-ap-01129).

88. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.00 hours, totaling \$1,342.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.50) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	3.00	1,342.50	447.50
TOTAL:	3.00	1,342.50	447.50

II. IDEA NUOVA.

89. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Idea Nuova, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding to recover alleged preferential transfers on August 2, 2017 (Adv. No. 8:17-ap-01130).

90. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.60 hours, totaling \$1,162.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.12) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	1.20	532.50	443.75
March 2017	1.40	630.00	450.00
TOTAL:	2.60	1,162.50	447.12

JJ. IVIE AND ASSOCIATES.

91. At conversion, a motion was pending whereby Ivie sought to compel the Debtor's payment of its disputed Section 503(b)(9) administrative claim in the amount of \$166,174.33. The matter was set for continued hearing on May 11, 2016 with the Debtor not yet having filled opposition papers. The Firm researched the issues presented by Ivie's motion and prepared opposition papers, arguing that the services provided by Ivie (advertising) do not constitute goods for which an administrative claim pursuant to Section 503(b)(9) is provided. The Firm engaged in protracted negotiations in an effort to resolve Ivie's 503(b)(9) claim, as well as the preference claim the Firm and the Trustee's accountant established following a review of the Debtor's books and records. This claim is estimated to be in the approximate amount of \$900,000, after consideration of new value defenses. As of the time of the filing of the Firm's First Interim Application, settlement efforts had failed with a continued hearing on Ivie's motion scheduled for May 2, 2017. Subsequently the parties continue to exchange documents and information relevant to the Estate's preference claim. On June 5, 2017, the parties filed a stipulation resolving Ivie's 503(b)(9) claim only, and on August 3, 2017 the Trustee filed a preference adversary complaint against Ivie (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01134).

92. R&S attorneys expended 30.50 hours, totaling \$13,747.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.74) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	1.40	517.50	369.64
November 2016	6.20	2,662.50	429.44
December 2016	11.20	5,017.50	447.99
January 2017	.50	225.00	450.00
February 2017	5.90	2,680.00	454.24
March 2017	1.40	670.00	478.57
April 2017	3.90	1,975.00	506.41

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
TOTAL:	30.50	13,747.50	450.74

KK. JAY FRANCO & SONS.

93. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Jay Franco & Sons, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding to recover alleged preferential transfers on August 2, 2017 (Adv. No. 8:17-ap-01128).

94. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.90 hours, totaling \$1,280.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$441.38) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
February 2017	2.80	1,245.00	444.64
TOTAL:	2.90	1,280.00	441.38

LL. KNUD NIELSON.

95. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Knud Nielson, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Settlement discussions were not unsuccessful and the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding to recover alleged preferential transfers on April 26, 2017 (Adv. No. 8:17-ap-01060).

96. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.90 hours, totaling \$1,395.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$481.03) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	1.70	757.50	445.59
April 2017	1.20	637.50	531.25
TOTAL:	2.90	1,395.00	481.03

MM. LIBBEY GLASS, INC.

97. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Libbey Glass, Inc., and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Settlement discussions were ultimately successful and a motion to approve the payment to the Estate in the amount of \$12,000.00 was filed on May 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891], and approved by the Court by way of order entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934].

98. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 5.70 hours, totaling \$2,610.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$457.89) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	2.40	1,065.00	443.75
April 2017	3.30	1,545.00	468.18
TOTAL:	5.70	2,610.00	457.89

NN. LIBERTY MUTUAL.

99. Pre-conversion, on February 11, 2016, the Debtor filed a motion seeking to disallow a claim filed by Liberty Mutual Insurance Company ("Liberty Mutual") (See, Docket

No. 1350). On March 24, 2016, the Court granted the motion in part, but continued the hearing as it pertained to Liberty Mutual's claim. The hearing was continued several times, the last of which was to July 26, 2016. In the interim the Trustee requested certain documentation from Liberty Mutual to assist in her analysis of Liberty Mutual's claim and the merits of the motion. By order entered July 27, 2016, the parties reached a stipulated order that provided that Liberty Mutual's claim was withdrawn, but confirmed that Liberty Mutual was entitled to pay the amounts due it under and in connection with the various insurance policies it issued to the Debtor from the security it was holding. The security consisted of the proceeds of a letter of credit drawn upon post-petition. The Firm thereafter engaged in discussions with Liberty Mutual in an effort to determine the extent of the Estate's interest, if any, in the letter of credit proceeds. A settlement was ultimately reached and a motion to approve compromise was filed with the Court on April 6, 2017 [Docket No. 1848]. The settlement was approved by way of order entered May 18, 2017 [Docket No. 1894], and the Trustee has received the \$240,869.00 in settlement funds provided thereby.

100. R&S attorneys expended 43.60 hours, totaling \$21,257.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$487.56) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	1.30	757.50	582.69
November 2016	.50	312.50	625.00
December 2016	1.10	687.50	625.00
January 2017	4.30	2,577.50	599.42
February 2017	11.40	5,237.50	459.42
March 2017	14.50	6,840.00	471.72
April 2017	10.50	4,845.00	461.43
TOTAL:	43.60	21,257.50	487.56

OO. LIFETIME BRANDS ADVERSARY.

101. On August 24, 2015, Lifetime Brands, Inc. (“Lifetime Brands”) filed a proof of claim against the Estate in the total unsecured amount of \$278,080.01. On October 1, 2015, Lifetime Brands filed an amendment to its claim alleging that \$17,200 of the claim was entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code § 503(b)(9). On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Lifetime Brands to avoid alleged preferential transfers totaling approximately \$316,000 and for disallowance of claims. Following a review of the Debtor’s preference analysis and receipt of documents from Lifetime Brands, the Trustee determined that the Debtor’s analysis was materially flawed (as with other preference adversaries commenced by the Debtor pre-conversion), with Lifetime Brands having established complete defenses to a majority of the preference claim. The matter was ultimately settled for the sum of \$20,000.00, which settlement was approved by the Court on February 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1789]. The adversary was subsequently dismissed by way of stipulation and order [Adv. Docket No. 30].

102. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 15.80 hours, totaling \$6,900.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$436.71) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	10.00	4,290.00	429.00
November 2016	3.50	1,575.00	450.00
January 2017	.70	315.00	450.00
February 2017	1.10	495.00	450.00
March 2017	.30	135.00	450.00
April 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
TOTAL:	15.80	6,900.00	436.71

PP. LOUISVILLE BEDDING COMPANY.

103. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Louisville Bedding Company.

As noted in Section EE hereinabove, following preparation of a comprehensive demand letter to Louisville Bedding, it was determined that this company was sold to Hollander Sleep Products, LLC, which now owns and operates Louisville Bedding as a separate entity known as Hollander Sleep Products Kentucky, LLC (“Hollander Kentucky”). Services related to Louisville Bedding/Hollander Kentucky were charged to this category and where services related to both Hollander Sleep (Section EE) and Hollander Kentucky, time was divided between the two categories. Although partially outside of the Application period, following the exchange of information and settlement discussions, the matter was settled for payments to the Estate in the amount of \$609,600.00 by Hollander, and payments to the Estate in the amount of \$116,000 by Hollander Kentucky. A motion to approve the proposed settlements was filed on August 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1982].

104. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 5.30 hours, totaling \$2,370.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.17) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	2.30	1,027.50	446.74
March 2017	2.50	1,117.50	447.00
April 2017	.50	225.00	450.00
TOTAL:	5.30	2,370.00	447.17

QQ. MATEX MILLS.

105. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Matex Mills, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01132).

106. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.40 hours, totaling \$1,515.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$445.59) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	.90	397.50	441.67
March 2017	2.50	1,117.50	447.00
TOTAL:	3.40	1,515.00	445.59

RR. MOHAWK RUG & TEXTILE.

107. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Mohawk Rug & Textile, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although in part outside the Application Period, after the exchange of documents and analysis, settlement discussions were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed on June 26, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01109).

108. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 12.70 hours, totaling \$5,722.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.59) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	.40	170.00	425.00
January 2017	6.30	2,815.00	446.83
February 2017	3.60	1,597.50	443.75
March 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
April 2017	2.20	1,050.00	477.27
TOTAL:	12.70	5,722.50	450.59

1 **SS. NANSHING PREFERENCE ADVERSARY.**

2 109. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
3 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Nanshing, and preparation of a
4 comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary
5 proceedings. Settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was
6 filed on April 25, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01061).

7 110. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 4.70 hours, totaling
8 \$2,237.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$476.06) for services in this category. Set forth below is
9 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

10

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.20	70.00	350.00
February 2017	3.00	1,335.00	445.00
April 2017	1.50	832.50	555.00
TOTAL:	4.70	2,237.50	476.06

11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **TT. NATCO PRODUCTS.**

18 111. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
19 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Natco Products, and preparation
20 of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference
21 adversary proceedings. Settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and, although outside
22 the Application Period, an adversary complaint was filed on June 1, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-
23 ap-01089).

24 112. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 6.60 hours, totaling
25 \$2,942.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$445.83) for services in this category. Set forth below is
26 a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

27
28

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	.50	205.00	410.00
January 2017	1.70	765.00	450.00
February 2017	3.60	1,612.50	447.92
March 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
TOTAL:	6.60	2,942.50	445.83

UU. P&A MARKETING.

113. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, P&A Marketing, and communications with counsel for the vendor to gather necessary information to complete such analysis.

114. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended .40 hours, totaling \$140.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$350.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.40	140.00	350.00
TOTAL:	.40	140.00	350.00

VV. PANDA HOME FASHIONS.

115. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Panda Home Fashions, and and communications with counsel for the vendor to gather necessary information to complete such analysis.

116. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended .4 hours, totaling \$140.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$350.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	.40	140.00	350.00
TOTAL:	.40	140.00	350.00

WW. PERFORMANCE TEAM.

117. On September 30, 2015, Performance Team Freight Systems (“Performance Team”) filed its Proof of Administrative Priority Claim Pursuant to U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1), 507(a)(2) and 507(b) (Claim No. 1235 on the Court’s Claims Register) (“Claim 1235”) and a duplicative claim (Claim No. 1273 on the Court’s Claims Register) (“Claim 1273,” and together with Claim 1235, the “Administrative Claims”). Pursuant to the Administrative Claims, Performance Team asserted, *inter alia*, that: (1) since approximately late 2004, Performance Team had performed services for the Debtor as a warehouseman and drayage provider, and thereby became a creditor holding a warehouse possessory lien upon goods in its possession; (2) the possessory lien applied to goods valued at cost in the amount of \$9,595,927, which made up the collateral for Performance Team’s claim for services rendered; (3) on June 15, 2015, the Debtor filed its Emergency Motion for Entry of an Order Authorizing the Debtor to Provide Adequate Protection for Warehouse Liens and Carrier Liens (the “Emergency Motion”); (4) in its Emergency Motion, the Debtor acknowledged Performance Team’s right and interest in the Debtor’s goods in Performance Team’s possession, but the Debtor explained to the Court that the Debtor required the release of its inventory in the warehouses managed by Performance Team so that the Debtor could maintain its business operations during the Chapter 11 case through sale of the inventory; (5) on June 17, 2015, the Court entered an Order Authorizing the Debtor to Provide Adequate Protection for Warehouse Liens and Carrier Liens (the “Order”); (6) under the Order, the Debtor was authorized to arrange for release of its inventory by making cash payments to Performance Team and other lien holders, and by otherwise providing possessory lien claimants with adequate protection for their secured claims; (7) on June 24, 2015, Performance Team and the Debtor entered into a stipulation whereby the Debtor agreed to

1 immediately pay the sum of \$400,000 to Performance Team on account of its pre-petition
2 services rendered, and further agreed that Performance Team would have an administrative
3 priority claim pursuant to Code Sections 503(b)(1), 507(a)(2), and 507(b) for the unpaid balance
4 of its claim (the "First Stipulation"). While the First Stipulation was not filed with the Court, (1)
5 the parties executed the First Stipulation on or about June 24, 2015; (2) in reliance on the First
6 Stipulation and in exchange for the release of goods held by Performance Team, the Debtor paid
7 Performance Team \$400,000 as required by the First Stipulation; and (3) in reliance on the First
8 Stipulation and in exchange for the \$400,000 payment, Performance Team released the stored
9 goods in its warehouses to the Debtor as required by the First Stipulation. The Debtor and
10 Performance Team further agreed that: (1) after accounting for the \$400,000 payment by the
11 Debtor to Performance Team, Performance Team had an outstanding claim in the amount of
12 \$773,785.21 for services provided through the Petition Date; and (2) pursuant to the First
13 Stipulation and Bankruptcy Code Sections 503(b)(1), 507(a)(2), and 507(b), Performance Team
14 was entitled to an administrative priority claim in the amount of \$773,785.21. As a result, on or
15 about February 2, 2016, the Debtor and Performance Team entered into a Stipulation re:
16 Allowance of Administrative Expense Claim of Performance Team Freight Systems, Inc.
17 Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1), 507(a)(2), and 507(b) (the "Second Stipulation"). The
18 Second Stipulation, which was executed by the Debtor and Performance Team on or about
19 February 2, 2016, provided that: (1) the Scheduled Claim was superseded and replaced by Claim
20 1235; (2) Claim 1235 was superseded and replaced by Claim 1273; and (3) Claim 1273 would be
21 allowed as an administrative claim in the amount of \$773,785.21. For reasons unknown to the
22 Trustee, the Second Stipulation was also never filed with or approved by the Court.

23 118. On February 10, 2016, the Debtor filed a motion to disallow, among other claims,
24 Claim No. 1289 filed by Performance Team, arguing that such claim was duplicative of Claim
25 No. 1236 also filed by Performance Team. The Motion was set for hearing on March 23, 2016,
26 the week prior to conversion, but continued by the Court in light of the impending conversion.
27 Following the Trustee's appointment, Performance Team requested that the Trustee consummate
28 the resolution agreed to by the Debtor and Performance Team in the First Stipulation and the

Second Stipulation, and filed its motion for order approving payment of claim [Docket No. 1660. After a review of the underlying facts and circumstances, the Trustee agreed, and the parties entered into the Stipulation to Allow Administrative Expense Claim of Performance Team Freight Systems, Inc. (the “Stipulation”). The Stipulation provides that: (1) the Scheduled Claim was superseded and replaced by Claim 1235; (2) Claim 1235 was superseded and replaced by Claim 1273; and (3) Claim 1273 would be allowed as an administrative claim in the amount of \$773,785.21. On August 9, 2016, the Trustee filed a motion to approve the settlement with Performance Team and by order entered September 1, 2016, the Court approved the settlement.

119. Thereafter, Performance Team demanded that the Trustee immediately pay its allowed 503(b)(9) claim, notwithstanding that such claim was junior in priority to other administrative claims. The Trustee declined and, Performance Team filed a motion to compel immediate payment of the claim. The Trustee opposed the motion, as did Salus, which motion was ultimately denied by the Court on December 13, 2016.

120. R&S attorneys expended 16.60 hours, totaling \$6,697.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$403.46) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	.70	327.50	467.86
November 2016	12.30	4,992.50	405.89
December 2016	3.20	1,202.50	375.78
January 2017	.10	35.00	350.00
March 2017	.20	75.00	375.00
April 2017	.10	65.00	650.00
TOTAL:	16.60	6,697.50	403.46

XX. PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.

121. As noted hereinabove, following her appointment, the Trustee determined that the pre-conversion preference analysis undertaken by the Debtor contained material flaws. She was

1 therefore compelled to undertake a substantive preference analysis as to all payments made by
2 the Debtor in the ninety days prior to the petition date, or one year payments to insiders. This
3 process entailed working with former counsel for the Debtor, former employees of the Debtor
4 and the Trustee's accountant to develop the source documents (primarily electronic) and process
5 for efficiently preparing the necessary analysis of payments and likely defenses (primarily new
6 value and ordinary course). Initial services in confirming adversary actions pending at
7 conversion and calendaring as to same were included in this category, with substantive,
8 adversary specific services thereafter billed to the specific adversary proceeding. Form
9 settlement agreement and motions to approve such agreements were developed during the
10 Application Period. Upon determination that a valid preference claim exists as to a specific
11 party, a separate billing category has been created to reflect time expended in connection with
12 that specific claim.

13 122. During the Application, the Trustee and her professionals identified documents
14 and electronic data necessary to the preference analysis process, prepared a motion to extend the
15 statutory deadline for the filing of avoidance actions, including preference claims, prepared the
16 first omnibus motion to approve settlements of specified preference claims, prepared tolling
17 agreements as to specific vendors, worked with a former employee of the Debtor to develop
18 information needed to undertake the analysis of specific potential claims based upon the
19 Debtor's pre-petition course and conduct, addressed issues raised by Salus regarding its position
20 that substantial preference claims exist against "vendor plaintiffs" and Salus' position that such
21 claims constitute its collateral, and analyzed potential preference claims as to specific third
22 parties and insiders of the Debtor, including the preparation of letters to many potential
23 preference recipients requesting information regarding services provides, invoice and payment
24 confirmations. As a result of these efforts, in excess of 20 adversary actions have been
25 commenced and in excess of \$1,000,000 recovered or pending as of the date hereof.

26 123. R&S attorneys expended 166.40 hours, totaling \$76,415.00 (for a blended hourly
27 rate of \$459.22) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees
28 incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	6.80	3,115.00	458.09
November 2016	13.50	6,732.50	498.70
December 2016	25.10	11,412.50	454.68
January 2017	23.30	10,817.50	464.27
February 2017	29.00	13,190.00	454.83
March 2017	49.80	22,760.00	457.03
April 2017	18.90	8,387.50	443.78
TOTAL:	166.40	76,415.00	459.22

YY. REVERE MILLS ADVERSARY.

124. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against Revere Mills International Group, Inc. ("Revere Mills") to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$349,000 and for disallowance of claims. Following a review of the Debtor's preference analysis and receipt of documents from Revere Mills, the Trustee determined that the Debtor's analysis was materially flawed (as with other preference adversaries commenced by the Debtor pre-conversion), with Revere Mills having established complete defenses to a majority of the preference claim. The matter was ultimately settled for the sum of \$18,000.00, which settlement was approved by the Court on February 8, 2017 [Docket No. 1789]. The adversary was subsequently dismissed by way of stipulation and order [Adv. Docket No. 27].

125. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 10.50 hours, totaling \$4,725.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$450.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	5.00	2,250.00	450.00
November 2016	.80	360.00	450.00
December 2017	.80	360.00	450.00

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	1.00	450.00	450.00
February 2017	1.10	495.00	450.00
March 2017	1.60	720.00	450.00
April 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
TOTAL:	10.50	4,725.00	450.00

ZZ. ROYALE LINENS, INC.

126. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Royale Linens, Inc., and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01133).

127. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.2 hours, totaling \$1,432.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.66) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	2.50	1,117.50	447.00
April 2017	.70	315.00	450.00
TOTAL:	3.20	1,432.50	447.66

AAA. SALES TAX CLAIMS.

128. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of claims asserted against a "Sales Tax Claims Reserve" established pre-conversion pursuant to order of the Court dated July 31, 2015 [Docket No. 402]. The reserve was established in the amount of

1 \$194,197.50³ representing the aggregate amount of disputed pre-petition sales tax claims
2 asserted by four taxing authorities – Arizona, California, District of Columbia and Nevada -
3 following an objection by the Committee to the Debtor’s payment of such claims on the theory
4 that the taxes at issue were not in fact “trust fund” taxes and therefore not entitled to immediate
5 payment. The funds remained segregated as of conversion and turned over to the Trustee subject
6 to the outstanding order of the Court. The Trustee investigated the claims asserted, agreed with
7 the position advanced by the Committee, and thereafter attempted to resolve the claims of the
8 four taxing authorities consensually. Although in part outside the Application Period, the claims
9 asserted by Arizona and Nevada have been resolved by stipulation and funds in satisfaction of
10 their respective claims released from the Sales Tax Claims Reserve. At present, only two claims
11 remain outstanding and subject to the reserve – California in the amount of \$194,000 and District
12 of Columbia in the amount of \$3,883.91. As the bankruptcy proceeding progresses, the Trustee
13 will endeavor to reach resolution of the two remaining claims so as to avoid the need for further
14 motions to determine the allowance of same.

15 129. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 33.40 hours, totaling
16 \$14,300.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$428.14) for services in this category. Set forth below
17 is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month
18 basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	6.80	3,617.50	531.99
December 2016	7.40	2,810.00	379.73
January 2017	5.00	1,805.00	361.00
February 2017	4.70	1,955.00	415.96
March 2017	1.50	755.00	503.33
April 2017	8.00	3,357.50	419.69
TOTAL:	33.40	14,300.00	428.14

27
28 ³ The Debtor subsequently supplemented these funds such that the total sum of \$263,000 was in
the Sales Tax Claims Reserve as of the date of conversion.

BBB. SALUS APPEAL OF GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.

130. Services billed to this billing category related to an appeal taken by Salus regarding the order of the Court (the “Employment Order”)[Docket No. 1734] authorizing the Trustee’s employment of the firm of Brutzkus Gubner Rozansky Seror Weber LLP (“BG”) as special litigation counsel to the Trustee in what is referred to as the “Vendor Adversary” (See, Section C, Adversary v. Board/Lenders, hereinabove). Salus sought on an emergency basis a stay pending appeal of the Employment Order, both from this Court and from the District Court. The Trustee opposed both motions primarily on the grounds that the Employment Order was interlocutory in nature. Salus thereafter filed a motion for leave to appeal with the District Court, which motion the Trustee also opposed and was ultimately denied. After consultation with BG, the Trustee joined GB in preparing a Rule 11 safe harbor letter and related motion for sanctions. While Salus’ motion for leave to appeal was pending, it proceeded to file its designation of record, which the Firm reviewed and compiled a schedule of additional record items to be included in a supplemental designation. Following the premature entry of an order by the District Court (the order was entered before the Trustee’s opposition period had run per the applicable local rules), the Firm assisted with the preparation of a motion for reconsideration of such order. Following its review of the reconsideration motion, the District Court ordered the parties to appear at a status conference on March 13, 2017. The Firm appeared at this status conference and responded to the District Court’s inquiries. Thereafter the District Court denied Salus’ motion for leave to appeal and dismissed the appeal.

131. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 42.10 hours, totaling \$24,210.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$575.06) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

///

///

///

///

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
January 2017	12.90	8,062.50	625.00
February 2017	18.70	9,762.50	522.06
March 2017	10.40	6,320.00	607.69
April 2017	.10	65.00	650.00
TOTAL:	42.10	24,210.00	575.06

CCC. SAM HEDAYA CORPORATION.

132. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Sam Hedaya Corporation, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint has been prepared.

133. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 0.40 hours, totaling \$172.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$431.25) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	.10	37.50	375.00
April 2017	.30	135.00	450.00
TOTAL:	.40	172.50	431.25

DDD. SANDER SALE ENTERPRISES.

134. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Sander Sale Enterprises, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, after the exchange

of information and analysis, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed on August 2, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01133).

135. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 4.60 hours, totaling \$2055.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$446.74) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	3.10	1,387.50	447.58
March 2017	1.50	667.50	445.00
TOTAL:	4.60	2,055.00	446.74

EEE. SATURDAY KNIGHT.

136. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Saturday Knight, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint has been prepared.

137. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 1.00 hours, totaling \$442.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$442.50) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
March 2017	.80	352.50	440.63
April 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
TOTAL:	1.00	442.50	4

FFF. SHARADHA TERRY PRODUCTS.

138. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Sharadha Terry Products (“Sharadha”), and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. It has been determined that Sharadha is located in India, without a readily identifiable presence in the United States. The Trustee is presently evaluating whether there is a means to pursue a claim against this vendor internationally, and whether same would be cost effective.

139. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.30 hours, totaling \$1,477.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.73) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	2.50	1,117.50	447.00
March 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
TOTAL:	3.30	1,477.50	447.73

GGG. SPLASH HOME.

140. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Splash Home, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. It has been determined that Splash Home is located in Canada, with perhaps a showroom located in the United States. The Trustee is presently evaluating whether there is a means to pursue a claim against this vendor internationally, and whether same would be cost effective.

141. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 3.4 hours, totaling \$1,515.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$445.59) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	1.70	757.50	445.59
March 2017	1.70	757.50	445.59
TOTAL:	3.40	1,515.00	445.59

HHH. SPRING VALLEY FLORAL.

142. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Spring Valley Floral, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Documents and analysis were exchanged and, although outside the Application Period, a settlement ultimately reached. The Trustee's third omnibus motion to approve compromises was filed August 30, 2017 [Docket No. 1982], which motion includes a proposed settlement with Spring Valley Floral in the amount of \$25,000.00.

143. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 7.60 hours, totaling \$3,397.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$447.04) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	.10	37.50	375.00
March 2017	4.30	1,920.00	446.51
April 2017	3.20	1,440.00	450.00
TOTAL:	7.60	3,397.50	447.04

III. TAXES.

144. This billing category was established for services related to addressing issues regarding the Debtor's outstanding tax returns and tax obligations, coordination with the Trustee's accountant and the Debtor's pre-conversion accountants, BDO Seidman, regarding

gathering final payroll related returns in various jurisdictions, multiple tax related claims asserted for periods in which the Debtor was no longer in operation, and obtaining the withdrawal of such claims were appropriate.

145. R&S attorneys expended 5.10 hours, totaling \$1,922.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$376.96) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with Asset Analysis matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	3.00	1,077.50	359.17
November 2016	1.50	525.00	350.00
December 2016	.50	257.50	515.00
January 2017	.10	62.50	625.00
TOTAL:	5.10	1,922.50	376.96

JJJ. TEXAS TAX CLAIMS.

146. On July 6, 2015, the Court entered the Final Order Granting Emergency Motion by Debtor for Entry of Interim and Final Orders: (A) Authorizing Assumption of Agency Agreement; (B) Authorizing Sale Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 363(b) and (f); (C) Approving the Store Closing Sale Guidelines; (D) Authorizing the Debtor to Abandon, and (E) Authorizing Lease Rejection Procedures With Respect to the Closing Stores Pursuant to Section 365 (the “GOB Sale Order”). Paragraph 50 of the GOB Sale Order provides as follows:

“Notwithstanding any provisions of the interim or final orders pertaining to post-petition financing, use of cash collateral, or the sale of the debtors’ (sic) assets (including (without limitation) this Order), or any agreements validated by any such orders, the liens currently held by Beaumont, Bexar County, Carrollton, Coppell ISD, Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, Dallas County, El Paso, Fort Bend County, Harris County, Hidalgo County, Irving ISD, Judson ISD, McAllen, McLennan County, Montgomery

County and Tarrant County (“the Local Texas Tax Authorities”) shall retain the same priority as they would have had had the chapter 11 cases not been filed and shall not be primed by or subordinated to any liens granted pursuant to any such orders except as permitted by applicable non-bankruptcy law; provided, however, that, upon payment of the Initial Guaranty Payment by the Agent, the security interests and liens granted to the Agent, the security interests and liens granted to the Agent pursuant to the Agency Agreement and perfected by the (sic) this Order shall be senior to the liens of the Local Texas Tax Authorities notwithstanding the sentence to which this proviso attaches. Furthermore, the Debtors (sic) shall reserve the amount of \$390,000 from the Initial Guaranty Payment or other proceeds of any non-ordinary course of business sale of the Debtors’ (sic) assets or liquidation of the Debtors’ (sic) business in a segregated account as adequate protection for the secured claims of the Local Texas Tax Authorities. Any valid, perfected liens of the Local Texas Tax Authorities shall attach to the Initial Guaranty Payment or the proceeds of any such sale to the same extent and with the same priority as the liens they now hold against the property of the Debtors (sic). The funds in the segregated account shall be on the order of adequate protection and shall constitute neither the allowance of the claims of the Local Texas Tax Authorities, nor a cap on the amounts they may be entitled to receive. Furthermore, the claims and liens of the Local Texas Tax Authorities shall remain subject to any objections any party would otherwise be entitled to raise as to the priority, validity or extent of such liens. These funds may be distributed upon agreement between the Local Texas Tax Authorities and the debtors (sic), or by subsequent order of the Court, duly noticed to the Local Texas Tax Authorities.”

147. Consistent with the provisions of Paragraph 50 of the GOB Sale Order, the Debtor segregated the sum of \$390,000 for the benefit of the Local Texas Tax Authorities (the “Local Texas Tax Authorities Claims Reserve”). Each of the Local Texas Tax Authorities thereafter filed proofs of claim evidencing its secured claim for unpaid taxes. Following the Trustee’s appointment, the Debtor tendered to the Trustee funds on deposit, including the Local Texas Tax

1 Authorities Claims Reserve, which funds the Trustee maintained in a segregated account for the
2 benefit of the Local Texas Tax Authorities. During the Application Period, the Trustee
3 completed her review and consideration of the claims filed and resolved each by way of
4 stipulation and related Court order. As of the date hereof, all claims of the Local Texas Tax
5 Authorities have been paid in full from funds in the Local Texas Tax Authorities Claims
6 Reserve, with the corresponding claims withdrawn from the Claims Register, and the remaining
7 funds in the reserve reverting to the Estate as provided by the GOB Sale Order. The Trustee has
8 continued to receive notices and new claims from a number of the Texas taxing authorities
9 subsequent to their receipt of payment, and the Firm has endeavored to have the Debtor removed
10 from the respective tax rolls so that this billing sub-matter can be closed.

11 148. R&S attorneys expended 22.60 hours, totaling \$12,770.00 (for a blended hourly
12 rate of \$565.04) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees
13 incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	10.80	6,695.00	619.91
November 2016	3.20	2,000.00	625.00
December 2016	1.00	570.00	570.00
January 2017	.20	125.00	625.00
February 2017	.40	177.50	443.75
March 2017	4.90	2,222.50	453.57
April 2017	2.10	980.00	466.67
TOTAL:	22.60	12,770.00	565.04

24 **KKK. TRIANGLE HOME FASHIONS.**

25 149. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential
26 preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Triangle Home Fashions
27 (“Triangle”). Similar to the preference claim against CHF Industries described hereinabove, the
28 analysis of this potential recovery claim was complicated by the fact that Triangle and the Debtor

engaged in three separate types of transactions that required separate analysis for purposes of any ordinary course of business defense. These three categories can be described as (i) general, (ii) drop ship and (iii) direct transactions. Although outside the Application Period, the Trustee has determined that a valid recovery claim exists and is proceeding with efforts to resolve same without the need for commencement of an adversary proceeding.

150. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 4.50 hours, totaling \$1,927.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$428.33) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
February 2017	2.10	862.50	410.71
March 2017	2.00	885.00	442.50
April 2017	.40	180.00	450.00
TOTAL:	4.50	1,927.50	428.33

LLL. VANTAGE CROWN ADVERSARY.

151. On November 17, 2015, the Debtor filed a complaint against to avoid preferential transfers totaling approximately \$441,000 and for disallowance of claims. On August 8, 2016, Defendant filed an answer and a counterclaim. On August 29, 2016, the Trustee filed an answer to the counterclaim. By scheduling order entered September 21, 2016, the Court set the discovery deadline for February 1, 2017 and the pre-trial conference for March 9, 2017. Following a review of the Debtor's preference analysis and receipt of documents from Vantage Crown, the Trustee determined that the Debtor's analysis was materially flawed (as with other preference adversaries commenced by the Debtor pre-conversion), with Vantage Crown having established complete defenses to a majority of the preference claim. The matter was ultimately settled for the sum of \$7,000.00, with a motion to approve the settlement filed on May 17, 2017 [Docket No. 1891]. An order approving the propose settlement was entered June 9, 2017 [Docket No. 1934] and the Trustee has received the settlement funds in the amount of \$7,000.00.

152. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 23.20 hours, totaling \$10,317.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$444.72) for services in this category. Given the results achieved for the Estate from this adversary proceeding, the Firm is writing off the fees incurred during the Application Period and same are not included in the totals set forth hereinbelow.

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	6.70	3,012.50	449.63
November 2016	10.60	4,705.00	443.87
December 2016	1.30	575.00	442.31
January 2017	3.30	1,440.00	436.36
February 2017	.30	135.00	450.00
March 2017	.20	90.00	450.00
April 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
TOTAL:	23.20	10,317.50	444.72

MMM. VARA HOME FASHIONS.

153. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Vara Home Fashions, and preparation of a comprehensive demand letter in an effort to avoid the commencement of preference adversary proceedings. Although outside the Application Period, settlement efforts were ultimately unsuccessful and an adversary complaint was filed on May 31, 2017 (Adv. Case No. 8:17-ap-01087).

154. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 5.30 hours, totaling \$2,360.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$445.28) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

///

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	.40	170.00	425.00
January 2017	.80	360.00	450.00
February 2017	4.10	1,830.00	446.34
TOTAL:	5.30	2,360.00	445.28

NNN. VENDOR MOTION TO COMPEL RETURN OF ATTORNEYS FEES.

155. On March 2, 2016, certain vendors of the Debtor (the “Vendors”) filed a Motion to Compel Return of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs Paid to Defendant Lenders’ Counsel, for an Accounting of All Monies Purportedly Invoiced by or Paid to Defendant Lenders and Their Agents Since June 2015, and to Prevent Defendant Lenders or Their Agents from Obtaining Any Further Payments Thereon [Docket No. 1382]. Although originally set for hearing on March 23, 2016, concurrently with the hearing on the Debtor’s motion to convert, the motion was continued to April 27, 2016 to permit the Trustee’s participation. Following her appointment, the Trustee and the Firm immediately began reviewing the underlying pleadings in order to adequately prepare a response to the motion. By way of background, the Trustee was informed that pre-petition, on or around July 18, 2014, the Debtor, on the one hand, and Salus Capital Partners, LLC, DCP Linens Lender, LLC, and certain other lenders (collectively, “Salus”), on the other hand, entered into a lending agreement known as the “Credit Agreement.” Among other things, the Credit Agreement required the Debtor to pay all “Credit Party Expenses. It also provided that the Debtor would indemnify Salus under certain conditions. On August 4, 2015, the Court entered a Final Order: (I) Authorizing Debtor to (A) Obtain Post-Petition Financing Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 361, 362, 364(c), 364(d)(1), and 364(e), and (B) Utilize Cash Collateral Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363; (II) Granting Adequate Protection to Pre-Petition Secured Lenders Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 361, 362, 363, and 364; and (III) Granting Related Relief (the “Final DIP Order”). The Final DIP Order contemplated future amendments or modifications to the Final DIP Order if certain conditions were met. It also provided for the payment of certain of

1 Salus' fees and expenses in certain circumstances, provided that the Debtor would indemnify
2 Salus in certain circumstances, and contemplated the creation of a DIP indemnity account. The
3 Trustee learned that post-Court approval, the Final DIP Order had been amended or modified
4 three times, without review of same by the Court. The third amendment to the Final DIP Order
5 purported to establish a "Fee Reserve" to pay certain of Salus' fees and expenses, including those
6 related to attorneys, and that the Fee Reserve could be increased from time to time at the
7 discretion of Salus. On January 15, 2016, the Vendors filed a First Amended Complaint against
8 Salus (the "Complaint"). Adv. Case No. 8:15-ap-01482-TA. By way of the Complaint, the
9 Vendors asserted claims against Salus (among others) for aiding and abetting fraud, aiding and
10 abetting breach of fiduciary duty, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and equitable
11 subordination.

12 156. By the motion, the Vendors requested that the Court require Salus to return any
13 monies advanced to the latter on account of fee and cost bills submitted by their attorneys or
14 other professionals. The Vendors asserted that the amount transferred to Salus' attorneys totaled
15 at least \$1,536,541.21. On March 16, 2016, the Vendors filed a supplement in further support of
16 the motion. On March 25, 2016, Salus filed an opposition to the motion. On April 5, 2016, the
17 Vendors filed a supplemental in support of the motion. The Committee and a creditor, Baltic
18 Linen Company, Inc., each filed a joinder to the motion. The Vendors argued that the
19 indemnification provision of the Final DIP Order did not apply given the circumstances present
20 here because that provision only applied to post-petition conduct arising in relation to the DIP
21 loans. In particular, the Vendors argued that: (i) the indemnity provision of the Credit
22 Agreement allowed for indemnification in certain circumstances, but that none of these
23 circumstances exist; (i) assuming that one of the indemnifiable circumstances exist,
24 indemnification was only proper after a finding that Salus did not act with gross negligence or
25 willful misconduct, and (iii) that the indemnity provision of the Credit Agreement did not
26 provide for the advancement of any indemnification fees or costs; (iv) the Complaint may result
27 in Salus being undersecured, and that, therefore, under Code Section 506(b) Salus is not entitled
28 to charge the Estate for attorney's fees and costs; and (v) assuming Salus is entitled to fees and

1 costs, the Court must determine the reasonableness of the amount of such fees and costs. In
2 reliance upon the foregoing, the Vendors sought an order compelling Salus to return the fees and
3 costs charged to date, and provide an accounting of the amount of fees and costs charged to date.
4 In opposition, Salus generally argued that it was entitled to the fees and costs charged under the
5 “Credit Party Expenses” provision of the Credit Agreement. In addition, Salus argued that (i) the
6 fees and expenses are also recompensable under the indemnification provision of the Credit
7 Agreement; (ii) the fees and costs are payable on demand; (iii) and were paid in strict
8 accordance with the provisions of the Final DIP Order that allowed for payment of their out-of-
9 pocket expenses; (iv) the provisions of the Final DIP Order required certain parties to object
10 after receiving notice of the proposed payment of fees and expenses, and that none of those
11 parties so objected.

12 157. On April 22, 2016, the Trustee filed her Statement of Position re Motion to
13 Compel Return of Attorney’s Fees and costs Paid to Lender’s Counsel. Although the Trustee
14 was still in the early stages of her investigation regarding this case, she identified that the issues
15 presented by the Motion are as follows.

16 1. Does the Final DIP Order provide a basis for reimbursing the Lenders for
17 attorney’s fees and costs incurred in connection with the Complaint?

18 2. If not, does the “Credit Party Expenses” provision of the Credit
19 Agreement provide a basis for reimbursing such fees and costs?

20 3. If not, does the indemnity provision of the Credit Agreement provide a
21 basis for reimbursing such fees and costs?

22 4. If so, are the Lenders entitled to such reimbursement now, or must they
23 wait for a determination that: (a) they acted without gross negligence or willful
24 misconduct, (b) they are oversecured, and (c) the amount of the fees and costs charged
25 are reasonable under the circumstances?

26 158. Although not specifically addressed in the pleadings filed to date, the Trustee
27 believes there is a further issue: did the creation of the “Fee Reserve” by the third amendment to
28 the Final DIP Order (the “Third Amendment”) violate the terms of the Final DIP Order? The
Trustee found no basis for the position of Salus that either the Final DIP Order or the “Credit
Party Expenses” provisions of the Credit Agreement provided a basis for reimbursing Salus for
attorney’s fees and costs incurred in connection with the Complaint. The Trustee further
submitted that the Court should order the Lenders to provide an accounting of all funds

1 transferred into the Reserve Fund, all distributions from the fund, and a description of the
2 services provided in connection with each such distribution. The Trustee indicated that this
3 information was required whether Salus was relying upon the indemnity provision of the Credit
4 Agreement, or the Final DIP order. Based upon her limited investigation at that time, the Trustee
5 was informed that in excess of \$1,300,000 was deposited into the Reserve Fund from proceeds
6 generated from the liquidation of Estate assets. The Trustee was further informed that the credit
7 facility extended by Salus was paid in full in early December, 2015. In light of these
8 circumstances, a thorough analysis of the amounts charged or to be charged to the estate would
9 be required. Alternatively, the Trustee submitted that the funds should be frozen.

10 159. At the April 27, 2016 hearing on the motion, the parties negotiated a resolution
11 which terms were put on the record and were to be memorialized in an agreed order. By order
12 entered June 8, 2016, it was agreed that Salus had 60 days from the date of the hearing to provide
13 the Trustee and Vendors with a responsive fee schedule which was segregated into categories.
14 The Vendors and the Trustee would have 45 days to review the information and provide
15 responses. Within 10 days from filing this order, Salus was to disclose to the Trustee the Lender
16 Reserve funds on hand, disclose to the Trustee the funds held by Greenberg Traurig, Salus'
17 counsel, and coordinate with the Trustee the transfer of such funds to be held in segregated
18 accounts by the Trustee pending further Court order. In addition, Salus had 30 days from the
19 date of the hearing to serve discovery and each party had 60 days to provide the other parties
20 with any evidence they contended was relevant and admissible regarding the hearing. The
21 hearing was continued to September 27, 2016 to adjudicate any further pending issues. On June
22 9, 2016, both the funds from Salus and Greenberg Traurig were wired into the Trustee's accounts
23 and thereafter placed in segregated accounts.

24 160. On June 24, 2016, the Trustee received a letter from Salus regarding the
25 accounting of its funds. The Trustee and her counsel had a difficult time reconciling the
26 information in the letter with the information that had been provided by the Debtor. The Trustee
27 and her counsel reviewed numerous documents and had several conversations with both the
28 principals of the Debtor and the attorneys for Salus. As required by the Court order, on August

1 17, 2016, both the Trustee and Salus filed a list of Additional Evidence. On August 19, 2016,
2 the Vendors objected to Salus's list of evidence. To avoid incurring unnecessary fees, the
3 Trustee spent a substantial amount of time attempting to formulate an agreeable stipulation to
4 resolve the issue of the turnover of the attorneys' fees to be held subject to further determination
5 of whether the funds should be remitted to Salus or retained by the Estate. This process was very
6 difficult given the animosity between the Vendors and Salus, requiring the Trustee to often acted
7 as a mediator. On September 2, 2016, the Trustee filed a Stipulation Resolving Certain Matters
8 Concerning the Vendor's Motion to Compel Return of Attorneys' Fees and Costs. By the
9 stipulation, the parties outlined the status of discovery and the list of evidence, and agreed that
10 \$825,000.00 would be remitted to the Trustee to hold in a segregated account to insure the funds
11 were available if the Vendors prevailed. All parties reserved their rights. By order entered
12 September 8, 2016, the Court approved the stipulation. On September 13, 2016, the Trustee
13 received a wire transfer transferring the agreed upon funds to the Trustee, with such funds
14 maintained in a segregated trust account. A continued hearing on the motion is presently
15 scheduled for November, 2017, although it is anticipated that the hearing will be further
16 continued pending the resolution of the adversary proceeding initiated by the Complaint.

17 161. R&S attorneys expended 3.70 hours, totaling \$2,300.00 (for a blended hourly rate
18 of \$621.62) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees
19 incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
November 2016	3.00	1,875.00	625.00
December 2016	.10	62.50	625.00
April 2017	.60	362.50	604.17
TOTAL:	3.70	2,300.00	621.62

26
27 ///

000. WARN Act Adversary.

162. On July 1, 2015, a class action adversary action was commenced against the Debtor for violation of the WARN Act. Based upon the allegations of the complaint, the Trustee understands that the potential exposure to the Estate is substantial. On August 24, 2015, the Debtor filed an answer to the complaint. On December 18, 2015, the plaintiff filed a motion for class certification, which was set for hearing on February 25, 2016. On February 11, 2016, the Debtor filed an opposition to the motion and on February 18, 2016, the plaintiff filed a reply. By order entered March 14, 2016, the class was certified. On May 5, 2016, the Trustee and Plaintiff filed a Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order and on July 27, 2016, filed a further stipulation to modify scheduling order.

163. The Firm has conducted a preliminary analysis of the Estate's defenses to the claims asserted by way of the complaint but further investigation is largely on hold given the uncertainty as to the solvency of the Estate. Currently, discovery is outstanding but held in abeyance as the main bankruptcy case progresses. A pre-trial conference is presently scheduled for February 1, 2018.

164. R&S attorneys expended 8.90 hours, totaling \$3,777.50 (for a blended hourly rate of \$424.44) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	2.90	1,180.00	406.90
November 2016	.60	265.00	441.67
December 2016	.60	375.00	625.00
January 2017	4.70	1,920.00	408.51
April 2017	.10	37.50	375.00
TOTAL:	8.90	3,777.50	424.44

///

PPP. WELCOME INDUSTRIAL.

165. Services billed to this billing category related to the analysis of a potential preference recovery claim against former vendor of the Debtor, Welcome Industrial, and communications with counsel for the vendor regarding same.

166. During the Application Period, R&S attorneys expended 2.60 hours, totaling \$910.00 (for a blended hourly rate of \$350.00) for services in this category. Set forth below is a chart summarizing the fees incurred in connection with this matter on a month-by-month basis:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
December 2016	2.20	770.00	350.00
January 2017	.40	140.00	350.00
TOTAL:	2.60	910.00	350.00

QQQ. TOTALS.

167. To further facilitate an analysis of the fees incurred by the Firm in representing the Trustee, listed below is a monthly summary of hours expended and fees owing for services performed during the Application Period:

MONTH	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL AMOUNT	BLENDED HOURLY RATE
October 2016	126.30	61,975.00	490.70
November 2016	143.00	65,442.50	457.64
December 2016	100.20	47,722.50	476.27
January 2017	150.80	69,032.50	457.78
February 2017	155.00	72,647.50	468.68
March 2017	197.90	97,930.00	494.85
April 2017	132.40	66,632.50	503.27
TOTAL:	1005.60	\$481,382.50	\$507.77

168. Set forth below is a table detailing the hourly rates and total number of hours worked and fees charged for services performed by the Firm' professionals during the Application Period:

PROFESSIONAL	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL FEES
Todd C. Ringstad	650.00	.70	455.00
Nanette D. Sanders	650.00	152.90	99,385.00
Nanette D. Sanders	625.00	193.50	120,937.50
Nanette D. Sanders	0.00	.30	0.00
Christopher A. Minier	450.00	352.80	158,760.00
Christopher A. Minier	0.00	21.60	0.00
Brian R.M. Nelson	375.00	141.20	52,950.00
Brian R.M. Nelson	350.00	139.70	48,895.00
Brian R.M. Nelson	0.00	2.90	0.00
TOTAL	Blended: \$478.70	1005.60	\$481,382.50

169. With respect to the expenses incurred on behalf of the Trustee for which reimbursement is hereby sought, all such expenses are charged to all clients of the Firm. All of the costs which were anticipated to be incurred in the representation of the Trustee were fully disclosed to the Trustee and the Court prior to the employment of the Firm in this case. The Firm produces computer printouts listing the expenses incurred by the clients of the Firm. The computer printouts of expenses of the Firm are located on the billing statements which are attached as Exhibit "1" hereto.

170. Courtcall: the Firm incurred the total sum of \$362.50 in telephonic court appearance expenses.

171. Document Reproduction: the Firm incurred the total sum of \$1,141.40 in document reproduction expenses. All clients of the Firm are charged \$.20 per page.

172. Facsimile: the Firm incurred the sum of \$76.40 in fax charges.

173. Filing Fee: the Firm incurred the sum of \$61.00 in filing fees.

174. Messenger: the Firm incurred the sum of \$100.00 in messenger charges.

175. Mileage: the Firm incurred the sum of \$56.00 in mileage charges.

176. Miscellaneous: the Firm incurred the sum of \$1,177.50 in web hosting charges.

177. Pacer: the Firm incurred the sum of \$351.90 in Pacer research charges.

178. Parking: the Firm incurred the sum of \$25.00 in parking charges.

179. Postage: Postage is charged to clients only when multiple envelopes are being mailed at a single time, or if the per envelope cost is in excess of \$1.00. The Firm incurred the sum of \$335.85 in postage charges for mailing of various notices and motions.

180. Out-of-pocket costs expended by the Firm in its representation of the Debtor and recorded in the Firm's computer billing system during the Application Period total \$3,698.75.

Set forth below is a monthly summary of expenses incurred by category during the above-referenced period:

Month	Court-call	Photo-copy	Fax	Messenger	Filing Fee	Mile-age	Misc	Pacer	Park-ing	Post-age
Oct 2016	70.00	56.60				11.20	465.00	14.30	2.00	9.35
Nov 2016	35.00	93.80			30.00		232.50	41.30		4.18
Dec 2016	35.00	45.00			31.00	22.40		14.40	14.00	12.91
Jan 2017	35.00	397.00	8.00				7.50	40.20		92.53
Feb 2017	152.50	26.80	18.00			11.20	157.50	114.60	3.00	160.12
March 2017	35.00	46.40	36.00	100.00		22.40	157.50	80.10	6.00	29.52
April 2017		475.80	14.40				157.50	47.00		27.24
Total	362.50	1141.40	76.40	100.00	61.00	67.20	1177.50	351.90	25.00	335.85

181. The attached time and expense records evidence that the Firm expended fees in the amount of **\$481,382.50** and out of pocket costs in the amount of **\$3,698.75** in representing the Trustee in this Chapter 7 case.

182. Should the Firm incur any additional fees or expenses pending the Court's consideration of this Application, a supplement will be filed prior to the hearing hereon to document same. The Firm requests that the Court consider and allow such fees and expenses, according to proof.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is
2 true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed October 13, 2017, at Newport Beach,
3 California.

4 /s/ Nanette D. Sanders
5 Nanette D. Sanders
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

RINGSTAD & SANDERS LLP
4343 VON KARMAN AVENUE
SUITE 300
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

Invoice submitted to:
Karen Sue Naylor
Chapter 7 Trustee
P.O. Box 504
Santa Ana, CA 92701-0504

September 29, 2017

In Reference To: Anna's Linens, Inc.
Invoice #16062

Professional Services

			<u>Hours</u>
<u>401 K</u>			
10/10/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND R. BUNKA RE RESOLUTION OF ISSUE RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT FUNDS TO 401K PER COURT ORDER.		0.10
10/14/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMAIL FROM R. BUNKA RE 401K AUDIT, INFORMATION NEEDED TO ASSESS SCOPE OF AUDIT, WHO IS REQUESTING, COST AND/OR BENEFIT TO THE ESTATE, ETC.		0.20
4/24/2017	NDS REVIEW AND DRAFT RESPONSE TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE INQUIRY FROM R. BUNKA RE SMALL BALANCE REMAINING IN 401K PLAN, AUTHORIZATION LETTER TO VOYA TO DISTRIBUTE BALANCE TO PARTICIPANTS AND CLOSE OUT PLAN.		0.20

SUBTOTAL:	[0.50	317.50]
-----------	---	------	---------

Administration

10/3/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH FORMER EMPLOYEE C. MATTHEWS RE STATUS OF CASE AND CLAIMS TRADERS.	0.20
10/4/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH ISSUES RE LETTER FROM H.N. INTERNATIONAL.	0.20
10/7/2016	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CBRE RE STATUS OF BANKRUPTCY CASE AND OPPORTUNITY TO FILE A CLAIM.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 2

		<u>Hours</u>
10/13/2016	NDS EVALUATE ISSUES RE LIMITING OF NOTICE ON ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE NEED FOR LIMITING NOTICE ON ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS GIVEN VOLUME OF CREDITORS AND COST TO THE ESTATE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DOCKET FOR ORDER LIMITING NOTICE.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE WHETHER ORDER LIMITING NOTICE APPLIES IN CHAPTER 7.	0.20
10/19/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CREDITOR B. GOLVACH RE STATUS OF BANKRUPTCY CASE.	0.10
10/20/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INCREASED BONDING REQUIREMENTS IN LIGHT OF PENDING RECEIPTS, ANTICIPATED TIMING OF RECEIPTS TO ENSURE CORRECT COVERAGE PERIODS.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. GABALDON OF OC TTC RE COLLECTION EFFORTS AND NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY CASE.	0.20
10/24/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM COUNSEL FOR COLUMBIA (NORTHPOINTE) WMS, LLC, ET AL. RE REQUEST FOR CASE STATUS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT STATUS EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR COLUMBIA (NORTHPOINTE) WMS, LLC, ET AL.	0.10
10/26/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. DAFOE, ATTORNEY FOR API TEXAS LANDLORD, RE STATUS UPDATE.	0.20
10/28/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. MATTHEWS RE STATUS OF CASE.	0.10
11/2/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RETRIEVING DOCUMENTS FROM STORAGE.	0.30
11/3/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE REQUESTING DOCUMENTS FROM STORAGE.	0.20
11/4/2016	BN REVIEW LIST OF DOCUMENTS THAT NEED TO BE RETRIEVED FROM DOCUTRUST.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MANELLI ESCOBIA FROM DOCUTRUST RE RETRIEVAL OF BOXES.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH MANELLI ESCOBIA AND TRUSTEE RE RETRIEVAL OF BOXES FROM DTI.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INCREASING OF BOND GIVEN RECEIPT OF ADDITIONAL LIQUIDATION PROCEEDS, ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 3

		<u>Hours</u>
11/4/2016	NDS PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO UPDATED BOND AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/7/2016	BN REVIEW CITATION FROM COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL RE CITATION.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL RE BANKRUPTCY FILING.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE UPDATED BOND LANGUAGE.	0.10
11/10/2016	BN ANALYZE REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM STAY FROM COUNSEL FOR R. GUERRO, PLAINTIFF IN PERSONAL INJURY ACTION.	0.20
	BN DRAFT GENERAL NOTICE OF STAY.	0.20
11/28/2016	BN PREPARE NOTICES OF STAY TO VARIOUS CREDITORS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY RE BANKRUPTCY FILING.	0.40
11/29/2016	BN PREPARE NOTICES OF STAY TO VARIOUS CREDITORS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT.	0.10
	BN PREPARE NOTICES OF STAY TO VARIOUS CREDITORS ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT.	0.10
11/30/2016	BN REVIEW EMAIL FROM ALABAMA TREASURY DEPARTMENT RE GARNISHMENT ORDER AND BANKRUPTCY FILING.	0.10
12/1/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PAYMENT OF DTI INVOICES AND DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS.	0.20
12/6/2016	BN DRAFT LETTER TO KLEIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT RE NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY.	0.30
12/7/2016	BN FINALIZE NOTICE OF STAY TO KLEIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT.	0.10
12/8/2016	BN REVIEW INVOICE FROM DTI.	0.10
12/9/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE BANK ACCOUNT ISSUES GIVEN VARIOUS RESERVES CREATED BY COURT ORDER, ACCOUNTINGS FOR FUNDS, SALUS DISPUTED LIENS, ETC.	0.20
12/15/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DTI RE NOVEMBER INVOICE	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 4

	<u>Hours</u>
12/21/2016 BN REVIEW DTI INVOICES.	0.20
12/28/2016 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH E. QUINTANILLA RE STATUS OF CASE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
1/3/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. JACKSON RE STATUS OF CASE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
1/4/2017 NDS REVIEW AND UPDATE SCHEDULE OF PENDING ITEMS REQUIRING ACTION IN CASE, COORDINATE STATUS EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.60
1/10/2017 BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM S. HULL RE DTI INVOICE.	0.10
BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CREDITOR M. MOORE RE STATUS OF BANKRUPTCY.	0.20
1/13/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH I. GOMMER RE STATUS OF CASE AND POSSIBILITY OF PAYMENTS TO EMPLOYEES.	0.20
BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. HULL RE DTI INVOICE.	0.20
1/19/2017 BN FOLLOW UP WITH DTI RE CREDIT OF NOVEMBER INVOICE.	0.10
1/20/2017 BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE OVERBILLING BY DTI.	0.10
1/23/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. JOHNSON ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR PUBLICITY PLUS SPORTSWEAR RE STATUS OF CASE.	0.20
1/24/2017 BN FOLLOW UP DTI RE VOIDING NOVEMBER INVOICE.	0.10
1/25/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH FORMER EMPLOYEE G. RAMSEY RE STATUS OF CASE AND PAYMENT OF CREDITORS.	0.10
1/26/2017 BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DTI AND TRUSTEE RE CREDITING DECEMBER DTI INVOICE.	0.20
1/27/2017 BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DEBTOR'S INTERNAL EMAILS.	0.20
1/31/2017 NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM D. GOLUBCHUK RE ANNA'S RECORDS IN STORAGE IN CONNECTION WITH PREVIOUSLY ADMINISTERED EEOC CLAIMS, REQUEST FOR INSTRUCTIONS RE DISPOSITION.	0.20
2/1/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ANNA FROM CAPITAL SQUARE PARTNERS RE STATUS OF CASE AND LIKELIHOOD OF PAYMENT.	0.20
NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH GARDEN CITY GROUP AND KEN JOHNSON RE ANNA'S DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HERNANDEZ AND EEOC PRE PETITION LITIGATION, DISPOSITION ISSUES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 5

		<u>Hours</u>
2/1/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANNA'S DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HERNANDEZ AND EEOC PRE PETITION LITIGATION, FORMER COUNSEL REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO DESTROY, ETC.	0.10
2/6/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MICHAEL OF IDEA NUOVA RE STATUS OF CASE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DTI INVOICES AND ACCOUNT STATEMENTS.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. CANZONE RE DTI INVOICES AND ACCOUNT STATEMENTS.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE DESTRUCTION OF HARD COPIES OF DOCUMENTS MAINTAINED BY PRE PETITION CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR IN EEOC V ANNA'S CLASS ACTION LITIGATION.	0.10
2/7/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM A. CANZONE RE AMOUNT OWED TO DTI AND PAYMENT OF SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE CGC DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION (HARD COPIES) AND MAINTENANCE OF ELECTRONIC COPIES.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO SHARON AT CGC CONFIRMING NO OBJECTION TO DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION (HARD COPIES) AND MAINTENANCE OF ELECTRONIC COPIES.	0.10
2/13/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. MATTHEWS RE NOTICE OF BAR DATE AND STATUS OF CASE.	0.20
2/15/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH P. TSANG RE CLAIM OF HONG KONG EXPORT CREDIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, STATUS OF CASE, AND LIKELIHOOD OF DISTRIBUTION TO UNSECURED CREDITORS.	0.20
2/16/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DARRELL RE STATUS OF CASE AND FILING PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
2/17/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO N. SANDERS RE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY AND INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.10
2/28/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM D.GOLUBCHIK AND FORMER EMPLOYEE TIMOTHY BYNON RE CHANGE OF ADDRESS; PROVIDE FORM.	0.10
3/1/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. MOORE RE STATUS OF CASE AND NEED TO FILE PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
3/10/2017	NDS REVIEW SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY INFORMATION RE CREDTOR CAPREF TANNEHILL LLC.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 6

		<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. SMITH RE STATUS OF BANKRUPTCY CASE.	0.10
3/22/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. SMITH RE STATUS OF BANKRUPTCY CASE AND PAYMENT TO CREDITORS.	0.10
3/23/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. ORNALES, FORMER EMPLOYEE, RE STATUS OF CASE AND POSSIBILITY OF DISTRIBUTION.	0.20
3/27/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. RODRIGUEZ-BIDOT RE STATUS OF CASE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
4/11/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE'S PROPOSED ENFORCEMENT OF PREPETITION TAX OBLIGATION.	0.10
4/12/2017	NDS PREPARE UPDATED SCHEDULE OF PENDING MATTERS, AREAS OF ADDITIONAL INQUIRY, ETC.	0.40
4/13/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SWISHER AND TRUSTEE RE NOTICE OF EXPIRATION OF MAIL FORWARDING SERVICE, ISSUES RE CONTINUED RECEIPT OF ANNA'S MAIL.	0.10
4/26/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH O. KATZ, ATTORNEY FOR ROIND, RE STATUS OF CASE AND LIKELIHOOD OF PAYMENT ON 503B9 CLAIMS.	0.30

SUBTOTAL:

[13.80 5835.00]

Adversary v Board/Lenders

10/1/2016	NDS PREPARE AND SCAN ADDITIONAL REDLINE REVISIONS TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT TO J. BREGMAN.	0.50
10/2/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED].	0.20
10/3/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED].	0.70
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED/REDLINED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	1.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.70

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 7

		<u>Hours</u>
10/3/2016	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCES WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
10/4/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVIEW REDLINE REVISIONS TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR NOTICE OF ERRATA.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REDLINE REVISIONS TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
10/5/2016	NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF ERRATA RE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW DEBTOR DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY J. SWISHER IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S REQUEST [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. REYNOLDS AND PROPOSED STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR INSIDER DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER/RESPOND TO CORRECTED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 8

		<u>Hours</u>
10/6/2016	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE ADDITIONAL REDLINE EDITS TO STIPULATION GRANTING INSIDER DEFENDANTS ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO CORRECTED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EXECUTION VERSION OF STIPULATION GRANTING INSIDER DEFENDANTS ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO CORRECTED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, EXECUTE AND SCAN/EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR INSIDERS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED]	0.10
10/7/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.30
10/10/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	BN REVIEW INVOICE FROM DTI AND ADDRESS BILLING ISSUES.	0.20
10/11/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS AND J. KOMORSKY RE STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR SALUS TO ANSWER SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.10
10/12/2016	NDS REVIEW FURTHER STATEMENT OF NON-CONSENT TO JURY TRIAL FILED BY FIDELITY & GUARANTY LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.	0.10
	NDS BEGIN REVIEW OF DEBTOR'S [REDACTED]	1.60
10/13/2016	NDS REVIEW SALUS STATEMENT OF NON-CONSENT TO JURY TRIAL BY BANKRUPTCY COURT.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF DEBTOR'S [REDACTED]	0.80
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH A. FRIEDMAN, COUNSEL FOR MATRIX, RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS LIQUIDATION OF PLAN TRUST.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 9

		<u>Hours</u>
10/14/2016	NDS REVIEW INSIDER DEFENDANTS STATEMENT OF NON CONSENT TO JURY TRIAL.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF DEBTOR'S [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.20
	NDS REVIEW [REDACTED] PROVIDED BY J. SWISHER.	0.30
10/17/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE PRIVILEGE ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED RELATIVE TO COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S COUNSEL WITH INSIDER DEFENDENTS, GENERAL ADVERSARY AND CASE ISSUES.	0.70
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	1.00
10/18/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.70
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE ISSUES RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
10/20/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.80
10/21/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.70
10/24/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
10/26/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE DEFENDANTS REQUEST FOR FURTHER TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT IN LIGHT OF OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT AS CO SPECIAL LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	1.20
10/27/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAILS FROM J. DAVIS RE SCHEDULING OF HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS, BRIEFING SCHEDULE, STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF NOVEMBER 3 HEARING DATE, ETC.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 10

		<u>Hours</u>
10/27/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM T. REYNOLDS RE PROPOSED BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR PROPOSED 1/26 HEARING DATE ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE REVISED HEARING DATE FROM COURT RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.80
10/28/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL AND DRAFT STIPULATION CONTINUING HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO BRIEFING SCHEDULE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH C. COHEN AND J. DAVIS RE PROPOSED BRIEFING SCHEDULE RE DEFENDANTS MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.80
10/31/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAILS FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE ISSUES WITH REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE, NEGOTIATION OF FURTHER REVISED SCHEDULE, CASE ISSUES.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE (9) WITH PARTIES RE PROPOSED REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE, REVISED STIPULATION AS TO SAME, PROPOSED CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE DATE.	0.80
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE EMAILS FROM J. KOMORSKY RE REVISED BRIEFING SCHEDULE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PENDING HEARINGS/BRIEFING SCHEDULE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 11

		<u>Hours</u>
10/31/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.40
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATES/ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE.	0.20
	NDS EXECUTE/SCAN/RETURN VIA EMAIL EXECUTED STIPULATION CONTINUING HEARING DATES/ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED]	0.10
11/1/2016	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION RE CONTINUED HEARINGS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE PROVIDED BY COUNSEL FOR SALUS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR SALUS CONFIRMING AUTHORIZATION TO USE EXISTING SIGNATURE ON REVISED STIPULATION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS PREPARE AGENDA AND MATERIALS FOR [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	1.30
11/2/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS TRAVEL TO AND FROM TRUSTEE'S OFFICE AND ATTEND MEETING WITH TRUSTEE, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	5.90

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 12

		<u>Hours</u>
11/2/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW SALUS AND FIDELITY NOTICES OF WITHDRAWAL OF PENDING MOTIONS TO DISMISS RE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.70
11/3/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER CONFIRMING NEW ANSWER DEADLINE, DEADLINE FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS, HEARING AND CASE STATUS CONFERENCE DATES.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS COORDINATE REQUEST/DELIVERY OF DEBTOR'S RECORDS IN STORAGE AT DOCUTRUST.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COMMITTEE'S MEDIATION BRIEF FOR [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. HUNTER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS REVIEW CASE DOCKET FOR ADDITIONAL PLEADINGS REQUIRED RELATING TO PENDING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.00
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.00
11/4/2016	NDS REVIEW [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
11/7/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DTI RE INVOICE AND REMOVAL OF USER.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 13

		<u>Hours</u>
11/7/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAILS FROM J. HUNTER WITH [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.40
11/8/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND DTI RE OCTOBER INVOICE.	0.20
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.50
11/11/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, DOCUMENT/DISCOVERY ISSUES.	0.50
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED].	1.30
11/16/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW AND ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.80
11/18/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGNAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
11/22/2016	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL REQUEST TO J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 14

		<u>Hours</u>
11/28/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	1.30
11/29/2016	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
11/30/2016	BN REVIEW [REDACTED].	0.70
	NDS BEGIN REVIEW OF [REDACTED].	0.70
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
12/1/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.50
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF FIDELITY MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.40
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF INSIDERS' MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.70
12/2/2016	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL DATA/DOCUMENTS FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	1.20
12/5/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE [REDACTED].	0.10
12/9/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
12/12/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.80
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 15

		<u>Hours</u>
12/12/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE DRAFT OPPOSITION TO FIDELITY MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.80
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
12/14/2016	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS/COMMENTS TO DRAFT OPPOSITION TO INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS.	1.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS/COMMENTS TO DRAFT OPPOSITION TO INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.20
12/16/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
1/4/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. COHEN RE PENDING CASE ISSUES.	0.60
1/11/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF SALUS TO OPPOSITION MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF FIDELITY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF INSIDERS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.40
1/23/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
1/25/2017	NDS CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULINGS RE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.30
1/26/2017	NDS MONITOR (TELEPHONICALLY) HEARINGS RE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS AMENDED ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	3.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
1/27/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 16

		<u>Hours</u>
1/27/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	1.50
2/1/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT ORDER RE SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT ORDER RE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT ORDER RE FGL MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
2/2/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE JUDGE ALBERT'S RULINGS AT HEARINGS ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS SO AS TO PREPARE ACCURATE ORDERS AS TO SAME.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS REVIEW DOCKET ENTRIES REFLECTING COURT'S RULINGS RE DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
2/3/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. DAVIS RE ORDER ON SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS, J. DAVIS REVISIONS INCONSISTENT WITH DOCKET ENTRY AND COURT'S RULING.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH PARTIES RE ORDERS ON INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS AND FIDELITY MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 17

		<u>Hours</u>
2/6/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
2/8/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED].	0.30
2/10/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS' OBJECTION TO PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
2/15/2017	BN REVIEW ORDERS RE THREE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE ENTERED ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS OF SALUS AND INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE ISSUES RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
2/16/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS ET AL. RE SCHEDULING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
2/17/2017	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH J. KOMORSKY, J. BREGMAN, AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	BN REVIEW PROPOSED EMAIL TO DEFENDANTS RE GOING FORWARD WITHOUT AMENDING COMPLAINT, AND PROPOSED JOINT STATUS REPORT.	0.20
2/18/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAILS BETWEEN J. BREGMAN, J. DAVIS, L. SCHOEN AND C. COHEN RE DRAFT ADVERSARY STATUS REPORT, ISSUES RE TIMING OF REPORT AND STATUS CONFERENCE, PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT THAT PENDING ADVERSARY COMPLAINT WILL NOT BE AMENDED, ETC.	0.20
2/21/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED], [REDACTED].	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 18

		<u>Hours</u>
2/21/2017	BN REVIEW EMAILS BETWEEN PARTIES RE JOINT STATUS REPORT AND DISMISSAL OF CAUSES OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED].	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED].	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY, J. BREGMAN, AND TRUSTEE RE ISSUES WITH [REDACTED].	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED].	0.30
2/22/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. DAVIS, J. KOMORSKY, AND TRUSTEE RE INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE IMPACT OF [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED].	0.10
2/23/2017	BN REVIEW ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
2/26/2017	NDS REVIEW PROPOSED STIPULATION FROM T. REYNOLDS TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATES AND OTHER DEADLINES.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW COURT ORDER CONTINUING ADVERSARY CASE STATUS CONFERENCE; CALENDAR.	0.10
2/27/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED].	0.60
	NDS REVIEW PROPOSED REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ANSWER DEADLINE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 19

		<u>Hours</u>
2/27/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT JOINT STATUS REPORT FOR DISTRIBUTION TO DEFENDANTS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE [REDACTED], [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN J. KOMORSKY AND C. COHEN RE JOINT STATUS REPORT, ANSWER DEADLINE ISSUES, PREPARATION FOR MARCH 30 CASE STATUS CONFERENCE, AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE.	0.30
2/28/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
3/6/2017	NDS BEGIN REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF RULE 26 DISCLOSURE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	2.30
3/9/2017	NDS REVIEW MOTION OF INSIDER DEFENDANTS TO AMEND ORDER RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS TO PROVIDE FOR DISMISSAL OF SPECIFIC CAUSES OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE.	0.60
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
3/10/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY ORDER ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.60
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE FRCP 41(B).	0.30
3/14/2017	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 20

		<u>Hours</u>
3/14/2017	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE COMMENTS TO DRAFT OPPOSITION TO INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.70
3/15/2017	BN REVIEW OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO HAVE DISMISSED CLAIMS DEEMED DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS THERETO.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVIEW SALUS JOINDER IN INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY DISMISSAL ORDERS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FIDELITY JOINDER IN INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY DISMISSAL ORDERS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED OPPOSITION TO INSIDER DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO MODIFY DISMISSAL ORDERS, INCLUSION OF ISSUES RE SALUS AND FIDELITY JOINDERS IN MOTION.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND MONITOR ONGOING EMAILS/DISPUTE RE CONTENT OF JOINT STATUS REPORT AND [REDACTED].	0.20
3/16/2017	BN REVIEW AND PROVIDE REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO HAVE DISMISSED CLAIMS DEEMED DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS THERETO.	0.40
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 21

		<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE JOINT STATUS REPORT.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW REVISED DRAFT JOINT STATUS REPORT FROM J. BREGMAN WITH INPUT FROM DEFENDANTS AND APPROVE SAME FOR FILING.	0.20
3/17/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNSEL FOR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS RE JSR ISSUES, C. COHEN POSITION RE BG TITLE IN CAPTION OF JOINT STATUS REPORT, CONFIRMATION THAT GENERAL BK COUNSEL HAVE REVIEWED AND APPROVED JOINT STATUS REPORT, ETC.	0.70
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	BN NO CHARGE-REVIEW JOINT STATUS REPORT AND EMAILS FROM VARIOUS PARTIES RE THE SAME.	0.30
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.10
3/19/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.40
3/20/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS AND COUNSEL FOR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS RE JOINT STATUS REPORT ISSUES, C. COHEN POSITION RE BG TITLE IN CAPTION OF JOINT STATUS REPORT, CONFIRMATION THAT GENERAL BK COUNSEL HAVE REVIEWED AND APPROVED JOINT STATUS REPORT, ETC.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 22

		<u>Hours</u>
3/20/2017	NDS REVIEW FUNDS ON INFORMATION FROM TRUSTEE PER REQUEST OF J. DAVIS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT PROPOSED EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RE REQUESTED FUNDS ON HAND INFORMATION AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE FOR REVIEW/APPROVAL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	BN NO CHARGE-EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. DAVIS, C. COHEN, AND J. BREGMAN RE JOINT STATUS REPORT AND TRUSTEE'S CONSENT TO CONTENTS OF SAME.	0.20
3/21/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVISE PROPOSED EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
3/22/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 23

	<u>Hours</u>
3/23/2017 NDS REVIEW PROPOSED RJN IN SUPPORT OF JOINT STATUS REPORT WITH DISTRICT COURT DECISION DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL AS EXHIBIT.	0.10
NDS REVIEW COMMUNITY FUTURES TRADING COM V WEINTRAUB SUPREME COURT DECISION [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
3/24/2017 NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.60
NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.30
NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF SALUS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.20
NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REPLY OF FIDELITY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	0.10
NDS REVIEW PORTIONS OF TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS FOR SPECIFIC REFERENCES RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
NDS ANALYZE EDWARDS DECISION AND CONTRAST WITH ERRONEOUS REPRESENTATIONS AS TO SAME MADE IN REPLY OF INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS RE MOTIONS TO DISMISS, AND PREPARE TIMELINE OF EVENTS CONTRARY TO THOSE REPRESENTED IN REPLY TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS.	1.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 24

		<u>Hours</u>
3/27/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND CASE RESEARCH FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER AND B. NELSON RE [REDACTED]	0.60
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER, AND N. SANDERS RE [REDACTED]	0.60
3/28/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASE SUMMARIES RE AUTHORITIES CITED BY INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS IN THEIR REPLY TO TRUSTEE/PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS.	1.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE CASES CITED IN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE FOR [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.70
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS DISTINGUISHING CASES CITED IN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.70
3/29/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS PREPARE NOTES/OUTLINE FOR 3/30 HEARING AND STATUS CONFERENCE AND COMPLETE NOTATION OF CASES RELIED UPON BY INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS IN MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE.	1.50
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULINGS UNDER STATUS CONFERENCE (CALENDAR #8 AND MATTER #11).	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 25

		<u>Hours</u>
3/29/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
3/30/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS ATTEND HEARING RE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO AMEND DISMISSAL ORDERS AND CASE STATUS CONFERENCE, POST HEARING CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND SPECIAL LITIGATION CO COUNSEL RE [REDACTED].	3.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
3/31/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS RESEARCH DATABASE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS REVIEW EMAILS AND DEFENDANTS MARK UPS OF PROPOSED ORDER DE DENYING MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE, [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS BEGIN PREPARATION OF REDLINE REVISIONS TO [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
4/3/2017	NDS REVIEW LENGTHY VOICEMAIL FROM GLENN FELDMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS COMPLETE REDLINE REVISIONS TO [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH GLENN FELDMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO GLENN FELDMAN, J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED].	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 26

		<u>Hours</u>
4/3/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.50
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/REVISIONS OF SPOILATION LETTER TO C. COHEN.	0.60
4/4/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM F. ROSS RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS REVIEW SALUS SEC FILINGS/REGISTRATIONS.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY POSSIBILITY THAT [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
4/5/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.50
	NDS PREPARE FURTHER REDLINE REVISIONS TO [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 27

		<u>Hours</u>
4/5/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/REVISION/REDLINING OF SPOILATION DEMAND LETTER TO INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS.	0.80
4/6/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED], [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
4/10/2017	NDS REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO AMEND ORDERS DENYING MOTIONS TO DISMISS RE PENDING DATES AND ISSUES.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOLUBCHIK RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE AND CO-COUNSEL RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.20
	NDS FOLLOW UP EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
	NDS RESEARCH RE CA EVIDENCE CODE PROVISIONS RE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' CLAIM OF PRIVILEGE RE COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S COUNSEL.	0.70
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 28

		<u>Hours</u>
	[REDACTED]	
4/11/2017 NDS	REVIEW REQUEST FOR ECF NOTICE FILED BY L. WERNER AND FORWARD TO CO-COUNSEL [REDACTED].	0.10
4/12/2017 NDS	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE [REDACTED]	1.00
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.40
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	FOLLOW UP EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED].	0.10
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	REVIEW EMAIL FROM CO-COUNSEL TO [REDACTED]	0.10
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	REVIEW C. COHEN MESSAGE TO CO-COUNSEL RE HER CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
4/13/2017 NDS	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED]	0.20
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED EMAIL TO [REDACTED]	0.20
	[REDACTED]	
NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	[REDACTED]	

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 29

		<u>Hours</u>
4/13/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
4/14/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER AND D. WAKELING RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
4/17/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND D. WAKELING RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
4/18/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. WAKELING, J. SWISHER AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 30

		<u>Hours</u>
4/19/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM C. COHEN RE HER CLIENT CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE RELATING TO EMAILS/COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S ATTORNEYS.	0.20
4/20/2017	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER RE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.10
4/21/2017	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM C. COHEN IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE/VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE.	0.10
4/24/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.10
4/25/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.60
	NDS FOLLOW UP EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. WAKELING, TRUSTEE AND J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED]	0.40
	NDS FOLLOW UP EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED]	0.10
4/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND D. WAKELING RE [REDACTED]	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED]	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 31

		<u>Hours</u>
4/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER AND TRUSTEE RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED].	0.10
4/28/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. SWISHER RE [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[119.40 73285.00]

American Dawn

10/26/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND SETTING NEW DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.10
2/1/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFES PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES AND DOCUMENTS RE NEED TO PREPARE ANALYSIS RE AMERICAN DAWN'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[0.40 172.50]

American Textile Adversary

10/1/2016	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER AND REASONS SUPPORTING SAME.	0.60
10/5/2016	CAM ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONFIRMING SETTLEMENT TERMS WITH DEFENDANT; REVIEW TRUSTEE'S REPLY RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/12/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, DOCUMENTING SAME AND EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENT FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 32

		<u>Hours</u>
10/26/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME RE SAME.	0.20
10/27/2016	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.10
10/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND REVISIONS REQUESTED TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MAKING REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW OF SAME.	0.20
11/2/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
11/15/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO H. WARD RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, REVISIONS TO SAME REQUIRED AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED BY DEFENDANT.	0.30
11/16/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FINALIZING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/17/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE RE TRUSTEE'S ACCEPTANCE OF SOME REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND REJECTION OF OTHER REVISIONS, AND REASONS FOR SAME.	0.30
11/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE HAVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED AND RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
11/29/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 33

		<u>Hours</u>
11/29/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ANTICIPATED OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE EXECUTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10
12/13/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE, STATUS REPORT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
12/15/2016	CAM ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.60
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, H. WARD, RE STATUS CONFERENCE AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
1/23/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
1/25/2017	CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
1/26/2017	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.40
2/1/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND TRUSTEE'S PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS RE SAME.	0.20
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SAME, AND RE STATUS CONFERENCE TOMORROW.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AND COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SAME, AND RE STATUS CONFERENCE TOMORROW.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADING AND COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 34

		<u>Hours</u>
2/8/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO MAKE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.30
2/9/2017	CAM ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
2/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND FORMS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO TRUSTEE, AND NEED FOR INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE PROVIDING AMERICAN TEXTILE ESTATE'S W-9 FORM AND COMPLETING ADDITIONAL FORM RE OBTAINING PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE AND HER ASSISTANT RE CONSUMMATING SETTLEMENT AND DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE CONSUMMATING SETTLEMENT WITH AMERICAN TEXTILE AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CONSUMMATING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO TRUSTEE.	0.20
2/21/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE'S ASSISTANT RE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT AND NEED TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ONCE CHECK CLEARS.	0.10
2/24/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE'S CASE ADMINISTRATOR RE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT CLEARING TRUSTEE'S BANK AND DISMISSAL OF PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/4/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/8/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISION REQUESTED BY SAME TO NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISION TO NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING PER REQUEST OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 35

		<u>Hours</u>
3/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING AND COMMENTS OF SAME TO DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AND DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL FOR COMMENT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT / REVISE / FINALIZE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30
4/11/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S LAW CLERK RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RECENTLY FILED BY TRUSTEE AND UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[11.60 5210.00]
<u>Asset Analysis</u>		
10/4/2016	NDS REVIEW ANNA'S JUNE 2014 PREFILING BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE ANNA'S JUNE 2014 PREFILING BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION.	0.10
10/5/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY REQUEST FOR TURNOVER OF OVERPAYMENT MADE ON CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE.	0.40
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH I. GOLD RE ISSUES RAISED BY REQUEST FOR TURNOVER OF OVERPAYMENT MADE ON CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE.	0.30
10/6/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM R. IGNATUK RE STATUS OF WARN ACT ADVERSARY, CLASS CERTIFICATION, ETC.	0.20
10/19/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DEMAND ON CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE.	0.10
10/20/2016	BN DRAFT EMAIL TO I. GOLD RE DEMAND THAT CORONA HILLS REMIT CREDIT BALANCE TO BANKRUPTCY ESTATE.	0.40
10/24/2016	NDS REVIEW AUTHORITIES FROM COUNSEL FOR CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE RE TRUSTEE DEMAND FOR TURNOVER OF CREDIT BALANCE ON DEBTOR'S ACCOUNT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 36

		<u>Hours</u>
10/24/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH I. GOLD RE CORONA HILLS LANDLORD'S RIGHT TO RECOUPMENT.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER CORONA HILLS LANDLORD HAS RIGHT TO RECOUPMENT.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENTS WITH CORONA HILLS LANDLORD.	0.10
11/11/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE UPDATING INTERNAL ANALYSIS OF FREE AND CLEAR FUNDS IN THE ESTATE.	0.10
11/15/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SPREADSHEET FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF FUNDS ON HAND, RESTRICTIONS ON VARIOUS ACCOUNTS AND PROVIDE NOTES TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF FUNDS ON HAND.	0.10
12/2/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO REVIEW RESULTS OF INSURANCE COVERAGE ANALYSIS.	0.10
12/6/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM TRUSTEE RE COAST ELECTRIC POWER ASSOCIATION CAPITAL CREDIT CHECK, DRAFT RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BASTIAN RE CALL TO REVIEW ANALYSIS STATUS.	0.10
12/9/2016	NDS REVIEW INFORMATION RE REFUND FROM STATE OF MARYLAND AND SALUS DISPUTED LIEN AS TO SAME.	0.10
12/12/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS PENDING ANALYSIS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE ALTERNATE CLAIM SCENARIOS UNDER CONSIDERATION.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ADDITIONAL ELECTRONIC DATA REQUIRED BY TRUSTEE, SEARCH PARAMETERS.	0.20
1/25/2017	NDS REVIEW STATUS OF PENDING INVESTIGATION/RESEARCH RE POTENTIAL ASSETS/RECOVERY CLAIMS, UPDATE SCHEDULE OF SAME.	0.50
1/26/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE ADDITIONAL AREA OF INQUIRY RE POTENTIAL CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS RE FAILURE TO PROVIDE WARN ACT NOTICES.	0.10
2/2/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SEGREGATION OF FUNDS RECEIVED GIVEN SALUS ASSERTION OF CONTINUING LIEN.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 37

		<u>Hours</u>
2/2/2017	NDS REVIEW UPDATED ANALYSIS FROM TRUSTEE RE SEGREGATION OF SALUS' DISPUTED COLLATERAL PER PENDING COURT ORDERS AND CONFIRM INSTRUCTIONS RE SAME.	0.20
2/7/2017	BN ANALYZE WHETHER TO EMPLOY TRS RECOVERY SERVICES TO PURSUE AND COLLECT UPON BAD CHECKS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CHECK FROM TRS RECOVERY SERVICES, INFORMATION NEEDED TO UNDERSTAND TERMS OF ANY ENGAGEMENT BY DEBTOR OF TRS TO COLLECT ON BAD CHECKS WRITTEN PRE STORE CLOSURES.	0.10
2/8/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. REEDER, COUNSEL FOR FORMER OFFICER, RE POTENTIAL INTEREST IN ACQUIRING CERTAIN COMMERCIAL CLAIMS, STATUS OF DEEPWATER CLAIM ADMINISTRATION.	0.20
2/10/2017	BN ANALYZE WHETHER TO EMPLOY COMPANY TO ATTEMPT TO COLLECT ON BAD CHECKS RECEIVED BY DEBTOR.	0.20
2/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BASTIAN RE PENDING RECOVERY CLAIM ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/14/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO TRS REQUESTING COPY OF CONTRACT WITH DEBTOR FOR COLLECTION SERVICES.	0.40
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE LETTER TO TRS REQUESTING COPY OF CONTRACT WITH DEBTOR FOR COLLECTION SERVICES.	0.10
2/23/2017	BN FOLLOW UP LETTER TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE COLLECTION SERVICES TO DEBTOR.	0.10
2/27/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J BASTIAN.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE NOTICE FROM PUTNAM INVESTMENTS, REVIEW WATERFALL TO LOCATE ANY INFORMATION RE A STOCK OR INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE NOTICE FROM PUTNAM INVESTMENTS RE STOCK OR INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
2/28/2017	BN DRAFT FOLLOW UP LETTER TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE POTENTIAL EMPLOYMENT BY TRUSTEE AS COLLECTION SERVICES.	0.30
3/7/2017	NDS BEGIN REVIEW OF 341A RECORDING RE 8/7/15 INITIAL MEETING.	1.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVIEW OF 341A RECORDING RE 8/7/15 INITIAL MEETING, SPECIFIC AREAS OF FOCUS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 38

		<u>Hours</u>
3/8/2017	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF 341A RECORDING AND TESTIMONY OF DEBTOR REPRESENTATIVES.	1.40
3/9/2017	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF 341A RECORDINGS AND TESTIMONY OF DEBTOR'S REPRESENTATIVES.	0.50
3/14/2017	BN FOLLOW UP WITH TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE POSSIBILITY OF CONTINUING SERVICES ON BEHALF OF ESTATE.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE DEBTOR'S SCHEDULES/SOFA RE POTENTIAL OUTSTANDING DAMAGE CLAIMS RECOVERABLE, COMPARE WITH DEBTOR'S WATERFALL, INFORMATION TO FURTHER ANALYSIS.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED RE POTENTIAL OUTSTANDING DAMAGES CLAIMS RECOVERABLE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CREDITORS, COLLECTABILITY OF SAME.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POENTIAL OUTSTANDING DAMAGE CLAIMS, REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO J. SWISHER RE SAME.	0.10
3/15/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE POTENTIAL EMPLOYMENT BY ESTATE TO CONTINUE COLLECTION OF OUTSTANDING CHECK.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE BACKGROUND INFORMATION RE PAYMENTS SCHEDULED AT NO. 9 OF DEBTOR'S SOFA RELATING TO DEBT COUNSELING OR BANKRUPTCY, NATURE OF SERVICES PROVIDED, ETC.	0.20
3/17/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH B. MARAVENT OF TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE POSSIBLE CONTINUED SERVICES TO COLLECT OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.20
3/20/2017	NDS REVIEW NOTICE FROM GREAT-WEST FINANCIAL RE DEUTSCHE FUNDS INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE NEED FOR INFORMATION RE GREAT-WEST FINANCIAL STATEMENT RE DEUTSCHE FUNDS INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT OF FIRST DATA RE SERVICES OF TRS RECOVERY SERVICES ON BEHALF OF ESTATE TO COLLECT OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.30
3/21/2017	NDS REVIEW SALUS LOAN DOCUMENTS (PRE AND POST PETITION) TO DETERMINE ABILITY TO PURSUE RECOVERY CLAIMS AGAINST SALUS ENGAGED PROFESSIONALS WHICH WERE PAID DIRECTLY BY THE DEBTOR.	0.50
3/22/2017	NDS BEGIN REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF JEVIC SUPREME COURT DECISION TO DETERMINE APPLICABILITY TO CARVE OUTS CREATED PRE CONVERSION FOR THE BENEFIT OF SPECIFIC CLASSES OF CREDITORS.	1.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 39

		<u>Hours</u>
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM JOE SWISHER RE INFORMATION RE SMOKE DAMAGE AND OCEAN CARGO CLAIMS AND COMPARE WITH SOFA INFORMATION.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION FROM J. SWISHER RE SMOKE DAMAGE AND OCEAN CARGO CLAIMS, ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION NEEDED RE SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE GREAT WESTERN INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION FROM J. SWISHER RE GREAT WESTERN INVESTMENT ACCOUNT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE TRS RECOVERY SERVICES AGREEMENTS WITH THE DEBTOR FOR BAD CHECK RECOVERY SERVICES, OUTLINE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO DETERMINE WHETHER FURTHER COLLECTION EFFORTS ARE LIKELY TO BE BENEFICIAL TO THE ESTATE.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE CONFIRMATION OF ADDITIONAL RESEARCH REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE RE SMOKE DAMAGE AND OCEAN CARGO CLAIMS LISTED IN DEBTOR'S SCHEDULES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW TRS RECOVERY SERVICES AGREEMENT AND ANCILLARY TELECHECK AGREEMENT RE COLLECTION OF OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT RE TRS RECOVERY SERVICES AGREEMENT AND FURTHER INFORMATION DESCRIBING SERVICES AND OUTSTANDING WORK TO COLLECT OUTSTANDING CHECK.	0.30
3/24/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE SCOPE OF SEARCH REQUIRED TO DETERMINE WHETHER SMOKE DAMAGE AND OCEAN CARGO CLAIMS WERE SATISFIED POST PETITION, PRE CONVERSION.	0.10
3/27/2017	NDS PREPARE TALKING POINTS FOR 3/28 CONFERENCE CALL WITH J. BASTIAN RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS ANALYSIS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER AND B. NELSON RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS, BACKGROUND INFORMATION RE INSIDER PAYMENTS, PAYMENTS TO THIRD PARTIES, INFORMATION NEEDED TO FULLY EVALUATE SAME.	1.10
	BN NO CHARGE-CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER, AND N. SANDERS RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS, BACKGROUND INFORMATION RE INSIDER PAYMENTS, PAYMENTS TO THIRD PARTIES, INFORMATION NEEDED TO FULLY EVALUATE SAME.	1.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 40

		<u>Hours</u>
3/28/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE STATUS OF PENDING ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS, EARLIER NOTICE TO D&O CARRIER RE CLAIM AGAINST INSIDERS FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, PARTICULARS OF CLAIM AND LIKELY TIMING FOR ASSERTION.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE CONFIRMATION OF ADDITIONAL AREAS OF RESEARCH/REVIEW OF DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC RECORDS NEEDED TO DEVELOP INFORMATION NEEDED TO PURSUE RECOVERY CLAIMS.	0.30
3/30/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT RE ACTIVE CHECKS TRS RECOVERY SERVICES MAY BE PURSUING.	0.10
	BN REVIEW SPREADSHEET FROM TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE ACTIVE CHECKS TRS RECOVERY SERVICES MAY BE PURSUING.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE BAD CHECKS TENDERED BY ANNA'S TO TRS FOR RECOVERY EFFORTS, ANALYZE LIKELIHOOD OF RECOVERY GOING FORWARD.	0.10
3/31/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE INFORMATION NEEDED RE POTENTIAL BREACH CLAIM AGAINST SPECIFIC INSIDERS FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE WARN ACT NOTICES EITHER PRE OR POST PETITION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO EVALUATE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS, NEED FOR COORDINATION OF ON SITE MEETING, COORDINATION OF CALL WITH D. FIFE TO CONSIDER AVAILABLE INFORMATION SOURCES FOR EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS.	0.30
4/3/2017	BN DRAFT LENGTHY EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE POSSIBILITY OF EMPLOYING TRS RECOVERY SERVICES TO COLLECT ON OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.30
4/4/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POSSIBILITY OF EMPLOYING TRS RECOVERY SERVICES TO PURSUE OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE SPREADSHEET FROM TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.10
4/5/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE WHETHER TO EMPLOY TRS RECOVERY SERVICES TO COLLECT OUTSTANDING CHECKS.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE POTENTIAL CONTINUANCE OF DEBTOR'S COLLECTION SERVICES AGREEMENT, NEED FOR ORDER OF EMPLOYMENT.	0.20
4/6/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OUTSTANDING REQUEST FOR CLAIM NOTICE TO D&O CARRIER RE BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS RE FAILURE TO PROVIDE WARN ACT NOTICES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 41

		<u>Hours</u>
4/10/2017	NDS RESEARCH RE MOTION TO EXTEND 546 DEADLINE FOR SPECIFIED VENDORS, UPDATED SCHEDULE OF PENDING PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS, COMPLAINTS TO BE FILED, ETC.	0.40
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. BASTIAN RE S/L FOR WARN ACT CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS, TRUSTEE REQUEST FOR COPY OF LETTER TO D&O CARRIER RE SUCH CLAIMS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE S/L FOR WARN ACT CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS AND OTHER POTENTIAL PARTIES.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF POTENTIAL ESTATE CLAIM RELATING TO POTENTIAL LADWP OVERBILLING.	0.10
4/12/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE BACKGROND INFORMATION RE POTENTIAL LADWP CLAIM RELATING TO FORMER STORES IN AFFECTED SERVICE AREA.	0.10
4/13/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE DOCUMENTATION OF RECOVERY CLAIM AGAINST LADWP PER CLASS ACTION NOTICE RECEIVED.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SCOPE OF MOTION TO EXTEND 546 AND RELATED S/L TIMEPERIODS IN LIGHT OF STATUS OF ASSET/RECOVERY CLAIM INVESTIGATION.	0.20
4/20/2017	BN DRAFT MOTION TO EXTEND AVOIDANCE DEADLINE.	1.60
	BN RESEARCH RE STANDARD FOR GRANTING MOTION TO EXTEND AVOIDANCE DEADLINE.	0.30
	BN REVIEW PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO EXTEND AVOIDANCE DEADLINE.	0.40
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF SETTLEMENTS AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.30
4/21/2017	BN WORK ON MOTION TO EXTEND AVOIDANCE DEADLINE.	0.70
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM M. MAHONEY AT DWC BISON RE \$250,000 WIRE TRANSFER RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR IN WEEK PRIOR TO BANKRUPTCY FILING, TRUSTEE'S RENEWED REQUEST FOR SOURCE DOCUMENTS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW LOI PROVIDED BY DWC BISON RE BASIS FOR \$250,000 DEPOSIT ON EVE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING, PROVISIONS RE RETURN OF UNUSED PORTION IF TRANSACTION NOT CONSUMMATED.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 42

		<u>Hours</u>
4/21/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO M. MAHONEY AT DWC BISON RE REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING, WITH SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION, OF USE OF \$250,000 DEPOSIT RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION GATHERED FROM DWC BISON RE \$250,000 WIRE TRANSFER RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR IN WEEK PRIOR TO BANKRUPTCY FILING, OUTSTANDING REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING, WITH SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION, OF USE OF DEPOSIT.	0.10
4/26/2017	NDS REVIEW AND SUPPLEMENT UPDATED TRANSACTIONS CHART FROM TRUSTEE RE SEGREGATION OF FUNDS FREE AND CLEAR VERSUS SUBJECT TO SALUS DISPUTED LIEN.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND VOLUMINOUS ATTACHMENTS FROM COUNSEL FOR 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS AND 360 RIVERHORSE GROUP IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S REQUEST FOR SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION RE PAYMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR ON THE EVE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION FROM 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS AND 360 RIVERHORSE GROUP IN RESPONSE TO HER REQUEST FOR SUPPORT DOCUMENTATION RE PAYMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR ON THE EVE OF BANKRUPTCY FILING, ISSUES WITH INFORMATION PROVIDED.	0.10
4/28/2017	NDS REVIEW FURTHER UPDATED TRANSACTIONS CHART FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF ASSETS LIQUIDATED TO DATE.	0.10

SUBTOTAL:

[26.10 13687.50]

Baltic Linen Adversary

10/4/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. KOGAN RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND UPCOMING HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE INFORMATION BEING PROVIDED BY SAME FOR PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/5/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT / REVIEW / REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 43

		<u>Hours</u>
10/6/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PER REQUEST OF TRUSTEE.	0.20
10/7/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO M. KOGAN RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
10/10/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM K. NAYLOR RE RESPONSE OF SAME TO REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PROPOSED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS TRUSTEE RE DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.30
10/11/2016	CAM DRAFT FURTHER REVISIONS TO PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEGOTIATIONS OVER TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, REASONS FOR TRUSTEE'S VIEWS AND FURTHER REVISED DRAFT OF AGREEMENT FOR CONSIDERATION OF SAME.	0.20
10/13/2016	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. KOGAN RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. KOGAN RE REQUEST FOR REVISIONS TO TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ADDITIONAL REQUESTS FOR REVISIONS TO TERMS AND LANGUAGE OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/14/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS AND PREPARATION OF REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 44

		<u>Hours</u>
10/17/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO M. KOGAN RE REDLINED AND CLEAN COPIES OF REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.60
10/20/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY RECEIVED FROM M. KOGAN.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO M. KOGAN RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARINGS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, AND RE HAVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY DEFENDANT'S OFFICER.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE EXECUTED STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION.	0.10
10/24/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE SAME EXECUTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, TRUSTEE'S EXECUTION OF SAME AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT SIGNED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10
10/27/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
11/2/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING RE HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
11/30/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. KOGAN RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
12/29/2016	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING; REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE SAME.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 45

		<u>Hours</u>
12/30/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND STATUS CONFERENCE RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
1/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND STIPULATION CONTINUING HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RECEIVED FROM M. KOGAN	0.20
1/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, M. KOGAN, RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING, AND RE TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
1/6/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.	0.10
2/7/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S CLERK RE COURT'S NEED TO RESCHEDULE HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.	0.20
2/8/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO MAKE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.30
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.	0.10
2/22/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE BALTIC'S AMENDED CLAIM FILED PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/2/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE BALTIC'S AMENDMENT OF CLAIM PER TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE'S CASE ADMINISTRATOR RE ISSUES RE BALTIC'S PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE BALTIC'S FAILURE TO PAY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND RESOLVING SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 46

		<u>Hours</u>
3/9/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEED FOR DEFENDANT TO PAY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
3/10/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH M. KOGAN RE DEFENDANT'S PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.30
3/15/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT CHECK HAVING CLEARED AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/22/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH M. KOGAN RE PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AND PREPARATION OF STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
3/24/2017	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL; DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO SAME RE SAME.	0.10
3/29/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[10.40 4680.00]

Bari Textile

1/20/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/16/2017	BN REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISING DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT TO PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO BARI AND PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTION AGAINST SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO BARI'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF BARI'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 47

		<u>Hours</u>
2/16/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF BARI'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.90
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO BARI FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, OFFICER IDENTITY, AND REGISTERED AGENT INFORMATION FOR BARI RE SERVICE OF DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT ON SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT DEMAND LETTER (IN THREE FORMS) TO BARI'S PRESIDENT, CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS AND REGISTERED AGENT FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
SUBTOTAL:		[2.70 1197.50]

Beatrice Home Fashions

1/17/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/15/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SENDING PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE HOME AND CONTENTS OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISED DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE HOME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT TO PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE HOME AND PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTION AGAINST SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE HOME AND ISSUES RE COUNSEL FOR SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO BEATRICE'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF BEATRICE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 48

		<u>Hours</u>
2/15/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF BEATRICE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.80
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT DEMAND LETTER TO BEATRICE AND ITS COUNSEL FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.70
3/27/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM BEATRICE HOME'S COUNSEL IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO BEATRICE HOME'S COUNSEL REGARDING PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY SAME.	0.20
4/2/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. FIFE RE LIABILITY ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM BEATRICE AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
4/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE RESPONSE OF SAME TO PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS OF BEATRICE.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE BEATRICE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND FLAWS IN SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO BEATRICE'S COUNSEL RE LIABILITY ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY SAME AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
4/11/2017	NDS ON LINE SEARCH (MULTIPLE) RE INCORPORATION INFORMATION AND PREPARE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.70
4/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT A TO ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.10
4/25/2017	BN FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND PREPARE FOR FILING.	0.20
4/27/2017	BN WORK ON EFFECTUATING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT, SUMMONS, AND NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[5.60 2640.00]
<u>Bess Home Fashions</u>		
2/16/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 49

		<u>Hours</u>
3/17/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF BESS HOME FASHIONS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND BESS HOME FASHIONS' PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF BESS HOME FASHIONS' PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
3/20/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND TRUSTEE CONSENT TO SETTLEMENT OFFER AMOUNT.	0.10
3/21/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO BESS HOME FASHIONS FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO BESS HOME FASHIONS, INCLUDING ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES.	0.60
3/22/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BESS HOME AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[2.20 975.00]
<u>Bid Protection Reserve Account</u>		
11/17/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADINGS/DOCUMENTS RELATING TO GOB SALE, TIGER BREAK UP FEE CLAIM, INTERIM RESOLUTION, POTENTIAL CLAIMS AGAINST RESERVED FUNDS, SALUS CLAIM OF LIEN POSITION IN SAME, ETC.	1.40
11/18/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF PLEADINGS/DOCUMENTS RELATING TO GOB SALE, TIGER BREAK FEE CLAIM, INTERIM RESOLUTION, POTENTIAL CLAIMS AGAINST RESERVED FUNDS, ETC.	0.80
1/6/2017	BN ANALYZE OUTSTANDING TIGER CLAIM AND VALIDITY OF SAME.	0.20
1/10/2017	BN REVIEW PLEADINGS GIVING RISE TO TIGER PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.20
1/11/2017	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADINGS AND DOCUMENTS GIVING RISE TO AND SUPPORTING TIGER CLAIM.	0.50
1/18/2017	BN REVIEW VARIOUS PLEADINGS AND DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE VALIDITY AND AMOUNT OF TIGER CLAIM.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 50

		<u>Hours</u>
1/19/2017	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF VALIDITY AND AMOUNT OF TIGER CLAIM.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED ANALYSIS OF RIGHTS OF TIGER, SALUS AND THE ESTATE WITH REGARD TO FUNDS REMAINING IN RESERVE ACCOUNT, CONTINUING CLAIMS OF SALUS TO FUNDS, CONFIRMATION OF ACCOUNT BALANCE, AND ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF TIGER CONTINGENT CLAIM FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ESTATE.	0.30
1/20/2017	BN ANALYZE VARIOUS PLEADINGS AND DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER SALUS' SECURITY INTEREST EXTENDS TO TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE.	0.60
	BN ANALYZE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY RE TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE GIVEN SALUS' SECURITY INTEREST.	0.60
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF WHETHER SALUS' SECURITY INTEREST EXTENDS TO TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE.	0.40
	NDS COMPLETE ANALYSIS OF BID RESERVE ACCOUNT/TIGER CONTINGENT CLAIM ISSUES AND REVIEW RECOMMENDATION TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
1/24/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE.	0.10
1/26/2017	BN FOLLOW UP ON ISSUES RE TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW ALTERNATE SCENARIOS CONTEMPLATED BY STIPULATION MODIFYING TERMS OF GOB SALE ORDER AS TO FUNDS IN BID PROTECTION RESERVE ACCOUNT TO DETERMINE ALTERNATE COURSES OF ACTION AVAILABLE TO THE TRUSTEE.	0.20
1/27/2017	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE USE OF TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE FOR GENERAL ESTATE ADMINISTRATION.	0.30
2/1/2017	BN DRAFT FINAL ANALYSIS OF POSSIBILITY OF USING TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ESTATE AND ITS CREDITORS.	0.50
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE TIGER BID PROTECTION RESERVE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE ANALYSIS OF RESTRICTIONS ON FUNDS IN RESERVE ACCOUNT, COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS SAME.	0.20
2/2/2017	BN PREPARE FOR CALL WITH TRUSTEE RE TIGER BID RESERVE.	0.10
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF RESERVE ACCOUNT, CONFIRMATION THAT SALUS LIEN DOES NOT EXTEND TO ANY FUNDS RECEIVED BY THE DEBTOR FROM THE RESERVE FUND, SETTLEMENT ALTERNATIVES AND INSTRUCTIONS FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 51

		<u>Hours</u>
2/2/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF RESERVE ACCOUNT, CONFIRMATION THAT SALUS LIEN DOES NOT EXTEND TO ANY FUNDS RECEIVED BY THE DEBTOR FROM THE RESERVE FUND, SETTLEMENT ALTERNATIVES AND INSTRUCTIONS FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
2/6/2017	BN WORK ON LETTER RE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OF CONTINGENT CLAIM AND RELEASE OF RESERVE TO THE ESTATE.	0.10
2/8/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RESERVE ACCOUNT AND SALUS' ENTITLEMENT TO FUNDS IN SAME.	0.10
2/10/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO TIGER/YELLEN PROPOSING CONSENSUAL RESOLUTION OF CLAIM.	0.50
2/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO TIGER/YELLEN RE ISSUES WITH PENDING CONTINGENT CLAIM, POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS RE SAME.	0.20
2/15/2017	BN REVISE LETTER TO TIGER/YELLEN RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
2/17/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE NEGOTIATIONS WITH TIGER/YELLEN RE SETTLEMENT OF CONTINGENT CLAIM.	0.20
2/18/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE COMMUNICATION FROM COUNSEL FOR TIGER, FORMULATION OF INITIAL SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.20
2/21/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT OFFER RE TIGER CLAIM.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE OFFER TO TIGER RE SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM.	0.10
	BN DRAFT SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
2/22/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO M. SNYDER RE PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF TIGER CLAIM AND DISTRIBUTION FROM RESERVE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO TIGER/YELLEN.	0.20
3/2/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH COMMUNICATIONS WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE RESOLUTION PROPOSAL TO TIGER/YELLEN.	0.20
3/28/2017	NDS REVIEW STATUS OF TIGER RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RE CONTINGENT CLAIM, CALENDAR FOR FOLLOW UP.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 52

		<u>Hours</u>
4/14/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH N. SNEIDER RE REJECTION OF SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL WITH TIGER/YELLEN.	0.30
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH N. SNEIDER RE COUNTEROFFER TO SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO TIGER/YELLEN.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COUNTEROFFER FROM TIGER/YELLEN.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE COUNTEROFFER FROM TIGER/YELLEN.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE TIGER/YELLEN COUNTER SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RE CONTINGENT CLAIM AND BID PROTECTION RESERVE FUNDS, POSSIBLE FURTHER COUNTER.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POSSIBLE FURTHER COUNTER RE TIGER/YELLEN CONTINGENT CLAIM.	0.10
4/17/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH N. SNYDER RE RESOLUTION OF TIGER/YELLEN CONTINGENT CLAIM.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM COUNSEL FOR TIGER/YELLEN RE COUNTER OFFER AND ANALYZE WHETHER FURTHER SETTLEMENT EFFORTS WOULD BE PRODUCTIVE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POTENTIAL COUNTER OFFER TO TIGER/YELLEN.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[12.60 5987.50]
<u>Biddeford Blankets</u>		
2/22/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/23/2017	CAM DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BIDDEFORD BLANKETS RE RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE TO THE ESTATE, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSIS OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES, PREPARE ANALYSES RE SAME.	0.50
3/24/2017	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BIDDEFORD BLANKETS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND DEMAND LETTER.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 53

		Hours
SUBTOTAL:		[1.30 570.00]
<u>Boston Warehouse Trading Adversary</u>		
10/5/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
10/18/2016	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.10
10/19/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, K. MAYHEW, RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/21/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FORM COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT RE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RE ENTERING INTO STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE PENDING DOCUMENTATION OS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/25/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.60
10/26/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED STIPULATION RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND EXECUTED STIPULATION.	0.10
10/27/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLEAN AND REDLINED VERSIONS OF FURTHER REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND PREPARE REDLINED AND CLEAN VERSIONS OF SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 54

		<u>Hours</u>
10/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FURTHER REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PER REQUEST OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL EMAIL FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED.	0.10
10/31/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FULLY EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE HAVING SAME EXECUTE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/2/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING RE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AND COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
11/15/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND NEED FOR EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.10
11/16/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
12/2/2016	CAM PREPARE UNILATERAL STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.30
12/15/2016	CAM ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.60
1/25/2017	CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 55

		<u>Hours</u>
1/26/2017	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.40
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADING AND COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO MAKE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.30
2/9/2017	CAM ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
2/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.10
3/1/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/2/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE CONFIRMING RECEIPT OF SETTLEMENT PAYMENT FROM DEFENDANT.	0.10
3/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING AND COMMENTS OF SAME TO DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AND DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT / FINALIZE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[8.40 3780.00]
<u>Brentwood Originals</u>		
1/20/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[0.10 35.00]
<u>CHF Industries</u>		
12/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM K. NAYLOR RE ISSUES RE SPLIT PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY D. FIFE FOR CHF INDUSTRIES AND POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE ISSUES RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 56

		<u>Hours</u>
12/12/2016	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE D. FIFE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / DEFENSE ANALYSES RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE THREE DIFFERENT COURSES OF BUSINESS BETWEEN THE PARTIES.	0.40
1/5/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND CLAIM DOCUMENTS FILED BY CHF RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	1.50
1/6/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CHF'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, FACTS SUPPORTING SAME, PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER AND ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COMPLAINT RE SAME.	0.20
1/25/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHF'S COUNSEL, C. DJANG, RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS RE DEBTOR'S CHF INDUSTRIES TRANSACTIONS.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS RE DEBTOR'S CHF DIRECT TRANSACTIONS.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF CHF'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE CHF'S INDUSTRIES TRANSACTIONS.	0.80
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF CHF'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME RELATED TO CHF'S DIRECT TRANSACTIONS.	0.80
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF CHF'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME, RELATED TO CHF'S DROP SHIP TRANSACTIONS.	0.80
1/26/2017	NDS REVIEW RESULTS OF UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AS TO 3 CHF RELATED ENTITIES AND PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION RE SETTLEMENT DEMANDS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY & PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RE CHF INDUSTRIES, CHF DIRECT AND CHF DROP SHIP, AND CONCLUSIONS DERIVED FROM SAME.	1.10
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO CHF'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR FOR CHF INDUSTRIES, CHF DIRECT AND CHF DROP SHIP	2.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 57

		<u>Hours</u>
	TRANSACTIONS, AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES UNDERLYING SAME; REVIEW / REVISE SAME.	
1/26/2017	CAM DRAFT / REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSES RE CHF INDUSTRIES, CHF DIRECT AND CHF DROP SHIP TRANSACTIONS.	0.60
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE REVISING AND FINALIZING PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSES RE CHF INDUSTRIES, CHF DIRECT AND CHF DROP SHIP TRANSACTIONS.	0.60
1/27/2017	NDS REVIEW SETTLEMENT DEMAND LETTER TO CHF INDUSTRIES.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE MAKING REVISIONS TO CHF DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM N. SANDERS RE MAKING REVISIONS TO CHF DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO CHF DEMAND LETTER REQUESTED BY N. SANDERS.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS REQUESTED TO CHF DEMAND LETTER REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISED CHF DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT / REVIEW / REVISE DEMAND LETTER TO CHF FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME ON THREE DIFFERENT TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS AFTER APPLICATION OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	1.00
1/30/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHF'S COUNSEL, C. DJANG, RE DEMAND LETTER AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS BEING SENT TO SAME, AND RE POTENTIAL FOR SETTLEMENT OF CASE.	0.30
1/31/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM CHF'S COUNSEL RE NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DATA, AND EXCHANGING SAME.	0.20
2/3/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. NAYLOR RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH CHF, AND PRODUCING DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURES TO CHF'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCE PAYMENTS MADE BY DEBTOR TO SAME.	0.20
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RECEIVED FROM CHF'S COUNSEL, D. COHEN.	0.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 58

		<u>Hours</u>
2/9/2017	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE LETTER RECEIVED FROM CHF'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES, AND COMPARISON OF SAME TO TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE EVALUATING CHF'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.40
	CAM DETAILED EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE EVALUATING CHF'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.60
2/10/2017	BN ANALYZE ARGUMENTS RAISED IN CHF'S RESPONSIVE LETTER.	0.20
	BN REVIEW EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE ARGUMENTS RAISED IN CHF'S RESPONSIVE LETTER.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME RE TERMS OF TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN DEBTOR AND HOLLANDER RE EVALUATING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RELEVANT TO ASSESSING PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE SAME.	0.20
2/16/2017	CAM DRAFT EMAILS WITH J. SWISHER RE DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALE TRANSACTIONS WITH CHF.	0.20
2/28/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CHF'S COUNSEL RE RESPONSE OF SAME TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
3/6/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM CHF'S COUNSEL RESPONDING TO TRUSTEE'S REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE DIFFERENT TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS WITH DEBTOR.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH CHF.	0.10
4/11/2017	NDS ON LINE SEARCH RE INCORPORATION INFORMATION AND PREPARE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.50
4/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT A TO ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.10
4/25/2017	BN FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND PREPARE FOR FILING.	0.20
4/26/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR CHF RE FILING OF A COMPLAINT BY TRUSTEE AND SETTLEMENT ISSUES.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 59

		<u>Hours</u>
4/26/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH CHF AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
4/27/2017	BN WORK ON EFFECTUATING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT, SUMMONS, AND NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES.	0.10

SUBTOTAL:	[18.70	8515.00]
-----------	---------	----------

Candyrific LLC

2/24/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARING ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF CANDYRIFIC AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF CANDYRIFIC'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/27/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF CANDYRIFIC LLC AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME	0.50
3/29/2017	CAM REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTERS (TWO FORMS) TO CANDYRIFIC.	0.30
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISION TO PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER REQUESTED BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISION TO PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER PER REQUEST OF TRUSTEE.	0.10
4/14/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM CANDYRIFIC'S COUNSEL.	0.30

SUBTOTAL:	[2.30	1027.50]
-----------	--------	----------

Chapter 11 Fee Applications

3/16/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM D. GOLUBCHIK RE EISNERAMPER FINAL FEE APPLICATION, HIS INQUIRY AS TO WHETHER ALL CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS SHOULD BE FILING FINAL FEE APPLICATIONS.	0.10
-----------	---	------

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 60

		<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EISNERAMPNER FINAL FEE APPLICATION, INQUIRY FROM D. GOLUBCHIK AS TO WHETHER ALL CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS SHOULD BE FILING FINAL FEE APPLICATIONS.	0.10
3/17/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOLUBCHIK RE EISNERAMPER LLP SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL APPLICATION FOR FEES AND COSTS, ISSUES RE OTHER CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONAL FEE APPLICATIONS, ANTICIPATED BAR DATE RE SAME.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE EISNERAMPER LLP SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL APPLICATION FOR FEES AND COSTS, ISSUES RE IMPROPER HEARING DATES NOTICED, POTENTIAL OPPOSITION.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER HEARING ON SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL APPLICATION OF EISNERAMPER LLP WAS SET ON INSUFFICIENT NOTICE.	0.10
3/20/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE TWO CONFLICTING DATES NOTICED FOR EISNERAMPNER'S FINAL FEE APPLICATION, STATUS OF EFFORTS TO REACH EISNERAMPER TO RESOLVE SAME.	0.10
3/21/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH A. CALASCIBETTA AT EISNERAMPER LLP RE SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL FEE APPLICATION AS FINANCIAL ADVISORS TO THE CREDITORS' COMMITTEE.	0.10
	NDS BEGIN REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF EISNERAMPER LLP RE SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL FEE APPLICATION AS FINANCIAL ADVISORS TO THE CREDITORS' COMMITTEE TO DETERMINE SERVICES RENDERED, ANALYSIS UNDERTAKEN THAT MAY ASSIST WITH VARIOUS PENDING ISSUES INCLUDING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, CLAIMS AGAINST SALUS, ETC.	0.60
3/22/2017	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO A. CALASCIBETTA AT EISNERAMPER RE SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL FEE APPLICATION, ISSUES RE COURT REJECTION OF NOTICED HEARING DATES FOR APPLICATION, REQUEST FOR CALL TO DISCUSS FILING AND NOTICING OF FEE APPLICATION.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE NEW NOTICE OF HEARING RE EISNERAMPER RE SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL FEE APPLICATION.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE IMPROPER NOTICE OF FEE APPLICATION HEARING SET BY EISNERAMPER.	0.10
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL APPLICATION OF EISNERAMPER FOR PAYMENT OF CHAPTER 11 FEES AND EXPENSES.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. CHO RE AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON EISNERAMPER FINAL FEE APPLICATION, APPLICABILITY OF PENDING BAR DATE TO CHAPTER 11 ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMANTS, INCLUDING	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 61

		<u>Hours</u>
	PROFESSIONALS, TRUSTEE REQUEST TO HAVE APPLICATION TAKEN OFF CALENDAR, ETC.	
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM S. CHO CONFIRMING THAT 5/8 BAR DATE DOES NOT RELATE TO CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONAL FINAL FEE APPLICATIONS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM S. CHO RE INQUIRY RE WHETHER A STIPULATION IS NEEDED CONFIRMING THAT MAY 8 GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS BAR DATE DOES NOT APPLY TO CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE INQUIRY RE WHETHER A STIPULATION IS NEEDED CONFIRMING THAT MAY 8 GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS BAR DATE DOES NOT APPLY TO CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO S. CHO CONFIRMING THAT TRUSTEE DOES NOT BELIEVE A STIPULATION IS NEEDED TO CONFIRM THAT THE MAY 8 GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS BAR DATE DOES NOT APPLY TO CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS, THAT EMAIL CONFIRMING THE BAR DATE IS NOT APPLICABLE SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT.	0.20
3/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. CHO RE MAY 8 BAR DATE ISSUES AND POTENTIAL REQUEST FOR STIPULATION CONFIRMING IT DOES NOT APPLY TO CHAPTER 11 PROFESSIONALS, CONFIRMATION THAT EISNERAMPER FEE APPLICATION HEARING WILL BE VACATED.	0.20
3/28/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. CHO RE STATUS OF REMOVING EISNERAMPER FINAL FEE APPLICATION HEARING FROM CALENDAR.	0.10
3/29/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM S. CHO RE INTENT TO FILE NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT TO A DATE TBD RE EISNERAMPER FINAL FEE APPLICATION.	0.10
3/30/2017	NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF ADJOURNMENT OF HEARING ON SECOND INTERIM AND FINAL APPLICATION OF EISNERAMPER LLP AND DRAFT EMAIL TO S. CHO RE SAME.	0.10
4/11/2017	NDS REVIEW AND DRAFT EMAIL IN RESPONSE TO VOICEMAIL FROM A. CALASCIBETTA AT EISNERAMPER RE FINAL CHAPTER 11 FEE APPLICATIONS, LIKELIHOOD OF DISTRIBUTIONS ON ACCOUNT OF ALLOWED CHAPTER 11 FEES.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[3.40 2155.00]
<u>Claims</u>		
10/4/2016	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE WITH FROM IDB BANK RE H.N. INTERNATIONAL GROUP ALLEGED CLAIM	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 62

		<u>Hours</u>
10/4/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CORRESPONDENCE FROM IDB BANK RE H.N. INTERNATIONAL GROUP ALLEGED CLAIM.	0.10
10/6/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. HEYN AT FTB RE PENDING SALES TAX CLAIMS AND RELATED CARVE OUT CREATED IN GOB SALE ORDER RE PAYMENT OF SAME.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM CBRE DEMANDING REPAIRS TO FORMER RETAIL PREMISES IN EAGLE ROCK.	0.10
	BN FOLLOW UP ON SETTING ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS BAR DATE.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE OUTSTANDING PAYROLL TAX INFORMATION NEEDED.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE ADP STATE PAYROLL TAX RETURNS.	0.20
10/11/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MEMORANDUM RE 503B9 OBJECTIONS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND MEMORANDUM RE STATUS OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIMS AND CLAIM OBJECTIONS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.30
10/14/2016	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE STRATEGIES FOR REVIEWING AND OBJECTING TO CLAIMS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE UPDATED EPIQ CLAIMS REGISTER, COORDINATION OF CALL TO REVIEW SAME AND STRUCTURE CLAIMS ANALYSIS INFORMATION GOING FORWARD.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM EPIQ RE UPDATED CLAIMS INFORMATION TO CROSS REFERENCE EPIQ AND COURT CLAIMS REGISTER INFORMATION.	0.30
10/19/2016	BN ANALYZE PROOF OF CLAIM AND AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM OF CMA CGM AMERICA, INC.	0.30
10/27/2016	NDS CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, A. CANZONE AND B. NELSON RE ISSUES WITH EPIQ CLAIMS REGISTER, COMPARISON WITH COURT REGISTER, PROCESS FOR SUPPLEMENTING AS CLAIMS REVIEWED/ALLOWED TO ENSURE ACCURACY, EASE OF MAINTENANCE, ETC.	1.00
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, A. CANZONE, AND N. SANDERS RE ISSUES WITH EPIC CLAIMS REGISTER, COMPARISON WITH COURT REGISTER, PROCESS FOR SUPPLEMENTING AS CLAIM REVIEWED/ALLOWED TO ENSURE ACCURACY, EASE OF MAINTENANCE, ETC.	1.00

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 63

		<u>Hours</u>
10/27/2016	BN REVIEW EPIQ CLAIMS SPREADSHEET.	0.10
10/28/2016	BN REVIEW DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO DISCHARGE EPIQ.	0.10
11/1/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH I. LANDSBERG RE AU ZONE ADMINISTRATIVE PRIORITY CLAIM, CASE STATUS.	0.30
11/4/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE MOTION TO RELIEVE EPIQ AS CLAIMS AGENT.	0.10
11/7/2016	NDS REVIEW COOK COUNTY CITATION AND DEMAND FOR APPEARANCE.	0.10
	NDS REVISE IN FINAL CORRESPONDENCE TO COOK COUNTY RE ISSUES/ERRORS IN CITATION ISSUED AND DEMAND FOR APPEARANCE BY DEBTOR.	0.10
11/10/2016	BN REVIEW CLAIMS REGISTER TO DETERMINE WHETHER CORONA HILLS LANDLORD FILED AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH WITH I. GOLD RE AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM FOR CORONA HILLS LANDLORD.	0.20
	BN REVIEW LETTER ASSERTING CLAIM FROM CAINE & WEINER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM D. GOLUBCHIK RE POST PETITION SLIP AND FALL LAWSUIT FILED BY GUERRERO WITHOUT STAY RELIEF.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW COLLECTION LETTERS AND NOTICES FORWARDED BY TRUSTEE - CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CA BOE, IRS NOTICE OF LEVY - AND COORDINATE PROVISION OF NOTICE OF STAY AS TO EACH.	0.20
11/16/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DEBTOR'S AND CREDITOR'S 503B9 NUMBERS.	0.10
11/17/2016	BN REVIEW DOCKET FOR SETTLEMENT OF TIGER AUCTIONEER CLAIM AND CLAIMS REGISTER FOR TIGER PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.30
11/18/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUE RE PRECONVERSION CLAIM OBJECTIONS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE VARIOUS PLEADINGS RELATED TO TIGER CLAIM.	0.20
11/21/2016	BN REVIEW AMENDED CLAIM OF CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW WAGE GARNISHMENT ORDER FROM ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY RE NEDRA LAGARDE AND OUTLINE RESPONSE LETTER.	0.10
11/22/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO I. GOLD RE AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM OF CORONA HILLS LANDLORD.	0.10
11/28/2016	NDS REVIEW ADJUSTED CLAIM NOTICE FROM STATE OF VIRGINIA.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 64

		<u>Hours</u>
11/28/2016	NDS REVIEW DEMAND LETTERS FROM BROWNSVILLE ISD AND ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY MARYLAND.	0.10
11/29/2016	BN DRAFT MOTION FOR ORDER TERMINATING EMPLOYMENT OF EPIQ.	1.40
	BN DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION TO TERMINATE EMPLOYMENT OF EPIQ.	0.20
	BN WORK ON EPIQ SPREADSHEET OF CLAIMS.	1.80
	NDS REVIEW PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY CORONA HILLS MARKETPLACE FOR LEASE REJECTION DAMAGES.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ AS CLAIMS AGENT.	0.20
12/2/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MOTION FOR ADMIN BAR DATE.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CREATION AND MAINTENANCE OF MASTER CLAIMS LIST.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. CANZONE RE MASTER CLAIMS LIST.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ'S EMPLOYMENT.	0.20
	BN REVISE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ'S EMPLOYMENT GIVEN TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO TERMINATE EMPLOYMENT OF EPIQ AS CLAIMS AGENT PER TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE COMMENTS TO DRAFT MOTION TO TERMINATE EMPLOYMENT OF EPIQ AS CLAIMS AGENT.	0.10
12/6/2016	BN REVISE MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET IN LIGHT OF WITHDRAWALS OF CLAIMS BY VARIOUS TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. CANZONE RE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ'S EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	BN FINALIZE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ EMPLOYMENT.	0.30
	BN DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER RE MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH H. CORONA, K. HERNANDEZ, AND A. CANZONE RE PRE-APPROVAL OF MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 65

		<u>Hours</u>
12/6/2016	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED ORDER RE MOTION TO TERMINATE EMPLOYMENT OF EPIQ.	0.10
12/12/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET.	0.10
12/13/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET.	0.10
12/16/2016	BN DRAFT LETTER TO MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE STORE CLOSURES.	0.30
12/19/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET.	0.10
	BN REVIEW NOTICE FROM TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION RE POTENTIAL CHARGEBACK.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION RE STORE CLOSURES AND BANKRUPTCY FILING.	0.20
	BN REVIEW NOTICE OF WITHHOLDING TAX FROM WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE NOTICE OF WITHHOLDING TAX.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE VARIOUS LETTERS TO TAXING AUTHORITIES ADVISING OF BANKRUPTCY FILING AND STAY.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND NOTICES FROM WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, FLORIDA REEMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT AND STATE OF TEXAS RE TAX CLAIMS AND COORDINATE RESPONSIVE CORRESPONDENCE TO EACH.	0.20
12/20/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE NOTICE OF ESTIMATED TAX.	0.10
12/21/2016	BN DRAFT LETTER TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT REGARDING THEIR PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.30
12/22/2016	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND A. CANZONE RE MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET AND GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY.	0.70
	BN WORK ON MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE LETTER TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY RE WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIM.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 66

		<u>Hours</u>
12/27/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. MCGOVERN OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY RE PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.20
	BN SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. MCGOVERN OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY RE PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. MCGOVERN OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY RE PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.20
	BN DRAFT DECLARATION RE ENTRY OF ORDER WITHOUT HEARING ON EPIQ MOTION.	0.10
	BN DRAFT ORDER GRANTING EPIQ MOTION.	0.10
12/28/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE CLAIM OF ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT TAXING AUTHORITY.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY RE CLAIMS FOR 2016 CONTRIBUTIONS, FORM TO TERMINATE ACCOUNT, INFORMATION NEEDED RE DEBTOR'S PRE CONVERSION TERMINATION OF EMPLOYEES IN STATE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED TO TERMINATE ACCOUNT WITH ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY.	0.20
12/30/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ORDER GRANTING EPIQ MOTION AND TRANSFER OF THE ORIGINAL PROOFS OF CLAIM.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND EPIQ RE ENTRY OF ORDER DISMISSING EPIQ AS CLAIMS AGENT, ISSUES RE EPIQ COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER RE DELIVERY OF ORIGINAL PROOFS OF CLAIM TO CLERK'S OFFICE, STATUS OF DELIVERY OF ORIGINAL PROOFS OF CLAIM TO R&S AND THEN DELIVER TO CLERK'S OFFICE PER TERMS OF ORDER, ETC.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CAMERON COUNTY NEWLY FILED SECURED PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE COLLECTION NOTICES FROM CITY OF VISALIA AND STATE OF GEORGIA, COORDINATE SERVICE OF NOTICE OF STAY AND LETTER RE CESSATION OF BUSINESS IN EACH STATE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED TO EVALUATE ADMINISTRATIVE/SECURED CLAIMS NEWLY ASSERTED.	0.20
1/3/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER RE STAY AND STORE CLOSING DATE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.	0.30
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY TO FINANCIAL CREDIT NETWORK.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 67

		<u>Hours</u>
1/3/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER RE STAY AND STORE CLOSING DATE TO AT&T.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH K. TRAN RE EPIQ PICKUP OF CLAIMS BOXES.	0.30
	BN FINALIZE THREE LETTERS/NOTICES TO CREDITORS RE STAY AND STORE CLOSING DATE.	0.20
1/4/2017	BN ASSIST WITH TRANSFER OF EPIQ CLAIMS TO BANKRUPTCY COURT CLERK.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO K. TRAN RE TRANSPORT OF PROOFS OF CLAIM TO BANKRUPTCY COURT CLERK.	0.10
1/5/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE K. TRAN RE TRANSPORT OF PROOFS OF CLAIM TO BANKRUPTCY COURT CLERK.	0.10
1/6/2017	BN FOLLOW UP ON COMPLETION OF FORM RE ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE STATUS OF PROVISION OF CLAIM ANALYSIS INFORMATION REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.20
1/10/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE OUTSTANDING INFORMATION REQUESTS RE PENDING CLAIMS.	0.10
1/11/2017	BN REVIEW BUSINESS TAX DECLARATION FROM CITY OF OAKLAND.	0.20
	BN REVIEW SIGN OPERATING PERMIT RENEWAL NOTICE FROM CITY OF HOUSTON.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO OAKLAND RE AUTOMATIC STAY AND BUSINESS SHUTDOWN.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO HOUSTON RE AUTOMATIC STAY AND BUSINESS SHUTDOWN.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE/INVOICES FROM CITIES OF HOUSTON AND OAKLAND AND COORDINATE APPROPRIATE NOTICES TO EACH.	0.10
1/13/2017	BN FOLLOW UP J. SWISHER RE COMPLETION OF FORM TO INDUCE WITHDRAWAL OF ILLINOIS TAXING AUTHORITY CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED RE STATE OF ILLINOIS ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
1/18/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE STATUS OF PROVISION OF CLAIM ANALYSIS INFORMATION REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 68

		<u>Hours</u>
1/19/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE REQUIREMENTS FOR HAVING ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY CLAIM WITHDRAWN.	0.20
	BN REVIEW ILLINOIS DEPARATMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY NOTICE OF CHANGE.	0.20
	BN REVIEW GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR LETTER RE ALLEGED DELINQUENCY ON DEBTOR'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE TAX ACCOUNT.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR LETTER RE ALLEGED DELINQUENCY ON DEBTOR'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE TAX ACCOUNT.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM STATE OF GEORGIA RE 2016 TAX CLAIM AND COORDINATE RESPONSIVE LETTER TO SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW BILLING STATEMENT FROM THE COUNTY OF FRESNO RE PROPERTY TAXES AND COORDINATE RESPONSIBLE LETTER TO SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW INFORMATION FROM J. SWISHER RE ILLINOIS CLAIM SUPPORT DOCUMENTS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ILLINOIS CLAIM SUPPORT DOCUMENTS.	0.10
1/20/2017	BN REVIEW BILLING STATEMENT FROM COUNTY OF FRESNO.	0.10
	BN REVISE LETTER TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RE ALLEGED DELINQUENCY ON DEBTOR'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE TAX ACCOUNT.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO COUNTY OF FRESNO RE BILLING STATEMENT.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE CURRENT CHARGES FROM AT&T.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT RE STORE CLOSURES.	0.30
	BN REVIEW NOTICE OF CHANGE RE STORE CLOSURES RE ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT SECURITY CLAIM AND RELATED DOCUMENTS.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE LETTER AND NOTICE OF CHANGE TO ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT RE WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIM.	0.30
	BN FINALIZE NOTICE OF CHANGE RE STORE CLOSURES, AND LETTER AND ATTACHMENTS RE SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW CERTIFICATE OF TAX LIEN SERVED BY SANTA CLARA OFFICE OF THE TAX COLLECTOR AND PROVIDE INSTRUCTIONS RE RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 69

		<u>Hours</u>
1/23/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. MCGOVERN RE WITHDRAWAL OF ILLINOIS EMPLOYMENT TAX CLAIM.	0.30
	BN REVIEW CERTIFICATES OF TAX LIENS FROM COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA RE RELEASE OF TAX LIENS.	0.30
1/25/2017	BN REVIEW WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIM FILED BY ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE TIMING OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM BAR DATE MOTION.	0.10
1/27/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE CHAPTER 11 ADMIN BAR DATE MOTION.	0.10
1/30/2017	NDS REVIEW COLLECTION NOTICES FROM PASADENA ISD, CITY OF GLENDALE, AZ, CITY OF PHOENIX, AZ, CITY OF RICHMOND, VA, MCLENNAN COUNTY AND WACO, TX, AND COORDINATE PREPARATION OF APPROPRIATE CORRESPONDENCE/NOTICES OF STAY TO EACH.	0.20
	BN REVIEW TAX STATEMENT FROM PASADENA ISD.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO PASADENA ISD RE TAX STATEMENT.	0.20
	BN REVIEW TAX AND LICENSE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT FROM CITY OF GLENDALE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY OF GLENDALE RE TAX AND LICENSE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW TAX AND LICENSE BILLING STATEMENT FROM THE CITY OF PHOENIX.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY OF PHOENIX RE TAX AND LICENSE BILLING STATEMENT.	0.20
	BN REVIEW APPLICATION FOR CITY OF RICHMOND BUSINESS LICENSE	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY OF RICHMOND RE APPLICATION FOR CITY OF RICHMOND BUSINESS LICENSE	0.20
1/31/2017	NDS REVISE LETTER TO CITY OF WACO AND WACO ISD RE 2016 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX BILL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW REMINDER NOTICE FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY RE PROPERTY TAXES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW EMAILS RE REMINDER NOTICE FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY RE PROPERTY TAXES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 70

		<u>Hours</u>
1/31/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO MCLENNAN COUNTY RE PROPERTY TAXES.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE LETTERS TO VARIOUS TAXING AUTHORITIES RE CESSATION OF DEBTORS BUSINESS AND CLOSURE OF STORES.	0.20
2/2/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE NOTICE OF ASSET CASE AND NOTICE OF CLAIMS BAR DATE.	0.20
	BN WORK ON REQUEST FOR AND NOTICES OF BAR DATES.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE NEED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL CLAIMS BAR DATES, STRUCTURAL ISSUES RE NOTICE, COST OF NOTICING, ETC.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COORDINATION OF NOTICE OF ASSET CASE WITH MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM BAR DATE.	0.20
2/3/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ADMIN CLAIMS BAR DATE MOTION, SERVICE ISSUES.	0.20
2/7/2017	BN REVIEW AT&T BILL SHOWING POST CONVERSION CHARGES	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER CLAIM FROM AT&T RE DISPUTED POST CONVERSION ADMIN CLAIM.	0.10
2/8/2017	NDS REVIEW DEMAND FOR PAYMENT LA COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR AND COORDINATE SERVICE OF NOTICE OF STAY.	0.10
2/10/2017	BN REVIEW DEMAND FOR PAYMENT FROM ATTORNEY'S FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR.	0.10
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY RE DEMAND FOR PAYMENT FROM ATTORNEY'S FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR.	0.10
2/13/2017	BN ANALYZE 503B9 CLAIM OF NATCO BASED ON DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE 503B9 CLAIM OF NANSHING BASED ON DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE 503B9 CLAIM OF VARA BASED ON DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.10
2/14/2017	BN ANALYZE 503B9 CLAIM OF BEATRICE HOME FASHIONS.	0.20
2/15/2017	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER SUPERPRIORITY DIP FINANCING CLAIM HAS PRIORITY OF CHAPTER 7 ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.	0.30
2/22/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE CLAIMS FILING AND STRATEGY FOR RECORDING AND ANALYZING THE SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 71

		<u>Hours</u>
2/26/2017	NDS REVIEW COMMONWEALTH SOAP AND BRANDED GROUP NEWLY FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM AND DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES WITH EACH.	0.10
2/28/2017	BN REVIEW LETTER FROM MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY RE POST PETITION TOLL CHARGES.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW PAYMENT DEMAND LETTERS FROM STATE OF ALABAMA, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA AND MIAMI DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY, COORDINATE RESPONSIVE LETTERS TO EACH.	0.10
3/1/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO LAFAYETTE PARISH TAX COLLECTOR REQUESTING DOCUMENTATION SUPPORTING PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.40
3/2/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH B. BETHKE RE POSSIBLE CLAIM OF RALPHS OR SAFEWAY.	0.20
	BN SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH B. BETHKE RE POSSIBLE CLAIM OF RALPHS OR SAFEWAY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DELINQUENT TAX BILL FROM CITY OF PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY TO PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FROM STATE OF ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY AND NOTICE OF STORE CLOSURE TO STATE OF ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE CLAIM SUPPORT INFORMATION FROM LAFAYETTE PARISH.	0.20
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY TO MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY.	0.10
3/3/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET TO ADD AMENDED AND SUPERSEDED CLAIMS	0.20
3/6/2017	NDS REVIEW PRIORITY POC'S FILED BY SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND CLAIMS OF A YOUNG AND LAMONT LIMITED.	0.20
3/8/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH RENEE EDRY OF MAX'S WHOLESALE IMPORT EXPORT INC., A CREDITOR, RE STATUS OF CASE, ALLEGATIONS OF WRONGDOING, AND POTENTIAL FUTURE INVOLVEMENT IN CASE.	0.50
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE BAR DATE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 72

		<u>Hours</u>
3/8/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY CALL WITH MAX'S WHOLESALE IMPORT EXPORT INC.	0.20
	BN SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. EDRY RE STATUS CONFERENCE IN SALUS APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH R. EDRY RE COMPLAINT IN ACTION AGAINST SALUS AND STATUS CONFERENCE IN SALUS APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW VOICEMAIL FROM MAX'S WHOLESALE RE REQUEST FOR PAYMENT STATUS; COORDINATE RESPONSE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW ISSUES RE THREATS FROM RENEE EDRY AT MAX'S WHOLESALE RE DEMANDS FOR PAYMENTS OR SHE WILL TANK THE CASE, ETC.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE IMPENDING GENERAL CLAIMS BAR DATE, TIMING OF REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM BAR DATE.	0.10
3/10/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM C. AUSTIN RE TAXES ASSESSED BY PASADENA INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT IN PASADENA, TEXAS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM STAY FROM M. BREKHUS RE PERSONAL INJURY LAWSUIT.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM STAY FROM M. BREKHUS RE PERSONAL INJURY LAWSUIT.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM C. AUSTIN, COUNSEL FOR HARRIS/PASADENA COUNTY, TEXAS, RE 2016 TAX CLAIM, PROCESS FOR REMOVING DEBTOR FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM M. BREKHUS, COUNSEL FOR GUERRERO, REQUESTING RELIEF FROM STAY.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMAIL FROM M. BREKHUS, COUNSEL FOR GUERRERO, REQUESTING RELIEF FROM STAY, ISSUES RE SAME IN LIGHT OF VOID FILING OF STATE COURT ACTION, EXPIRATION OF S/L, POTENTIAL INSURANCE IMPLICATIONS.	0.20
3/13/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE THREATS OF R. EDRY OF MAX'S WHOLESALE TO APPEAR AT APPEAL STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT FROM DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS AND COORDINATE PREPARATION OF REQUEST FOR REMOVAL FROM TAX ROLLS GIVEN CESSATION OF OPERATIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 73

		<u>Hours</u>
3/14/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH E. QUINTANILLA RE BAR DATE AND STATUS OF CASE.	0.10
3/15/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PASADENA INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE OF TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR RE REMOVAL OF 2016 AND 2017 TAXES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO DENTON COUNTY RE CLOSURE OF STORES AND REMOVAL FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY REQUEST BY M. BREKHUS FOR STIPULATION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY RE GUERRERO LAWSUIT.	0.10
3/16/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO M. BREKHUS RE REQUEST TO STIPULATE TO RELIEF FROM STAY TO ALLOW STATE COURT ACTION TO GO FORWARD.	0.10
3/21/2017	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE GUERRERO CLAIMANT REQUEST FOR TRUSTEE TO STIPULATE TO RELIEF FROM STAY GIVEN POTENTIAL STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS PROBLEM WITH UNDERLYING COMPLAINT.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE REQUEST OF M. BREKHUS FOR RELIEF FROM STAY TO PURSUE INSURANCE RE GUERRERO LAWSUIT.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO M. BREKHUS RE REQUEST FOR STIPULATION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY.	0.20
3/22/2017	NDS REVIEW ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM FILED BY CITY OF RICHMOND, COORDINATE REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL AND REMOVAL FROM RENT ROLLS.	0.10
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW CITY OF LOS ANGELES COLLECTION NOTICES AND COORDINATE SENDING OF NOTICES OF STAY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY CITY OF RICHMOND.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY OF RICHMOND INFORMING THAT BUSINESS CEASED AND REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.20
3/24/2017	BN REVIEW NOTICES THAT AMOUNTS OWED TO CITY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF FINANCE HAVE BEEN SENT TO COLLECTION.	0.20
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY TO SEND TO CITY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF FINANCE.	0.10
3/28/2017	NDS REVIEW NEW AT&T INVOICE ALLEGEDLY FOR MARCH 2017 SERVICES AND COORDINATE ANOTHER LETTER/NOTICE OF STAY.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE CONTINUED BILLING BY AT&T NOTWITHSTANDING STORE CLOSURES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 74

		<u>Hours</u>
4/4/2017	NDS REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICE AND SUBPOENA FROM COUNSEL FOR LIBERTY PROPERTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP DIRECTED TO D. GOLUBCHIK, FORMER DEBTOR'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOLUBCHIK RE DEPOSITION NOTICE AND SUBPOENA FROM LIBERTY PROPERTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DEPOSITION NOTICE AND SUBPOENA FROM LIBERTY PROPERTY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP DIRECTED TO D. GOLUBCHIK, PRIVILEGE ISSUES.	0.20
4/5/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SUWATANAPONGCHED RE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA DIRECTED TO D. GOLUBCHIK, NATURE OF UNDERLYING STATE COURT LITIGATION, CONFIRMATION THAT ANNA'S IS NOT A NAMED OR INTENDED DEFENDANT, TRUSTEE ASSERTION OF ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE, ETC.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION RE ACTION WHERE DEPOSITION SUBPOENA DIRECTED TO D. GOLUBCHIK, NATURE OF UNDERLYING STATE COURT LITIGATION, CONFIRMATION THAT ANNA'S IS NOT A NAMED OR INTENDED DEFENDANT, ASSERTION OF ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE, ETC.	0.30
4/6/2017	NDS REVIEW INDIANA DEPT OF REVENUE DEMAND FOR PAYMENT RE 2016 PROPERTY TAXES AND COORDINATE SENDING OF RESPONSIVE LETTER AND REQUEST FOR REMOVAL FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.10
4/10/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOLUBCHIK RE HIS DEPOSITION IN LIBERTY PROPERTY VERSUS SCOTT GLADSTONE LITIGATION, TRUSTEE UNWILLINGNESS TO WAIVE PRIVILEGE IN CONNECTION WITH SAME.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN LETTER ASSERTING PREPETITION TAXES OWED.	0.20
4/12/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR LIFETIME BRANDS RE STATUS OF GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS OF SAME AND ANTICIPATED DISTRIBUTIONS ON SAME.	0.20
4/13/2017	NDS REVIEW DEFAULT NOTICE FROM CITY OF PHILADELPHIA AND COORDINATE RESPONSE/NOTICE OF STAY.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW COLLECTION NOTICE FROM XEROX AND COORDINATE RESPONSE/NOTICE OF STAY.	0.10
4/14/2017	BN REVIEW PROPOSED ASSESSMENT FROM INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 75

		<u>Hours</u>
4/14/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE PROPOSED ASSESSMENT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DELINQUENT TAX BILL FROM PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY RE DELINQUENT TAX BILL FROM PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW INVOICE FROM XEROX FINANCIAL SERVICES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT TO XEROX FINANCIAL SERVICES RE INVOICE.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE AT&T CLAIMS FILED, CONTINUING BILLING AT CLOSED LOCATIONS.	0.10
4/18/2017	BN REVIEW DELINQUENT TAX STATEMENT FROM CLEAR CREEK I.S.D. TAX OFFICE.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CLEAR CREEK I.S.D. TAX OFFICE RE CLOSURE OF STORES AND NOTICE OF STAY.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW DELINQUENT NOTICE FROM CLEAR CREEK ISD TAX OFFICE RE 2015 AND 2016 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES, PROVIDE INSTRUCTIONS RE NOTICE OF STAY, INFO RE DEBTOR'S CESSATION OF OPERATIONS IN STATE IN 2015, ETC.	0.10
4/21/2017	NDS REVIEW CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO SECURED PROOF OF CLAIM FILED AND OUTLINE REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT TO AVOID NEED FOR FORMAL OBJECTION.	0.10
4/24/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO TAX COLLECTOR REQUESTING AMENDED PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.30
4/26/2017	BN REVIEW CITY OF PHOENIX TAX AND LICENSE BILLING STATEMENTS.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CITY OF PHOENIX RE TAX AND LICENSE BILLING STATEMENTS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW LETTER FROM GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RE QUARTERLY TAX AND WAGE REPORTS AND RELATED TAXES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RE QUARTERLY TAX AND WAGE REPORTS AND RELATED TAXES.	0.30
4/28/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM P. LUX RE AMENDMENT TO SAN FRANCISCO PROOF OF CLAIM TO SHOW CLAIM AS UNSECURED.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 76

		<u>Hours</u>
SUBTOTAL:	[41.70	18047.50]
<u>Columbia Frame</u>		
3/8/2017 CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF COLUMBIA FRAMES AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF COLUMBIA FRAMES AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
CAM	PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF COLUMBIA FRAMES AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
3/10/2017 CAM	EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BE SENT TO COLUMBIA FRAME.	0.10
3/13/2017 CAM	FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO COLUMBIA.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:	[1.60	720.00]
<u>Croscill Home Adversary</u>		
10/5/2016 CAM	EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS, SETTLEMENT POSSIBILITY AND PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.30
10/6/2016 CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING FOR ADVERSARY STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
CAM	ATTEND ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.50
CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DATES SET BY COURT AT SAME.	0.10
CAM	DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE, DATES AND DEADLINES SET BY COURT AT SAME AND PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.10
10/10/2016 CAM	DRAFT SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.40
10/18/2016 CAM	EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 77

		<u>Hours</u>
10/19/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ENTERED SCHEDULING ORDER IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
11/30/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO REQUEST OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT OF TRUSTEE'S POSITION REGARDING REALISTIC PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF DEFENDANT AFTER CONSIDERATION OF APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.60
12/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME SHOWING BASIS FOR LIABILITY AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
12/13/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE PRODUCING DOCUMENTS AND/OR INFORMATION TO SAME RE TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN DEFENDANT AND DEBTOR FORMING BASIS OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.10
12/16/2016	CAM REVIEW, ANALYZE AND PREPARE DOCUMENTS RE TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING RE PRODUCING SAME TO OPPOSING COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RE ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING.	0.10
1/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE PREPARATION OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
1/13/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.10
1/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY D. FIFE RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME.	0.10
1/17/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCES (MULTIPLE) WITH D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS TO PROVIDE SAME TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE REVISED ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE AND DATA UNDERLYING SAME RE PROVIDING INFORMATION TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 78

		<u>Hours</u>
1/17/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING UPDATED AND REVISED INFORMATION TO SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT.	1.30
1/18/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED ANALYSIS RE APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES BEING PROPOUNDED BY TRUSTEE TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT.	1.50
1/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS SERVED ON SAME.	0.10
1/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) CORRESPONDENCE, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.40
1/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEFENDANT RE REASSESSING DEFENDANT'S LIKELY LIABILITY AND PREPARING MEMORANDUM FOR TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DISCOVERY REQUESTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT, AND RE REASSESSMENT OF DEFENDANT'S LIKELY PREFERENCE LIABILITY IN LIGHT OF DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEFENDANT, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEBTOR.	0.70
1/24/2017	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE UPDATED PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.90
1/25/2017	BN REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503B9 CLAIM.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 79

		<u>Hours</u>
1/25/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONTINUING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503(B)(9) CLAIM HELD BY DEFENDANT RE REEVALUATING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO DEFENDANT'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS, REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONDUCTING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.20
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER AND BASIS OF SAME.	0.50
2/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REQUEST OF SAME FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING TRUSTEE'S RECENTLY REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
2/14/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT, PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO EVALUATE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER, AND RE NEGOTIATING MUTUAL EXTENSIONS OF PARTIES' DEADLINES TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS.	0.20
2/16/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE AND B. NELSON RE REVISING ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS HISTORICAL TRANSACTION ANALYSIS RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
2/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED PRE-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTION HISTORY RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE REVISED TRANSACTION HISTORY AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE INFORMATION FOR ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE / REVISE / FINALIZE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 80

		<u>Hours</u>
2/28/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
3/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
3/9/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, DOCUMENTING SAME AND ENTERING INTO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE PENDING MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/14/2017	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE TO ENABLE PARTIES TO DOCUMENT SETTLEMENT AND ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.60
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME EXECUTING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.10
3/17/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/10/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/11/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DEFENDANT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DEFENDANT.	1.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
4/12/2017	CAM REVISE / FINALIZE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.40
4/13/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, ISSUES RE SAME AND TRUSTEE'S EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 81

			<u>Hours</u>
4/19/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM	DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, TERMS OF SAME AND REASONS FOR TERMS.	0.20
4/20/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:			
			[16.80 7540.00]
<u>Declaratory Relief Adv Salus</u>			
11/4/2016	NDS	REVIEW SECURITY DOCUMENTS/DIP FINANCING ORDER AND BEGIN DRAFTING DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT AS TO SCOPE OF SALUS SECURITY INTEREST IN POST PETITION ASSETS.	2.20
11/7/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT V SALUS.	0.50
11/9/2016	NDS	CONTINUE ANALYZING CASE DOCUMENTS AND DRAFTING ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	1.20
	NDS	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE TRUSTEE INTENTION TO FILE DECLARATORY RELIEF/ACCOUNTING ACTION V SALUS, STRUCTURE OF SAME.	0.20
11/18/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATORY RELIEF ADVERSARY COMPLAINT RE SCOPE/EXTENT OF SALUS LIEN IN REMAINING ESTATE ASSETS.	0.50
11/21/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.30
11/29/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING.	1.20
11/30/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.80
12/1/2016	BN	WORK ON COMPLAINT.	0.40
	NDS	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF DRAFT ADVERSARY COMPLAINT, RESULTS OF REVIEW OF VARIOUS ORDERS ENTERED IN CHAPTER 11 PROCEEDING DEFINING SCOPE OF SALUS' LIEN AND CONTINUING LIEN IN EXCESS RESERVE FUNDS.	0.20
	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT.	1.30
12/2/2016	NDS	CONTINUE DRAFTING ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 82

		<u>Hours</u>
12/5/2016	BN REVISE COMPLAINT.	0.70
	BN RESEARCH RE CORE/NONCORE DISTINCTION AFTER FRBP AMENDMENTS.	0.20
12/7/2016	NDS REVISE IN FINAL PROPOSED DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE PROPOSED DECLARATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT FOR HER REVIEW AND COMMENT.	0.10
12/8/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PRIMARY REQUESTS FOR RELIEF, UPDATED INFORMATION RE SALUS WIND DOWN, IMPACT OF SAME ON ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL CLAIMS.	0.40
12/9/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ELEMENTS OF DEC RELIEF ACTION/COMPLAINT.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT PER COMMENTS OF TRUSTEE.	0.60
	NDS ANALYZE PROPRIETY OF ADDITIONAL CAUSES OF ACTION FOR DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT.	0.30
12/12/2016	BN REVIEW AND REVISE COMPLAINT.	0.40
12/20/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISIONS TO DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT.	0.10
12/21/2016	NDS FINALIZE PROPOSED ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE PROPOSED ADVERSARY COMPLAINT, ADDITION OF PARAGRAPH RE POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL LENDER DEFENDANT.	0.20
1/3/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE DECLATORY RELIEF COMPLAINT REVIEW AND TIMING OF FILING.	0.20
1/5/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE REVISIONS TO DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW PROPOSED REVISIONS TO DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT AND FINALIZE COMPLAINT.	0.40
1/6/2017	BN DRAFT ADVERSARY COVER SHEET.	0.50
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY RULES.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND PREPARE FOR FILING.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 83

		<u>Hours</u>
1/11/2017	BN ASSIST WITH SERVICE OF COMPLAINT, SUMMONS, AND NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY RULES.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVICE ON VARIOUS DEFENDANTS.	0.20
	NDS RESEARCH RE NEW GREENBERG TRAUIG ATTORNEY ASSIGNED TO REPRESENT SALUS IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE GREENBERG TRAUIG ASSIGNMENT OF NEW ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT SALUS IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
1/18/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE PROPER PARTY FOR SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM D. PUGLISI OF PUGLISI & ASSOCIATES ADVISING THAT HE IS NOT AGENT FOR SERVICE FOR SALUS CLO 2012-1, LTD.	0.10
	NDS RESEARCH RE AGENT FOR SERVICE INFORMATION FOR SALUS CLO 2012-1, LTD.	0.20
1/19/2017	BN ANALYZE WHETHER ALIAS SUMMONS IS NEEDED.	0.20
	BN REVIEW PROCESS FOR HAVING ALIAS SUMMONS ISSUED.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED AGENT FOR SERVICE/ADDRESS INFORMATION FOR SALUS LTD DEFENDANT.	0.10
1/20/2017	BN REVIEW AND FINALIZE REQUEST FOR ALIAS SUMMONS.	0.20
1/24/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE NEW STATUS CONFERENCE AND ANSWER DEADLINES FROM NEW SUMMONS.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT CALENDAR CLERK RE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW NEW SUMMONS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
1/27/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS REQUESTING CONFIRMATION OF PARTICIPANTS TO ENSURE THAT ONLY PROPER PARTIES ARE NAMED DEFENDANTS.	0.10
2/2/2017	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RE REQUESTS FROM J. KOMORSKY AND NDS FOR CLARIFICATION OF PARTICIPANTS SO THAT ADVERSARY COMPLAINT AND DEC RELIEF COMPLAINT CAN BE AMENDED IF NEEDED.	0.10
2/3/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE SALUS LOAN PARTICIPANT ISSUES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 84

		<u>Hours</u>
2/6/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVICE OF COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINE TO ANSWER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS REQUESTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER ON BEHALF OF SALUS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM L. SCHOEN REQUESTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER ON BEHALF OF FIDELITY.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REQUESTS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER ON BEHALF OF SALUS AND FIDELITY, STATUS OF EFFORTS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION RE LOAN PARTICIPANTS TO ENSURE THAT NAMED DEFENDANTS IN DEC RELIEF ACTION ARE ACCURATE.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE LOAN PARTICIPANTS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO DETERMINE WHETHER CERTAIN DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE DISMISSED.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE UPDATED LIEN SEARCH REPORTS FOR INFORMATION RE PARTY FILING UCC-1'S TO DETERMINE NECESSARY PARTIES.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE SALUS PRE PETITION LOAN DOCUMENTATION, ISSUES RE SCOPE OF LIEN, EXCLUDED COLLATERAL, ETC.	0.30
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS AND L. SCHOEN RE REQUESTED EXTENSIONS OF TIME TO ANSWER ADVERSARY COMPLAINT, INFORMATION NEEDED TO ASSESS WHETHER CERTAIN DEFENDANTS SHOULD BE DISMISSED.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE LOAN PARTICIPANT INFORMATION.	0.10
2/8/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH L. SCHOEN RE TRUSTEE INTENT TO FILE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AS TO CERTAIN DEFENDANTS IN ADVERSARY, TIMING OF SAME, 30 DAY EXTENSION IN THE INTERIM.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RENEWING REQUEST FOR COMPLETE SET OF SALUS PRE PETITION CREDIT AND SECURITY AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING SCHEDULES.	0.10
	BN WORK ON NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT SALUS.	0.20
2/9/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. WANG RE STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.20
	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ORDER THEREON.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 85

		<u>Hours</u>
2/9/2017	TCR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIAN WANG RE EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE TO SALUS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (.1); REVIEW AND EXECUTE STIPULATION AND ORDER THEREON EXTENDING TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING.	0.30
2/11/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS RE EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER ADVERSARY COMPLAINT TO MARCH 1.	0.20
2/27/2017	NDS REVISE IN FINAL NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANTS OTHER THAN SALUS AS COLLATERAL AGENT.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANTS OTHER THAN SALUS AS COLLATERAL AGENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE STATUS OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANTS OTHER THAN SALUS AS COLLATERAL AGENT.	0.10
	BN WORK ON NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT SALUS CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC WITHOUT PREJUDICE	0.40
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH N. SANDERS AND J. DAVIS RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANTS.	0.10
	BN FINALIZE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ALL DEFENDANTS EXCEPT SALUS CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC WITHOUT PREJUDICE	0.10
3/2/2017	BN REVIEW DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.20
3/3/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.60
3/6/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY COMPLAINT, ISSUES RE SAME.	0.40
3/15/2017	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE STATUS CONFERENCE UNTIL AFTER HEARING ON SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.60
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE MULTIPLE MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND RELATED PLEADINGS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS RE MULTIPLE NOTICES FILED RE MOTION TO DISMISS, CONFIRMATION OF APPROPRIATE PLEADING FOR LINKING OPPOSITION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE GIVEN PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS FILED BY SALUS.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RE DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE GIVEN PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 86

		<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE SCHEDULING ISSUES RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE GIVEN PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
3/21/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS RE STATUS OF HIS REVIEW OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE PROVIDED TO HIM ON MARCH 16.	0.10
3/22/2017	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER RE STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND.	0.10
3/23/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE PREFERRED DATES FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE, REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	NDS PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS CONFIRMING SELECTED DATE FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE, REDLINED STIPULATION REFLECTING SAME.	0.10
3/24/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ADV CASE STATUS CONFERENCE GIVEN PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	BN FINALIZE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	BN DRAFT ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
3/29/2017	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE PER STIPULATION; CALENDAR AND CONFIRM TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE AT SAME.	0.10
	BN REVIEW ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
4/21/2017	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT.	0.50
	BN DRAFT OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT.	0.60
	BN REVIEW PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT AND OPPOSITION TO THE SAME.	0.70
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER SALUS MAY RELY ON EXHIBITS FOR ITS MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT; RESEARCH RE THE SAME.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS, REFERENCES TO EXHIBITS/DOCUMENTS ALLEGEDLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE, ISSUES RE JUDICIAL NOTICE, ETC.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 87

		<u>Hours</u>
4/21/2017	TCR ANALYZE STRATEGY RE RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT FILED BY SALUS.	0.40
4/24/2017	BN WORK ON OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.40
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.50
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER SALUS MAY RELY ON DOCUMENTS NOT ATTACHED TO COMPLAINT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.30
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER INTERPRETATION OF AGREED-UPON DOCUMENTS IS PERMISSIBLE IN CONNECTION WITH MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.50
	BN RESEARCH RE STANDARD FOR GRANTING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS.	0.10
4/25/2017	BN WORK ON OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.40
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.20
4/26/2017	BN WORK ON OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.50
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[31.50 16605.00]
<u>Deepwater Horizon</u>		
11/14/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM WPI RE PENDING BP OIL SPILL CLAIM, FORWARD SAME TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE EMAIL FROM WPI RE PENDING BP OIL SPILL CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE HIS COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. FARRELL AT WPI RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS FROM BP OIL.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. BRAHMS AT WPI RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL FROM BP OIL, ANALYSIS OF ANNA'S FOUR CLAIMS, ISSUES WITH PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, ETC.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW DEEPWATER CLAIM INFORMATION, DEBTOR'S EVALUATION IN WATERFALL ANALYSIS, ETC.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 88

		<u>Hours</u>
11/14/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL FROM BP OIL, ANALYSIS OF ANNA'S FOUR CLAIMS, ISSUES WITH PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, ETC.	0.40
11/15/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND WPI RE ANALYSIS OF PENDING SETTLEMENT OFFER, CONFIRMATION OF TRUSTEE'S REJECTION OF SAME.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE OFFER FROM BP TO RESOLVE ESTATE CLAIMS.	0.10
1/25/2017	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO WPI RE STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATE'S CLAIM.	0.10
2/19/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATE'S CLAIMS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN AN UPDATED STATUS FROM DWI RE STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATE'S CLAIMS.	0.10
2/21/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF AND ISSUES RE BP OIL SPILL CLAIM	0.20
2/26/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. FARRELL AND WPI RE STATUS OF INVESTIGATION RE RECENT NOTICE RECEIVED FROM CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR RE ESTATE'S CLAIMS.	0.10
2/28/2017	NDS REVIEW UPDATE EMAIL FROM J. FARRELL AT WPI RECOVERY RE STATUS OF ESTATE'S CLAIM REVIEW.	0.10
3/6/2017	BN REVIEW EMAILS FROM J. FARRELL, J. SWISHER, D. FIFE, AND TRUSTEE RE CLAIM RE BP OIL SPILL.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND D. FIFE RE INFORMATION FROM WPI RE FURTHER INFORMATION NEEDED TO SUPPORT ESTATE'S CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL/TEXT TO J. SWISHER REQUESTING HIS IMMEDIATE ASSISTANCE IN GATHERING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO SUPPORT ESTATE'S CLAIM.	0.10
3/7/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL STRING AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED TO RESPOND TO REQUEST FROM BP CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL TAX SUPPORT INFORMATION TO BP CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 89

		<u>Hours</u>
3/7/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. FARRELL AT WPI RE INFORMATION NEEDED TO RESPOND TO REQUEST FROM BP CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR.	0.10
3/17/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SUBMISSION DEADLINE ESTABLISHED BY CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR, STATUS OF WPI SUBMISSION OF TAX INFORMATION REQUESTED.	0.10
4/10/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF FURTHER CLAIM REVIEW, REQUEST FOR UPDATE TO WPI.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO J. FARRELL AT WPI RE STATUS OF FURTHER CLAIM REVIEW.	0.10
4/11/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. FARRELL RE STATUS OF COMMUNICATIONS WITH CLAIMS REVIEWER RE ESTATE CLAIM.	0.10
4/20/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. FARRELL AT WPI RE CLAIM ADMINISTRATOR REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL 2008 TAX INFORMATION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE AND TRUSTEE RE GATHERING OF ADDITIONAL 2008 TAX INFORMATION REQUESTED BY CLAIM ADMINISTRATOR.	0.20
4/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. FARRELL AND D. FIFE RE ADDITIONAL TAX INFORMATION REQUESTED BY CLAIMS EVALUATOR, ISSUE RE WHETHER IRS WILL PROVIDE TRANSCRIPT INFORMATION BACK TO 2008.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[4.00 2467.50]

Deferred Compensation Plan

10/3/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW OF CASE DOCUMENTS AND DRAFTING OF REPLY TO SALUS LIMITED OPPOSITION.	1.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES FOR REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.30
10/4/2016	NDS COMPLETE DRAFT REPLY TO SALUS LIMITED OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DRAFT REPLY TO SALUS LIMITED OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.30
10/5/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAILS FROM J. KOMORSKY RE MOGLIA DECISION, ANALYZE UNDERLYING DECISION.	0.30
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE DEBTOR'S RABBI TRUST AGREEMENT AND POTENTIAL IMPACT OF MOGLIA DECISION ON SALUS' CLAIM TO SECURITY INTEREST IN TRUST PROCEEDS.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 90

		<u>Hours</u>
10/5/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE ANALYSIS OF PROVISIONS OF DEBTOR'S RABBI TRUST AGREEMENT AND SALUS CLAIM OF A LIEN IN ALL PROCEEDS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW MOGLIA CASE AND DETERMINE APPLICABILITY TO ISSUES RAISED IN SALUS' OPPOSITION.	0.60
10/6/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE TERMS OF MASTER TRUST AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS FURTHER ANALYZE ISSUES RE ABILITY OF ANNA'S TO ENCUMBER TRUST ASSETS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ANNA'S BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION/DISCLOSURES AS TO DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ASSETS.	0.10
10/7/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE SALUS CLAIM OF SECURITY INTEREST IN PROCEEDS OF MASTER INSURANCE POLICY, HISTORY OF SALUS COLLATERAL PACKAGE, PRE PETITION SECURITY DOCUMENTS, POST PETITION ISSUES, 10/11 HEARING ON MOTION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER POLICY, OPPOSITIONS OF VARIOUS PLAN PARTICIPANTS, ETC.	0.90
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE SALUS POSITION THAT IT HAS SECURITY INTEREST IN PROCEEDS OF MASTER POLICY.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW LETTER OBJECTION FROM PLAN PARTICIPANT S. WELS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER THE DEBTOR HAD THE POWER TO ENCUMBER TRUST ASSETS.	0.50
	BN REVIEW MASTER TRUST IN PREPARATION FOR HEARING ON MOTION.	0.50
	BN REVIEW LETTER FROM S. WELS OBJECTING TO LIQUIDATION OF TRUST.	0.20
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF OPPOSITION OF S. WELS.	0.20
10/10/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOLUBCHIK RE SALUS POSITION RE SECURITY INTEREST IN PROCEEDS OF MASTER POLICY.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER POLICY.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE OUTLINE RE 10/11 HEARING RE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER POLICY, INCLUDING REVIEW OF MASTER TRUST AGREEMENT AND PROVISIONS PROHIBITING ENCUMBRANCES, LACK OF AUTHORITY FOR COMPANY (ANNA'S) TO DIRECT OR CONTROL TRUST ASSETS.	0.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 91

		<u>Hours</u>
10/11/2016	NDS ATTEND HEARING RE MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER POLICY, WIND DOWN TRUST AND PLAN.	2.30
	NDS POST HEARING CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER, PLAN PARTICIPANT.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH PLAN TRUST TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF PLAN TRUST AGREEMENT, SALUS POSITION RE SECURITY INTEREST IN TRUST ASSETS, ETC.	0.30
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO A. FRIEDMAN RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS POLICY LIQUIDATION AND TRUST WINDDOWN.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ARGUMENTS FOR RELEASE OF LIQUIDATED TRUST CORPUS.	0.10
10/12/2016	NDS DRAFT ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER INSURANCE POLICY.	0.70
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BASTIAN RE RESULTS OF HEARING RE MOTION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER INSURANCE POLICY.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO LIQUIDATE MASTER INSURANCE POLICY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW PROPOSED ORDER ON MOTION.	0.20
10/14/2016	BN ANALYZE GOING-FORWARD ISSUES FOR LIQUIDATING AND WINDING DOWN TRUST AND PLAN.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH A. FRIEDMAN, N. GRAY AND D. SNYDER AT MATRIX RE NEXT STEPS FOR LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY AND TERMINATION OR WIND DOWN OF PLAN TRUST.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. ECKERT AT NEWPORT GROUP AND MATRIX REPRESENTATIVES RE NEXT STEPS FOR LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY AND TERMINATION OR WIND DOWN OF PLAN.	0.30
10/17/2016	BN REVIEW PROCESS FOR WINDING DOWN PLAN AND TRUST.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM S. ECKERT OF NEWPORT GROUP RE NEXT ADMINISTRATIVE STEPS RE PLAN TERMINATION.	0.10
10/21/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH S. ECKERT AT NEWPORT GROUP RE MECHANICS FOR MASTER POLICY LIQUIDATION, FINAL REPORTING, CALCULATION OF PARTICIPANT CLAIMS, ETC.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 92

		<u>Hours</u>
10/25/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE TIMING OF LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY IN LIGHT OF ENTRY OF ORDER AUTHORIZING SAME, WIRE INSTRUCTIONS, TIMING OF INCREASED BOND TO COINCIDE WITH RECEIPT OF POLICY PROCEEDS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER AUTHORIZING LIQUIDATION OF MASTER INSURANCE POLICY.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH PLAN ADMINISTRATOR AND TRUST TRUSTEE RE ENTERED ORDER AUTHORIZING LIQUIDATION OF MASTER INSURANCE POLICY, TIMING OF LIQUIDATION, ETC.	0.20
10/27/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. ECKERT AT NEWPORT GROUP RE PAPERWORK FOR SURRENDER OF MASTER POLICY.	0.10
10/31/2016	NDS DRAFT AND REVISE TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO GREAT WEST LIFE RE CLIENT SERVICE FORM, REQUEST FOR SURRENDER/LIQUIDATION, ETC.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROPOSED TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO GREAT WEST LIFE RE CLIENT SERVICE FORM, REQUEST FOR SURRENDER/LIQUIDATION, ETC.	0.10
11/1/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM NEWPORT GROUP CONFIRMING GREAT WEST LIFE LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY, TIMING OF RECEIPT OF PROCEEDS.	0.10
11/3/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND NEWPORT GROUP RE PROCEEDS OF LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY.	0.10
11/7/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. NICKEL RE PLAN REPORTS REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PLAN REPORTS REQUESTED FROM PLAN ADMINISTRATOR, PAYMENT OF FINAL INVOICES OF PLAN ADMINISTRATOR AND PLAN TRUST TRUSTEE, INFORMATION NEEDED TO ADDRESS PARTICIPANT CLAIMS FILED AGAINST THE ESTATE.	0.20
11/8/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROCESSING OF PAYMENT TO PLAN ADMINISTRATOR AND PLAN TRUST TRUSTEE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. NICKEL RE TRUSTEE ACCEPTANCE OF FINAL PLAN REPORTS, PAYMENT OF OUTSTANDING FEES OF PLAN ADMINISTRATOR AND PLAN TRUST TRUSTEE, MAINTENANCE OF PLAN RECORDS, ETC.	0.20
11/14/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM NEWPORT GROUP RE OCTOBER PLAN REPORTING.	0.10
11/15/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND STATEMENT FROM TRUSTEE RE GREAT WEST LIFE & ANNUITY TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION FOLLOWING LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 93

		<u>Hours</u>
11/15/2016	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE GREAT WEST LIFE & ANNUITY TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION INFORMATION.	0.10
12/14/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM NEWPORT GROUP RE 11/16 PLAN REPORTS FOLLOWING LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY.	0.10
1/3/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. NICKEL AT NEWPORT GROUP RE FINAL PLAN ADMINSTRATION/CLOSURE.	0.10
1/4/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. NICKEL AT NEWPORT GROUP RE FINAL PLAN ADMINSTRATION/CLOSURE.	0.10
1/17/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM NEWPORT GROUP RE FINAL PLAN REPORTING.	0.10
1/20/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. NICHOLS AT NEWPORT GROUP RE CLOSURE OF ACCOUNT, MAINTENANCE OF PARTICIPANT RECORDS SHOULD CLAIMS BE AN ISSUE, ETC.	0.20
1/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FINAL YEAR END ACCOUNTING FOR TERMINATED PLAN.	0.10
1/30/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE 1099'S ISSUED TO THE ESTATE FOLLOWING LIQUIDATION OF MASTER POLICY AND REMITTANCE OF FUNDS TO THE TRUSTEE, ISSUES RE TAXABLE NATURE OF DISTRIBUTION.	0.30
SUBTOTAL:		[16.70 9585.00]

Elrene Home Fashions

1/30/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO ELRENE HOME'S CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF ELRENE HOME AND CONCLUSIONS DRAWN FROM SAME.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE DEMAND LETTER TO ELRENE HOME'S / JOSIE ACCESSORIES' COUNSEL FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 94

		<u>Hours</u>
2/24/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO ELRENE HOME / JOSIE ACCESSORIES FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS, ANALYSIS SUPPORTING SAME AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.20
3/9/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR ELRENE HOME RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER, BASIS FOR SAME, AND CASE FACTS AND ISSUES.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM ELRENE'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
4/6/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ELRENE'S COUNSEL, M. JASPEN, RE ISSUES RE SAME PROVIDING ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND RE CASE FACTS AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[3.00 1332.50]
<u>Ex Cell Adversary</u>		
10/5/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS, SETTLEMENT POSSIBILITIES AND PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.30
10/6/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING FOR ADVERSARY STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	CAM ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DATES SET BY COURT AT SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE, DATES AND DEADLINES SET BY COURT AT SAME AND PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.10
10/10/2016	CAM DRAFT SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.40
10/18/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 95

		<u>Hours</u>
10/19/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ENTERED SCHEDULING ORDER IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
11/30/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO REQUEST OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT OF TRUSTEE'S POSITION REGARDING REALISTIC PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF DEFENDANT AFTER CONSIDERATION OF APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.60
12/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME SHOWING BASIS FOR LIABILITY.	0.10
12/13/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE PRODUCING DOCUMENTS AND/OR INFORMATION TO SAME RE TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN DEFENDANT AND DEBTOR FORMING BASIS OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.10
12/16/2016	CAM REVIEW, ANALYZE AND PREPARE DOCUMENTS RE TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING RE PRODUCING SAME TO OPPOSING COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RE ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING.	0.10
1/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE PREPARATION OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
1/13/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE QUESTIONS OF SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.10
1/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY D. FIFE RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME.	0.10
1/17/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCES (MULTIPLE) WITH D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS TO PROVIDE SAME TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE REVISED ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE AND DATA UNDERLYING SAME RE PROVIDING INFORMATION TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 96

		<u>Hours</u>
1/17/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT.	1.30
1/18/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED ANALYSIS RE APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES BEING PROPOUNDED BY TRUSTEE TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT.	1.60
1/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS SERVED ON SAME.	0.10
1/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) CORRESPONDENCE, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.40
1/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEFENDANT RE REASSESSING DEFENDANT'S LIKELY LIABILITY AND PREPARE MEMORANDUM FOR TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DISCOVERY REQUESTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT, AND RE REASSESSMENT OF DEFENDANT'S LIKELY PREFERENCE LIABILITY IN LIGHT OF DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEFENDANT, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEBTOR.	0.60
1/24/2017	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE UPDATED PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 97

		<u>Hours</u>
1/25/2017	BN REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503B9 CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONTINUING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503(B)(9) CLAIM HELD BY DEFENDANT RE REEVALUATING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO DEFENDANT'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS, REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONDUCTING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.20
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER AND BASIS OF SAME.	0.50
2/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REQUEST OF SAME FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING TRUSTEE'S RECENTLY REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
2/14/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT, PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO EVALUATE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER, AND RE NEGOTIATING MUTUAL EXTENSIONS OF PARTIES' DEADLINES TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS.	0.20
2/16/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE AND B. NELSON RE REVISING ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS HISTORICAL TRANSACTION ANALYSIS RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
2/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED PRE-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTION HISTORY RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE REVISED TRANSACTION HISTORY AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE INFORMATION FOR ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 98

		<u>Hours</u>
2/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS TO SAME AT REQUEST OF SAME.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.20
2/28/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
3/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
3/9/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, DOCUMENTING SAME AND ENTERING INTO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE PENDING MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/14/2017	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE TO ENABLE PARTIES TO DOCUMENT SETTLEMENT AND ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.60
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME EXECUTING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.10
3/17/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
4/10/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/11/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DEFENDANT.	0.30
4/12/2017	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.20
4/13/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 99

		<u>Hours</u>
4/13/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, ISSUES RE SAME AND TRUSTEE'S EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.10
4/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, TERMS OF SAME AND REASONS FOR TERMS.	0.20
4/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[16.40 7360.00]
<u>Fee/Employment</u>		
10/2/2016	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED APPLICATION TO EMPLOY CO-SPECIAL LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISED APPLICATION TO EMPLOY CO-SPECIAL LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
10/3/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. BREGMAN RE FINAL VERSION OF APPLICATION TO EMPLOY SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.20
10/18/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM C. COHEN RE OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINEN TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINEN TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINEN TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINEN TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL, PENDING ISSUES IN UNDERLYING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
10/19/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE BALTIC LINEN OPPOSITION TO EMPLOYMENT OF SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.30
	BN REVIEW BALTIC LINEN'S OBJECTION TO MOTION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 100

		<u>Hours</u>
10/24/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS OPPOSITION TO PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE ONNIBUS REPLY TO SALUS AND BALTIC OPPOSITIONS TO PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW JUDGE ALBERT'S AVAILABLE DATES FOR HEARING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY RE PROPOSED DATES FOR HEARING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE CONFLICT OF INTEREST ISSUES RAISED BY SALUS' OBJECTION TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
10/25/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE HEARING DATE FOR APPLICATION TO EMPLOY.	0.10
10/26/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S CALENDAR CLERK RE SETTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY SPECIAL LITIGATION COUNSEL FOR HEARING.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE NOTICE OF HEARING RE TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS SPECIAL CO LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.20
10/27/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.30
10/31/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE HIS COMMUNICATIONS WITH M. KOGAN RE PENDING OBJECTION TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL, STATUS OF INITIAL DRAFT OF REPLY TO SAME.	0.30
11/2/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE DRAFT REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
11/3/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED IN OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE BRUTZKUS GUBNER DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO ITS EMPLOYMENT AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.40
	NDS PREPARE OUTLINE OF REPLY OF TRUSTEE TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REPLY TO BALTIC LINEN AND SALUS OBJECTION TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 101

		<u>Hours</u>
11/4/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. KOGAN RE BALTIC ISSUES RE TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT OF SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL, GENERAL CASE ISSUES.	0.80
11/8/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COMMUNICATIONS WITH M. KOGAN.	0.30
11/9/2016	BN REVIEW OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINENS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE STATUS OF TRUSTEE DRAFT REPLY TO SALUS AND BALTIC LINEN OPPOSITION TO EMPLOYMENT OF GUBNER FIRM AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
11/10/2016	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF OBJECTION OF BALTIC LINENS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.70
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
11/11/2016	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF OBJECTION TO BALTIC LINENS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF OBJECTION OF SALUS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.00
	BN RESEARCH RE LEGAL ISSUES RAISED BY OBJECTION OF SALUS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.70
	BN REVIEW BRUTZKUS GUBNER'S REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION.	0.50
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	NDS OUTLINE OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.30
11/14/2016	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.40
	BN REVIEW DRAFT OF REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	BN WORK ON ANALYSIS OF ISSUES RAISED BY OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 102

		<u>Hours</u>
11/14/2016	BN ANALYZE STRATEGIES FOR REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	BN DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.60
11/15/2016	NDS BEGIN REVIEW/PREPARATION OF COMMENTS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO ITS EMPLOYMENT AS SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.30
	BN DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	3.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST ARE SUFFICIENT TO DISALLOW EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN RESEARCH RE TEST FOR WHETHER ACTUAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST EXISTS.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHEN CONFLICTS COUNSEL REMOVES CONFLICT OF INTEREST.	0.80
11/16/2016	BN REVIEW VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' PROOFS OF CLAIM FOR DISCUSSION IN REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN WORK ON OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.30
	BN REVIEW VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' STIPULATIONS RE 503B9 CLAIMS FOR USE IN OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN RESEARCH RE DUTY OF LOYALTY ISSUES AND AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW.	0.90
	BN RESEARCH RE UNWAIVABLE CONFLICTS UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW.	0.70
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/REVISION OF OMNIBUS REPLY TO BALTIC AND SALUS OBJECTIONS TO EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.60
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE INFORMATION NEEDED FROM VENDOR PLAINTIFFS FOR OMNIBUS REPLY TO BALTIC AND SALUS OBJECTIONS TO EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/PREPARATION OF COMMENTS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER OMNIBUS REPLY TO BALTIC AND SALUS OBJECTIONS TO EMPLOYMENT.	0.30
11/17/2016	BN REVIEW CLAIMS FILED BY VENDOR PLAINTIFFS FOR USE IN REPLY TO APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 103

		<u>Hours</u>
11/17/2016	BN WORK ON REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN REVIEW CASES CITED BY OBJECTORS RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.90
11/18/2016	BN REVISE OMNIBUS REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.80
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE OMNIBUS REPLIES TO OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE TRUSTEE'S PROPOSED OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.90
	NDS COMPLETE REVIEW/REDLINING OF COMMENTS TO DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER RE OBJECTIONS TO ITS EMPLOYMENT.	0.50
11/21/2016	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE SUGGESTED REVISIONS TO REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.60
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE DRAFT OMNIBUS REPLIES TO BALTIC AND SALUS OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COMMENTS/PROPOSED REVISIONS OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER TO TRUSTEE'S OMNIBUS REPLY TO BALTIC AND SALUS OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.30
11/22/2016	BN REVISE REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	BN DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	1.00
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OMNIBUS REPLY RE OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
11/29/2016	BN PREPARE ATTACHMENTS TO REPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
11/30/2016	NDS REVIEW VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS OF BALTIC LINEN AND SALUS TO TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS OF BALTIC LINEN AND SALUS TO TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER AS JOINT SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL.	0.10
12/1/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE PREPARATION FOR 12/6 HEARING.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 104

		<u>Hours</u>
12/2/2016	BN REVIEW SALUS' SURREPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY SALUS' SURREPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER SURREPLIES ARE ALLOWED, AND WHETHER A PARTY MAY OPPOSE ONE, UNDER THE LOCAL OR FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY RULES.	0.30
	BN DRAFT OPPOSITION TO SALUS' MOTION FOR SURREPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.40
	BN FINALIZE OPPOSITION TO SALUS' MOTION FOR SURREPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR REPLY.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE TRUSTEE SIMPLE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR REPLY.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW LARGE SCALE BIOLOGY CORP DECISION AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR REPLY.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR REPLY, INCLUDING REVIEW OF DRAFT REPLY.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS WITH M. KOGAN/BALTIC LINEN RE OBJECTION TO EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
12/5/2016	BN REVIEW VENDORS' OPPOSITION TO SALUS' SURREPLY RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREPARATION FOR 12/6 HEARING RE PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE PREPARATION FOR 12/6 HEARING RE PROPOSED EMPLOYMENT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RE 12/6 HEARING.	0.80
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE COURT'S TENTATIVE RE 12/6 HEARING.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COURT'S TENTATIVE RE 12/6 HEARING.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 105

		<u>Hours</u>
12/5/2016	NDS REVIEW CASE AUTHORITIES AND PREPARE OUTLINE FOR 12/6 HEARING IN VIEW OF COURT'S TENTATIVE.	2.30
12/6/2016	BN DRAFT ORDER APPROVING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.60
	NDS FINALIZE PLEADING/AUTHORITY REVIEW AND OUTLINE FOR HEARING RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.80
	NDS TRAVEL TO AND FROM COURTHOUSE AND ATTEND HEARING RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	2.50
	NDS POST HEARING MEETING WITH TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE PROCEEDING FORWARD WITH LITIGATION, ANTICIPATED ADVERSARY BY BALTIC LINEN ASSERTING SIMILAR CLAIMS AS VENDOR PLAINTIFFS, ETC.	0.70
12/7/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE SALUS ORDER OF TRANSCRIPT FROM 12/6 HEARING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT AND REVISE PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FORM OF ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER, ISSUES RE COSTS RETAINER.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE FORM OF ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZGUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS REVISE IN FINAL PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER PER COMMENTS OF J. BREGMAN.	0.10
12/9/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. KOMORSKY RE SALUS ORDER OF EXPEDITED TRANSCRIPT OF 12/6 HEARING, ISSUES RE INTERLOCUTORY NATURE OF ORDER ONCE ENTERED.	0.20
12/14/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE TRANSCRIPT OF 12/6 HEARING RE EMPLOYMENT, INCLUDING REVIEW OF PORTIONS OF TRANSCRIPT.	0.30
12/16/2016	BN REVIEW OBJECTION TO ORDER AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ORDER RE APPLICATION TO EMPLOY BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS OBJECTION TO FORM OF ORDER RE EMPLOYMENT OF GUBNER BRUTZKUS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS OBJECTION TO FORM OF ORDER RE EMPLOYMENT OF GUBNER BRUTZKUS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 106

		<u>Hours</u>
12/16/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE SALUS OBJECTION TO FORM OF ORDER RE EMPLOYMENT OF GUBNER BRUTZKUS.	0.30
12/19/2016	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO JOINT REPLY OF PLAINTIFFS TO SALUS OBJECTION TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROPOSED JOINT REPLY OF PLAINTIFFS TO SALUS OBJECTION TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE TRUSTEE REVIEW OF PROPOSED JOINT REPLY OF PLAINTIFFS TO SALUS OBJECTION TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10
12/20/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE HER REVISIONS TO REPLY TO SALUS OBJECTION TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE FINAL REVISIONS TO REPLY TO SALUS OBJECTION TO GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10
12/21/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ENTRY OF SUBMITTED ORDER RE GUBNER EMPLOYMENT, TIMING OF PAYMENT OF COSTS RETAINER, ISSUES RE PROVISION OF CONFIDENTIAL DATA PENDING ORDER BECOMING FINAL/IN THE EVENT SALUS APPEALS.	0.30
1/3/2017	BN REVIEW MOTION FOR STAY OF ORDER APPROVING EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE BRIEFING DEADLINES RE MOTION FOR STAY OF ORDER APPROVING EMPLOYMENT APPLICATION OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
1/4/2017	BN ANALYZE STRATEGY FOR RESPONDING TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	1.10
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	1.40
1/5/2017	BN REVIEW NOTICE OF REFERRAL OF APPEAL RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.40
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER APPEAL OF EMPLOYMENT ORDER IS INTERLOCUTORY.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 107

		<u>Hours</u>
1/6/2017	BN DRAFT OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.60
	BN RESEARCH RE STANDARD FOR GRANTING STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
1/10/2017	BN REVIEW IN FINAL TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10
1/11/2017	BN REVIEW VENDOR PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
1/17/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RESPONSE DEADLINE RE SALUS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.10
1/18/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS' REPLY RE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN REVIEW MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
1/19/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
1/20/2017	BN REVIEW ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.10
1/30/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS DESIGNATION OF RECORD RE APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SUPPLEMENTAL DESIGNATION OF RECORD AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES RE APPEAL OF BRUZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN WITH SUPPLEMENTAL DESIGNATION OF RECORD RE APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.30
3/31/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF INVOICING FROM J. SWISHER, POTENTIAL NEED TO SUPPLEMENT PARAPROFESSIONAL EMPLOYMENT ORDER IF SCOPE OF SERVICES IS EXPANDED.	0.20
4/5/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE 45 DAY NOTICE RE FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATIONS.	0.10
4/7/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES RE WILLINGNESS TO CONTINUE SERVICES ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 108

		<u>Hours</u>
4/10/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATIONS, DETERMINATION OF FREE AND CLEAR FUNDS ON HAND FOR PRO RATA PAYMENT OF SAME, TRUSTEE'S DECLARATION AND DETAIL RE PROPOSED DISTRIBUTIONS ON ACCOUNT OF ALLOWED FEES.	0.10
4/12/2017	NDS BEGIN DRAFTING DECLARATION OF NDS IN SUPPORT OF FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF R&S.	1.30
4/13/2017	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATION OF NDS IN SUPPORT OF R&S FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION.	1.60
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COST RETAINER TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER NOW THAT EMPLOYMENT ORDER IS FINAL.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO B. MARAVENT RE EMPLOYING TRS RECOVERY SERVICES TO PURSUE CHECK COLLECTIONS ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING INFORMATION TO PROVIDE TO N. SANDERS FOR FEE APPLICATION.	0.20
4/14/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT RE EMPLOYMENT OF TRS RECOVERY SERVICES.	0.40
	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING DECLARATION OF NDS IN SUPPORT OF R&S FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION.	1.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SPECIAL CO-LITIGATION COUNSEL REGARDING COST RETAINERS FROM VENDOR PLAINTIFFS, COSTS ADVANCED TO DATE.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE NARRATIVE PORTIONS OF FEE REQUESTS, DETAIL TO BE INCLUDED RE VARIOUS BILLING CATEGORIES.	0.30
4/17/2017	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF R&S.	1.60
4/18/2017	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF R&S.	0.60
4/19/2017	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF R&S.	2.00
4/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FOR FIRST FEE APPLICATION PER REQUEST OF N. SANDERS.	0.40
	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING R&S FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION.	1.50
4/21/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT RE EMPLOYMENT OF TRS RECOVERY SERVICES.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE DRAFTING FIRST INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF R&S.	3.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 109

		<u>Hours</u>
4/25/2017	BN DRAFT APPLICATION TO EMPLOY TRS RECOVERY SERVICES.	0.40
4/26/2017	NDS BEGIN DRAFTING DECLARATION OF TRUSTEE RE INTERIM FEE APPLICATIONS OF R&S, HAHN FIFE, AND SHULMAN HODGES, ACCOUNTING OF FREE AND CLEAR FUNDS AVAILABLE IN THE ESTATE TO PAY PROFESSIONAL FEES ON A PRO RATA BASIS.	1.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INITIAL DRAFT OF HER DECLARATION RE INTERIM FEE APPLICATIONS AND ACCOUNTING OF FREE AND CLEAR FUNDS.	0.10
4/27/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. MARAVENT RE EMPLOYING TRS RECOVERY SERVICES.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE NARRATIVE INFORMATION FOR TRUSTEE'S INTERIM FEE REQUEST.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[70.60 35532.50]
<u>Franco Manufacturing</u>		
2/7/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW EMAIL AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FOR FRANCO MANUFACTURING.	0.20
2/27/2017	BN REVIEW SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO FRANCO'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING FRANCO'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.70
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF FRANCO'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CASE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS TO BE DRAWN FROM ANALYSES OF FRANCO'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF COMMON PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE DEMAND LETTER TO FRANCO SEEKING RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND ANALYSING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 110

		<u>Hours</u>
2/27/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO FRANCO MFG., FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
2/28/2017	CAM FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO FRANCO MANUFACTURING.	0.20
3/3/2017	BN REVIEW RESPONSE TO DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STRATEGY RE FRANCO'S REQUEST FOR OUR ANALYSIS.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM FRANCO'S COUNSEL RESPONDING TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO LETTER FROM FRANCO'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER, AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONSE RECEIVED FROM FRANCO'S COUNSEL TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
3/6/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE PROVIDING TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS TO FRANCO.	0.10
3/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH FRANCO'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS AND SETTLEMENT.	0.40
SUBTOTAL:		[4.30 1882.50]
<u>Ginsey Adversary</u>		
10/13/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING SETTLEMENT OFFER TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND JUSTIFICATIONS FOR SAME.	0.30
10/14/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEGOTIATING SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.30
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
10/18/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND OBTAINING INFORMATION FOR SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 111

		<u>Hours</u>
10/18/2016	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.00
10/19/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW OF SAME, AND SUMMARY OF SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISED DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
10/20/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CHANGES TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BEING REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.20
10/24/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO REQUESTED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/25/2016	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S UNWILLINGNESS TO AGREE TO REVISED SETTLEMENT TERMS AND REASONS FOR SAME, AND RE FINAL DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR EXECUTION OF DEFENDANT.	0.30
11/16/2016	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROCEEDING WITH SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FINALIZING AND EXECUTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REJECTION OF EXPANDED RELEASE IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RE DEFENDANT'S INTENTION RE SETTLEMENT IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FINALIZED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND GETTING SAME EXECUTED.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 112

		<u>Hours</u>
11/17/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM K. NAYLOR.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE HAVING DEFENDANT EXECUTE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10
11/21/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ISSUES.	0.10
11/22/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE SCHEDULING ORDER AND COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING IN PREPARATION FOR PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO AMEND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.20
2/9/2017	CAM ATTEND PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.30
3/9/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEED FOR DEFENDANT TO FILE AMENDED ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM PER TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/22/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME FILING AMENDED CLAIM PER TERMS OF COURT APPROVED SETTLEMENT.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT RE SAME FILING AMENDED CLAIM PER TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
4/4/2017	NDS REVIEW UPDATED POC FILED BY GINSEY CONSISTENT WITH APPROVED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 113

		<u>Hours</u>
4/10/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.10
4/11/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM FINALIZE STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.10
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S LAW CLERK RE STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING JUST FILED BY TRUSTEE AND UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[7.80 3530.00]
<u>Glenoit Adversary</u>		
10/5/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS, SETTLEMENT POSSIBILITIES AND PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.30
10/6/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING FOR ADVERSARY STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION FOR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
	CAM ATTEND ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DATES SET BY COURT AT SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS CONFERENCE, DATES AND DEADLINES SET BY COURT AT SAME AND PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.10
10/10/2016	CAM DRAFT SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.50
10/18/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME.	0.10
10/19/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ENTERED SCHEDULING ORDER IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
11/30/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO REQUEST OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT OF TRUSTEE'S POSITION REGARDING REALISTIC PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 114

		<u>Hours</u>
	DEFENDANT AFTER CONSIDERATION OF APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	
12/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION TO SAME SHOWING BASIS FOR LIABILITY AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
12/13/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE PRODUCING DOCUMENTS AND/OR INFORMATION TO SAME RE TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN DEFENDANT AND DEBTOR FORMING BASIS OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.10
12/16/2016	CAM REVIEW, ANALYZE AND PREPARE DOCUMENTS RE TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING RE PRODUCING SAME TO OPPOSING COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS RE ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S LIABILITY IN PROCEEDING.	0.10
1/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE PREPARATION OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
1/13/2017	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO DEFENDANT.	1.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.10
1/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY D. FIFE RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME.	0.10
1/17/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCES (MULTIPLE) WITH D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS TO PROVIDE SAME TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT.	1.60
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE REVISED ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE AND DATA UNDERLYING SAME RE PROVIDING INFORMATION TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REQUEST OF SAME FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 115

		<u>Hours</u>
1/17/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING UPDATED AND REVISED INFORMATION TO SAME RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
1/18/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED ANALYSIS RE APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES BEING PROPOUNDED BY TRUSTEE TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
1/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) CORRESPONDENCE, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND INTERROGATORIES RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.40
1/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND ISSUES RE RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEFENDANT RE REASSESSING DEFENDANT'S LIKELY LIABILITY AND PREPARING MEMORANDUM FOR TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DISCOVERY REQUESTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT, AND RE REASSESSMENT OF DEFENDANT'S LIKELY PREFERENCE LIABILITY IN LIGHT OF DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEFENDANT, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT AND DEBTOR.	0.40
1/24/2017	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE UPDATED ANALYSIS OF DEFENDANT'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.50
1/25/2017	BN REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503B9 CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONTINUING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE 503(B)(9) CLAIM HELD BY DEFENDANT RE REEVALUATING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 116

		<u>Hours</u>
1/25/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO DEFENDANT'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS, REVISED LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND CONDUCTING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.20
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REVISED PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER AND BASIS OF SAME.	0.50
2/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REQUEST OF SAME FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING TRUSTEE'S RECENTLY REVISED SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
2/14/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT, PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO EVALUATE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER, AND RE NEGOTIATING MUTUAL EXTENSIONS OF PARTIES' DEADLINES TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS.	0.20
2/17/2017	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND INFORMATION IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND.	0.10
2/28/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S LATEST SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
3/1/2017	BN REVIEW COUNTEROFFER.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TERMS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
3/9/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, DOCUMENTING SAME AND ENTERING INTO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE PENDING MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
3/14/2017	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE TO ENABLE PARTIES TO DOCUMENT SETTLEMENT AND ATTEMPT TO OBTAIN COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 117

		<u>Hours</u>
3/14/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME EXECUTING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.10
3/17/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
4/10/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/11/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
4/12/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING INFORMATION NECESSARY TO PREPARE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN GLENOIT AND TRUSTEE.	1.10
4/13/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, ISSUES RE SAME AND TRUSTEE'S EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.20
4/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, TERMS OF SAME AND REASONS FOR TERMS.	0.20
4/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

SUBTOTAL:

[15.10 6757.50]

Heritage Candy Company

3/17/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 118

		<u>Hours</u>
3/17/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/20/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND TRUSTEE CONSENT TO SETTLEMENT OFFER AMOUNT.	0.10
3/21/2017	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY, INCLUDING ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO HERITAGE CANDY CO., FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
3/23/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
4/3/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR HERITAGE RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER AND BASIS FOR TRUSTEE'S CONCLUSIONS RE NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.30
	CAM SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR HERITAGE RE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR HERITAGE RE DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
4/6/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER MADE BY SAME.	0.10
4/7/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER OF HERITAGE CANDY, EVALUATING SAME IN LIGHT OF FACTS AND MAKING FURTHER COUNTEROFFER.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL SETTING OUT PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TERMS IN DETAIL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 119

		<u>Hours</u>
4/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT WITH HERITAGE.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE CONFIRMING SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT WITH HERITAGE CANDY AND TERMS RE SAME.	0.10
4/13/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES.	0.10
4/14/2017	BN REVIEW SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/18/2017	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY.	0.70
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH HERITAGE CANDY.	0.20
4/19/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH HERITAGE GIVEN SPECIFIC SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS.	0.30
4/21/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ISSUES.	0.10
4/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES WITH HERITAGE CANDY.	0.10
4/25/2017	CAM REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 120

		<u>Hours</u>
4/25/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND ADDITIONAL PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
4/26/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OF HERITAGE'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE HERITAGE COUNSEL'S REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY HERITAGE TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO HERITAGE'S COUNSEL RE FURTHER REVISED DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND RE REASONS TRUSTEE WOULD, OR WOULD NOT, AGREE TO VARIOUS REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY HERITAGE.	0.20
4/28/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM HERITAGE'S COUNSEL.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[7.90 3612.50]

Hollander Sleep Products, LLC

12/2/2016	BN REVIEW PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE HOLLANDER'S ACCOUNTS WITH DEBTOR AND ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY RE EACH ACCOUNT.	0.40
1/5/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF HOLLANDER, ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM HELD BY SAME, AND RE PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER AND ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COMPLAINT.	0.20
	CAM PRELIMINARY REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AS WELL AS CLAIM DOCUMENTS FILED BY HOLLANDER, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 121

		<u>Hours</u>
1/5/2017	CAM WORK ON PREPARATION OF ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY NET OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE DRAFTING DEMAND LETTER AND ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COMPLAINT.	0.40
1/6/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE HOLLANDER'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY, SUPPORTING FACTS, DRAFTING DEMAND LETTER AND COMMENCING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.30
1/11/2017	CAM RESEARCH RE CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, PRESIDENT'S IDENTITY AND REGISTERED AGENT FOR HOLLANDER RE SERVICE OF DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE COMPLAINT.	0.20
1/12/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF HOLANDER'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSES OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	1.70
1/13/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE PREFERENCE SETTLEMENT LETTER.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO DEMAND LETTER AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES PER REQUEST OF B. NELSON.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE LETTER TO HOLLANDER FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED, MULTI-PAGE LETTER TO HOLLANDER RE TRUSTEE'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME; REVIEW / REVISE SAME.	2.70
1/19/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUE RE SEPARATE ANALYSES FOR LLC AND "KENTUCKY" BRANCHES OF COMPANY.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE ISSUE RE SEPARATE ANALYSES FOR LLC AND "KENTUCKY" BRANCHES OF COMPANY.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE ANALYSES FOR HOLLANDER AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY, AND CONSOLIDATION OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM RESEARCH RE WHETHER HOLLANDER AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY ARE DIFFERENT OR THE SAME ENTITIES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 122

		<u>Hours</u>
1/19/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE EFFORTS TO ASCERTAIN DISTINCTION BETWEEN HOLLANDER AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY.	0.10
1/20/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. NAYLOR RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO HOLLANDER AND ISSUE THAT HAS ARISEN RE ITS POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S BUSINESS RECORDS RE SEPARATELY LISTED PREFERENCE PAYMENTS MADE TO HOLLANDER SLEEP PRODUCTS AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY, AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.40
	BN ANALYZE PAYMENT HISTORY BETWEEN DEBTOR AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO DETAILED DEMAND LETTER TO HOLLANDER PER REQUEST OF TRUSTEE; REVIEW / ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.40
1/23/2017	CAM FINALIZE DEMAND LETTER TO HOLLANDER FOR RETURN OF PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME AND BASIS FOR TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMAND RE SAME.	0.30
1/24/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DEMAND LETTER TO HOLLANDER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	BN REVIEW SETTLEMENT LETTER.	0.10
2/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER AND SAME PROVIDING SUBSTANTIVE RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE ADDITIONAL EMAILS WITH HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER, CASE FACTS AND ISSUES, AND HOLLANDER PROVIDING SUBSTANTIVE RESPONSE RE SAME.	0.20
2/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING SAME WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS OF SAME RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
2/10/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME RE TERMS OF TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN DEBTOR AND HOLLANDER RE EVALUATING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RELEVANT TO ASSESSING PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 123

	<u>Hours</u>
2/16/2017 CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH J. SWISHER RE TERMS OF SALE TRANSACTIONS WITH HOLLANDER.	0.10
2/17/2017 CAM REVIEW EMAILS WITH HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE SAME PROVIDING TRUSTEE WITH LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.20
3/3/2017 BN REVIEW COUNTEROFFER.	0.20
CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE HOLLANDER'S SETTLEMENT OFFER AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.20
CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT OFFER RECEIVED FROM HOLLANDER AND FACTS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT OFFER RECEIVED FROM HOLLANDER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
3/6/2017 CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH HOLLANDER.	0.20
CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MAKING SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER TO HOLLANDER SLEEP AND ISSUES RE SEPARATE LIABILITY OF HOLLANDER KENTUCKY.	0.20
CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM HOLLANDER RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME CLARIFYING TERMS RE POSSIBLE COUNTEROFFER TO HOLLANDER.	0.10
3/14/2017 CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH HOLLANDER, RECENTLY DISCOVERED FACTS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND DEVELOPING STRATEGY RE HOW TO PROCEED IN LIGHT OF SAME.	0.50
CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RECEIVED RE HOLLANDER'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.10
3/15/2017 NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH HOLLANDER AND LOUISVILLE BEDDING.	0.10
CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. KURTH, COUNSEL FOR HOLLANDER SLEEP PRODUCTS, RE RESOLVING PREFERENCE ISSUES RE HOLLANDER KENTUCKY	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 124

		<u>Hours</u>
3/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING HOLLANDER KENTUCKY'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF HOLLANDER KENTUCKY'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
3/27/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE QUESTIONS FOR SAME RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF HOLLANDER AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY, AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.20
3/31/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AND RESOLVING ISSUES RE HOLLANDER KENTUCKY AND LOUISVILLE BEDDING.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY HOME FASHIONS INTERNATIONAL, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.40
4/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE REVISED ANALYSIS RE HOLLANDER KENTUCKY PREFERENCE LIABILITY RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
4/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
4/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCE CASE FACTS AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH HOLLANDER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO HOLLANDER'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
4/14/2017	BN REVIEW INFORMATION RE DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN HOLLANDER AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY/LOUISVILLE BEDDING.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[16.60 7337.50]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 125

Hours

Home Fashions International

3/31/2017	CAM	PREPARE ANALYSES OF HOME FASHIONS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM	DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO HOME FASHIONS INTERNATIONAL RE NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF HOME FASHIONS INTERNATIONAL'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES, AND RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO SAME..	0.20

SUBTOTAL:	[1.10	495.00]
-----------	---	------	---------

Home Trends International

3/19/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF HOME TRENDS INTERNATIONAL'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES, AND PREPARE ANALYSES.	0.50
	CAM	DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF ALTERNATIVE ANALYSES OF HOME TREND'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.40
3/22/2017	CAM	DRAFT TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO HOME TRENDS INTERNATIONAL, INCLUDING ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
3/23/2017	CAM	DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO HOME TRENDS INTERNATIONAL RE RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE TO THE ESTATE, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSIS OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.40
3/24/2017	CAM	FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO HOME TRENDS.	0.20
	BN	REVIEW AND REVISE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10

SUBTOTAL:	[2.10	937.50]
-----------	---	------	---------

Housewares International

3/14/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF	0.50
-----------	-----	--	------

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 126

			<u>Hours</u>
HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.			
3/15/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND FURTHER INFORMATION RE SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE PROVIDED BY HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO SAME.	0.30
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	CAM	DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RE HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL AND PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.60
	BN	REVIEW SUPPLEMENT C. MINIER PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM	REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS IN LIGHT OF NEW INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM D. FIFE.	0.40
3/16/2017	CAM	DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL, SETTING FORTH LIABILITY AND PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES.	0.60
	CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	CAM	RESEARCH RE CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, IDENTITY OF OFFICERS, ETC., OF HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL RE SERVICE OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER ON SAME.	0.20
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DEMAND LETTER TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:			[3.00 1342.50]
<u>Idea Nuova</u>			
2/8/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND IDEA NUOVA'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PREPARING ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM	PREPARE ANALYSIS OF IDEA NUOVO'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
2/14/2017	BN	REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 127

		<u>Hours</u>
3/5/2017	CAM RESEARCH RE BUSINESS INFORMATION FOR IDEA NUOVO RE SENDING PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF IDEA NUOVO AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF IDEA NUOVO AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/10/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BE SENT TO IDEA NUOVA.	0.10
3/13/2017	CAM FINALIZE MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO IDEA NUOVA.	0.30
SUBTOTAL:		[2.60 1162.50]
<u>Ivie and Associates</u>		
10/3/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH G. ELMER RE STATUS OF PRODUCTION AND POSSIBILITY OF CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
10/4/2016	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE OCTOBER 11 HEARING GIVEN PENDING SETTLEMENT EFFORTS.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH G. ELMER RE CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION TO PAY ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.30
	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO ALLOW ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.40
10/5/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH G. ELMER RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
	BN FINALIZE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
	BN DRAFT ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
10/6/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH G. ELMER RE ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION AND STATUS OF DOCUMENT PRODUCTION.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 128

		<u>Hours</u>
11/22/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE STATUS OF EFFORTS TO NEGOTIATE SETTLEMENT WITH IVIE, AND RE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS FROM SAME.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE OUTSTANDING ISSUES AND POSSIBILITY OF SETTLEMENT.	0.30
11/23/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE IVIE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH G. ELMER RE DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING ALLEGED DEFENSES.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE IVIE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND HAVING TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT PREPARE ANALYSIS RE SAME.	0.30
11/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE, DOCUMENTS AND SPREAD SHEET RECEIVED FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL PURPORTING TO PROVE ENTITLEMENT TO ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS PREFERENCE DEFENSE, AND COMPARE SAME TO INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE FORMULATING TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	1.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE AND PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY SAME, AND COMPARE SAME TO DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.90
	BN REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY IVIE.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH G. ELMER RE DOCUMENT PRODUCTION.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE AND ADMIN CLAIM, POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT OF BOTH.	0.40
	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO ALLOW 503B9 CLAIM.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE IVIE PENDING 503B9 CLAIM, 12/6 CONTINUED HEARING.	0.20
11/29/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE MAKING SETTLEMENT OFFER TO IVIE, AND RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE MOTION.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 129

		<u>Hours</u>
11/30/2016	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY SAME, SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND STIPULATING TO FURTHER CONTINUANCE OF HEARING ON MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE.	0.20
	CAM RESEARCH RE COURT'S AVAILABLE HEARING DATES FOR CONTINUED HEARING ON MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM PENDING FURTHER SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.40
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF IVIE'S POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND POTENTIAL VALIDITY OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM FILED BY SAME RE PREPARATION OF TRUSTEE'S GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO SAME.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, D. FIFE, RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE FORMULATING GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO IVIE.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT OFFERS AND LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS IN OBJECTION TO MOTION TO ALLOW ADMIN CLAIM.	0.20
12/1/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE INFORMATION FOR FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
	BN ASSIST WITH FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW MATTER INFORMATION RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES, CONCERNS ABOUT IVIE ABILITY TO PAY GIVEN SIZE OF ALLEGED PREFERENCE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE ANALYZING IVIE'S NET LIABILITY AND FORMULATING SETTLEMENT OFFER TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FORMULATING SETTLEMENT OFFER TO IVIE AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED STIPULATION RECEIVED FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM FINALIZE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 130

		<u>Hours</u>
12/1/2016	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM PENDING GLOBAL SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S INTENTION TO MAKE GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
12/2/2016	BN WORK ON PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.30
12/5/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREFERENCE PERIOD AND PRE-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES RE ANALYZING IVIE'S NET LIABILITY AND FORMULATING TRUSTEE'S GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO SAME.	1.10
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO MAKE TO IVIE, BASES FOR SAME AND ANALYSIS UNDERLYING SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO IVIE RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM ASSERTED BY SAME AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME, AND STATEMENT OF BASES AND UNDERLYING ANALYSES RE SAME; REVIEW / REVISE SAME.	2.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PREFERENCE PERIOD AND PRE-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTIONAL DATA BETWEEN DEBTOR AND IVIE, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS OF IVIE'S ENTITLEMENT TO RELY ON ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE PREPARATION OF GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO SAME.	2.90
12/7/2016	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.30
	NDS ANALYZE SETTLEMENT OFFER ISSUES.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO TRUSTEE'S GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO IVIE AND DETAILED STATEMENT OF BASIS FOR SAME PREPARED BY B. NELSON.	0.30
12/8/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO BE ADVANCED TO IVIE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO GLOBAL SETTLEMENT OFFER TO IVIE.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 131

		<u>Hours</u>
12/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PROVIDING INFORMATION TO IVIE'S COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ASSESMENT AND SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.30
	CAM FINALIZE CORRESPONDENCE (DETAILED GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL) TO IVIE'S COUNSEL TOGETHER WITH REASONING SUPPORTING SAME.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE AND SEND DOCUMENT PACKAGE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING TRUSTEE'S ANALYSIS OF LIABILITY OF IVIE AND GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL PROVIDED BY TRUSTEE.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL MADE TO IVIE.	0.10
1/9/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE TRUSTEE'S OUTSTANDING SETTLEMENT OFFER AND ANALYSIS UNDERLYING SAME, AND OBTAINING RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.30
1/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM G. ELMER RE IVIE'S EVALUATION OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE STATUS OF SAME EVALUATING TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
2/7/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO G. ELMER RE IVIE'S RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
2/10/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH IVIE'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S GLOBAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.20
2/21/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE UPCOMING CONTINUED HEARING ON MOTION TO ALLOW 503B9 CLAIM.	0.10
2/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE SETTLEMENT RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME, AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.40
2/24/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR IVIE, G. ELMER, RE SETTLEMENT OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION TO FURTHER CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE IVIE'S SETTLEMENT OFFER AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 132

		<u>Hours</u>
2/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE RE IVIE'S LIABILITY AND RESPONDING TO SETTLEMENT OFFER OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS RELATED TO IVIE'S PROOF OF CLAIM AND MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM RE REEXAMINING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.80
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE HAVING SAME PROVIDE UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS IN LIGHT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSE.	0.40
2/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH C. MINIER AND D. FIFE RE STATUS OF FURTHER REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS RE IVIE MOTION RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED STIPULATION RECEIVED FROM G. ELMER.	0.10
	CAM FINALIZE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S CHAMBERS RE FILING OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, ORDER LODGED RE SAME AND NO NEED FOR COURT TO PREPARE FOR SUBSTANTIVE HEARING.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE UPDATED ANALYSIS RE IVIE'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE NEW ANALYSES OF IVIE'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONCLUSIONS FROM NEW ANALYSES OF IVIE'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING RE HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 133

		<u>Hours</u>
2/27/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO G. ELMER RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING.	0.10
2/28/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS RE IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE RECOVERY COMPLAINT.	0.10
3/6/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO G. ELMER RE STATUS OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER RE MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
3/15/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RECEIVED FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL RE IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE IVIE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, TERMS OF SAME AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE UPDATED ANALYSIS RE IVIE'S 503B CLAIM, COUNTER SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND UNWILLINGNESS TO RESOLVE PREFERENCE CLAIM CONCURRENTLY WITH 503B CLAIM.	0.20
3/22/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL RE TERMS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT RE MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM FILED BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO IVIE'S COUNSEL RE CLARIFYING TERMS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT RE MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM FILED BY SAME.	0.30
4/4/2017	NDS BEGIN DRAFTING PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.30
4/5/2017	NDS ON LINE SEARCHES RE IVIE ACCURATE NAME AND STATE OF INCORPORATION, COMPARE WITH CORPORATE REPRESENTATIONS IN 503(B)(9) MOTION.	0.30
	NDS REVISE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.30
4/12/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH G. ELMER RE SETTLEMENT TERMS RE IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
4/13/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER, RE NEGOTIATING SETTLEMENT RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM OF SAME.	0.20
4/18/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE FROM G. ELMER RE SETTLEMENT TERMS AND EFFECTUATING SAME.	0.20
4/21/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM G. ELMER RE SETTLEMENT AND DOCUMENTING SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 134

		<u>Hours</u>
4/25/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TERMS RE IVIE 503(B)(9) CLAIMS, PREPARATION OF STIPULATION RE SAME AND FOR 5/2 HEARING.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH IVIE'S COUNSEL, G. ELMER RE UPCOMING CONTINUED HEARING ON IVIE'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, FURTHER CONTINUING SAME AND PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT STIPULATION RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE RESOLVING DISPUTE OVER IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM MOTION VIA STIPULATION WITHOUT 9019 SETTLEMENT MOTION.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT WITH IVIE ON ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
4/26/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION RESOLVING IVIE MOTION RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING STIPULATION RESOLVING IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE OF AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION RESOLVING DISPUTE OVER IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SAME.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF STIPULATION RESOLVING DISPUTE OVER IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SAME FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO G. ELMER RE DRAFT OF STIPULATION RESOLVING DISPUTE OVER IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE SECOND CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION RESOLVING DISPUTE OVER IVIE'S MOTION FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SAME FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
4/27/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND ALTERNATIVE DRAFT OF STIPULATION RESOLVING IVIE'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM RECEIVED FROM G. ELMER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO G. ELMER RE ALTERNATIVE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT STIPULATION PREPARED BY SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 135

		<u>Hours</u>
4/28/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL RE CONTINUING HEARING ON MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
4/29/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM IVIE'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION RESOLVING ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM ASSERTED BY SAME AND UPCOMING HEARING ON MOTION RE SAME.	0.10
4/30/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL WITH TRUSTEE RE STIPULATION RESOLVING IVIE'S MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE STIPULATION WITH IVIE RESOLVING DISPUTE RE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[30.50 13747.50]
<u>Jay Franco & Sons</u>		
1/25/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/17/2017	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE ANALYZING FRANCO'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO JAY FRANCO'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PRE- AND POST-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTIONS WITH DEBTOR, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES TO SAME.	1.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORPORATE INFORMATION RE SERVICE OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER UPON JAY FRANCO.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW DEMAND LETTER (IN FOUR DIFFERENT FORMS) TO BE SENT TO JAY FRANCO'S CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, REGISTERED AGENT, SHOWROOM ADDRESS, ETC.	0.70
2/22/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[2.90 1280.00]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 136

		<u>Hours</u>
<u>Knud Nielson</u>		
3/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING KNUD NIELSON'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF KNUD NIELSON'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/27/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF KNUD NIELSON COMPANY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME	0.40
3/29/2017	CAM REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTERS (TWO FORMS) TO KNUD NIELSON CO.	0.30
3/31/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT LETTER.	0.10
4/11/2017	NDS ON LINE SEARCH RE INCORPORATION INFORMATION AND PREPARE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE IDENTIFYING PROPER KNUD NIELSON CORPORATE ENTITY / ENTITY NAME AND STATE OF INCORPORATION RE PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.20
4/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND DRAFT REVISIONS TO PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.10
4/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT A TO ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.10
4/25/2017	BN FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND PREPARE FOR FILING.	0.20
4/27/2017	BN WORK ON EFFECTUATING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT, SUMMONS, AND NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[2.90 1395.00]

Libbey Glass, Inc.

3/21/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE SETTING FORTH RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF LIBBEY GLASS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.40
-----------	--	------

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 137

		<u>Hours</u>
3/21/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LIBBEY GLASS AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
3/22/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO LIBBEY GLASS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
3/23/2017	CAM DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO LIBBEY GLASS RE RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE TO THE ESTATE, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.40
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS TO SAME.	0.10
3/24/2017	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO LIBBEY GLASS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
3/30/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR LIBBEY GLASS IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNSEL FOR LIBBEY GLASS RE RESPONDING TO REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT DEMAND LETTER.	0.30
4/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM LIBBEY'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS AND CONDITIONS, AND RE PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBBEY.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBBEY GLASS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE DOCUMENTING SETTLEMENT.	0.10
4/12/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO LIBBEY'S COUNSEL RE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT, OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR SAME AND RE MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO TRUSTEE.	0.30
4/13/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH COUNSEL AND PURCHASING MANAGER FOR LIBBEY RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, INFORMATION FOR SAME AND LIBBEY MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO TRUSTEE.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM LIBBEY'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 138

		<u>Hours</u>
4/19/2017	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH LIBBEY, INC. AND LIBBEY GLASS, INC.	0.90
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH LIBBEY, INC. AND LIBBEY GLASS, INC.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW OF SAME.	0.10
4/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES WITH LIBBEY GLASS.	0.10
4/25/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE LIBBEY GLASS AND HERITAGE GLASS PROPOSED SETTLEMENT, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT LANGUAGE AND FINAL REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MAKING REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
4/26/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DRAFTING REVISIONS TO LIBBEY GLASS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[5.70 2610.00]
<u>Liberty Mutual</u>		
10/3/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT OF M. GUTIERREZ WORKERS COMP CLAIM.	0.20
10/4/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE 10/5 CONFERENCE CALL WITH COUNSEL FOR LIBERTY MUTUAL, CARRIER'S PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF ALLEN CLAIM AND CREDIT OF SAME AGAINST CASH RESERVE LIBERTY HOLDING.	0.10
10/5/2016	NDS REVIEW CLAIM/POLICY INFORMATION FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL IN PREPARATION FOR CALL WITH COUNSEL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOODING RE POTENTIAL LIQUIDATION OF LIBERTY MUTUAL CLAIM AND SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE'S RIGHT TO RETURN OF LOC PROCEEDS.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DISCUSSIONS WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL RE LIQUIDATION OF ITS CLAIM AND SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE'S RIGHT TO RETURN OF LOC PROCEEDS.	0.20
10/26/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL STRING FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL RE PUERTO RICO LAWSUIT WITH DATE OF LOSS OF 9/16/12.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 139

		<u>Hours</u>
11/14/2016	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING, COUNSEL FOR LIBERTY MUTUAL, RE NOVEMBER INVOICE RECEIVED BY TRUSTEE, REQUEST FOR EXPLANATION OF CHARGES.	0.10
11/18/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE PROPOSED CLAIM SETTLEMENT, STATUS OF LIBERTY MUTUAL RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE INQUIRY RE CURRENT INVOICE RECEIVED FOR OFFSETS TO COLLATERAL ACCOUNT.	0.20
11/28/2016	NDS REVIEW SUPPLEMENTAL INVOICE AND NOTICE RE LOSSES PAID FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE SUPPLEMENTAL INVOICE AND NOTICE RE LOSSES PAID FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL, REQUEST FOR STATUS RE PROVISION OF INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY REQUESTED AND RE LIBERTY ASSESSMENT OF GLOBAL CLAIM RESOLUTION.	0.10
12/8/2016	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE STATUS OF PROVISION OF REQUESTED INFORMATION FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL RE ADDITIONAL INVOICING.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE LIBERTY MUTUAL INQUIRY TO TRUSTEE RE NEW PUERTO RICAN CLAIM, AND DEFENDANT TENDER OF DEFENSE.	0.10
12/19/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM D. GOODING RE LIBERTY MUTUAL PROPOSAL TO BUY OUT EXISTING WORKERS' COMPENSATION POLICY.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE LIBERTY MUTUAL PROPOSAL TO BUY OUT EXISTING WORKERS' COMPENSATION POLICY, TRUSTEE REQUEST FOR UPDATED CLAIMS SCHEDULE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROPOSAL FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL TO BUY OUT EXISTING WORKERS' COMPENSATION POLICY, NEED FOR UPDATED CLAIMS SCHEDULE TO COMPARE WITH SCHEDULE PROVIDED IN JULY, ANALYSIS OF LIBERTY MUTUAL OFFER IN LIGHT OF UPDATED CLAIMS SCHEDULE, ETC.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE UPDATED CLAIMS SCHEDULE FROM D. GOODING AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE WITH COMMENTS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE NEW LAW SUIT IN PUERTO RICO ASSERTING A RIGHT TO DEFENSE UNDER ANNA'S POLICY.	0.10
1/6/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SUPPORT DOCUMENTS FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL RE COLLATERAL DISPOSITION PROPOSAL AND PREPARE RESPONSIVE EMAIL/COUNTER PROPOSAL TO SAME FOR TRUSTEE'S REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION.	0.70

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 140

		<u>Hours</u>
1/10/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING IN RESPONSE TO HIS OF 1/9 RE TRUSTEE RESPONSE TO INITIAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
1/11/2017	NDS REVIEW FURTHER INVOICE FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL RE ADDITIONAL CHARGES AGAINST COLLATERAL AND COMPARE WITH UPDATED COLLATERAL SCHEDULE PROVIDED BY D. GOODING.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE TRUSTEE REQUEST FOR FURTHER INFORMATION RE ADDITIONAL CHARGES AGAINST COLLATERAL AND ATTRIBUTION OF SAME TO INDIVIDUAL PENDING CLAIMS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW RESPONSIVE EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE TRUSTEE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
	NDS COMPLETE UPDATED ANALYSIS OF LIBERTY MUTUAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE.	0.30
1/12/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE LIBERTY MUTUAL SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
1/18/2017	NDS PREPARE COUNTER PROPOSAL TO LIBERTY AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE FOR APPROVAL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COUNTER PROPOSAL TO LIBERTY.	0.10
	NDS REVISE IN FINAL AND TRANSMIT COUNTER PROPOSAL TO LIBERTY.	0.10
1/23/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
1/25/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
1/27/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOODING RE CONTINUED SETTLEMENT EFFORTS.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW CURRENT STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS IN PREPARATION FOR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOODING.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE STRUCTURE/ISSUES RE POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.40
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STRUCTURE/ISSUES RE POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER RECOVERY FROM SETTLEMENT OF LINE OF CREDIT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO SALUS' POSTPETITION LIEN.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 141

		<u>Hours</u>
1/30/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D GOODING RE TRUSTEE ACCEPTANCE OF LIBERTY'S COUNTER SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL, STRUCTURE OF SAME, FORM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, ETC.	0.30
2/1/2017	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF WHETHER MONEY BROUGHT INTO ESTATE UNDER SECTION 542 IS SUBJECT TO SALUS LIEN.	1.00
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED RESEARCH CONFIRMING LIEN OF SALUS DOES NOT EXTEND TO PROCEEDS OF RECOVERY ACTIONS SUCH AS PENDING PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF TRUSTEE'S 542 CLAIM.	0.30
2/2/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE FORM AND SUBSTANCE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
2/6/2017	BN WORK ON MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.60
	BN REVIEW PREPETITION CREDIT AGREEMENTS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER LIENS ATTACHED TO INSURANCE FUND.	1.40
	NDS DRAFT THIRD FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE STATUS OF DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE SCOPE OF SALUS PRE PETITION LIEN, EXCLUSION OF LOC PROCEEDS, ETC.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE/PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION RE TURNOVER OF FUNDS OF THE ESTATE.	1.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT STIPULATION RE TURNOVER OF FUNDS OF THE ESTATE.	0.10
2/7/2017	BN WORK ON MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY.	0.90
	BN REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO COMPROMISE CONTROVERSY.	0.60
	BN REVIEW LIBERTY'S PROPOSED STIPULATION AND PROVIDE COMMENTS AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE COMMENTS/REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
2/8/2017	NDS PREPARE FINAL PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 142

		<u>Hours</u>
2/8/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION, WAIVER ISSUES, TIMING OF MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE LIBERTY'S PROPOSED LOSS PORTFOLIO POLICY AND RELATED COVER LETTER.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RESOLUTION OF DISPUTE, TURNOVER OF PROCEEDS, AND SALUS' ENTITLEMENT TO SUCH PROCEEDS.	0.10
2/9/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE, N. SANDERS, AND D. GOODING RE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION AND ISSUE RE GENERAL RELEASE.	0.30
2/10/2017	BN REVIEW DOCUMENTS RE LOSS PORTFOLIO.	0.20
2/13/2017	BN FOLLOW UP STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS RE RELEASE LANGUAGE IN STIPULATION.	0.10
2/15/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. WANG RE FULL COPY OF SALUS PREPETITION CREDIT AGREEMENT.	0.30
2/17/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RELEASE LANGUAGE IN SETTLEMENT.	0.10
2/19/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT TERMS WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.20
2/21/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AND ISSUES RE PROPOSED GENERAL RELEASE.	0.20
	BN FOLLOW UP D. GOODING RE RELEASE LANGUAGE IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE RELEASE ISSUES RE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.10
2/22/2017	BN FOLLOW UP M. WANG RE FULL COPY OF SALUS PREPETITION CREDIT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOODING RE RELEASE LANGUAGE IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND TYPE OF INSURANCE PROVIDED BY LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE LIST OF POLICIES AND RELEASE LANGUAGE ISSUES.	0.10
2/23/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND D. GOODING RE LIST OF POLICIES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 143

		<u>Hours</u>
2/23/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS PREPETITION LOAN DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER LIBERTY MUTUAL LETTER OF CREDIT WAS EXCLUDED FROM SALUS' LIEN.	0.50
	NDS REVIEW SCHEDULE FROM SALUS RE SCOPE OF LIEN, CARVE OUT OF LOC PROCEEDS.	0.10
2/26/2017	NDS REVIEW SCHEDULE OF POLICIES PROVIDED BY LIBERTY AND DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.10
2/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE ADDITIONAL POLICY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY LIBERTY, FINAL DECISION RE SCOPE OF RELEASES.	0.20
	BN REVIEW LIST OF POLICIES ISSUED TO DEBTOR BY LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT GIVEN POLICY LIST.	0.10
3/3/2017	BN PREPARE REDLINE REVISION TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.00
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE GENERAL RELEASE LANGUAGE IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
3/6/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT REVISIONS AND WHETHER FUNDS RECOVERED WILL BE FREE OF SALUS' LIENS.	0.20
	BN REVISE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION PER COMMENTS OF TRUSTEE.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. GOODING RE STIPULATION REVISIONS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE FINAL REVISIONS TO STIPULATION RE SETTLEMENT OF RECOVERY CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE DRAFT SETTLEMENT MOTION, REQUEST FOR CONFIRMATION THAT SALUS LIEN DOES NOT EXTEND TO RECOVERY CLAIM PROCEEDS.	0.20
3/9/2017	BN REVIEW LIBERTY'S PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. GOODING RE REQUEST FOR COPY OF GUARANTY AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 144

		<u>Hours</u>
3/9/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM D. GOODING RE LIBERTY PROPOSED FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE NEWLY DISCLOSED GUARANTEE AGREEMENT ISSUED IN ADDITION TO INSURANCE POLICIES.	0.20
3/13/2017	BN FOLLOW UP D. GOODING RE REQUEST FOR COPY OF GUARANTY AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM D. GOODING RE AGREEMENT FOR GUARANTEE OF FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AND UPDATED SECURITY SCHEDULE.	0.20
3/15/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE GUARANTEE AGREEMENT AND LIBERTY'S COLLATERAL BALANCE DOCUMENT.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. GOODING RE COLLATERAL BALANCE BREAKDOWN.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE REMAINING COLLATERAL BALANCE, BOTH FROM PRE PETITION LOC AND CASH DEPOSITS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO D. GOODING REQUESTING CONFIRMATION OF REMAINING COLLATERAL BALANCE, BOTH FROM PRE PETITION LOC AND CASH DEPOSITS.	0.30
3/17/2017	NDS REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RE LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE LIBERTY MUTUAL PAYMENT RECEIVED DURING PREFERENCE PERIOD, LIKELY DEFENSES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PREFERENCE CLAIM AGAINST LIBERTY MUTUAL; ANALYZE IMPACT OF SAME ON ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
3/22/2017	NDS DRAFT FOLLOW UP EMAIL TO D. GOODING RE FINAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO COMPLETE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MOTION TO APPROVE SAME.	0.10
3/23/2017	NDS ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST LIBERTY MUTUAL TO BE ADDRESSED IN CONNECTION WITH PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS, STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.50
	BN WORK ON PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND POTENTIAL IMPACT OF SAME ON ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE VARIOUS POSSIBLE THEORIES FOR EXCEPTING RECOVERY FROM LIBERTY MUTUAL FROM SALUS PRE AND POSTPETITION LIENS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 145

		<u>Hours</u>
3/23/2017	BN WORK ON MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
3/27/2017	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE STRUCTURE OF SETTLEMENT/MOTION TO APPROVE GIVEN THAT LOC PROCEEDS NOT INCLUDED IN SALUS COLLATERAL PACKAGE, CARVE OUT OF RECOVERY CLAIMS, SPECIFIC LANGUAGE OF FINAL DIP ORDER RE CARVE OUTS/LIEN RETENTION, ETC.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION FROM D. GOODING.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE TERMS OF FINAL DIP ORDER RE SALUS LIEN ON UNION BANK LOC COLLATERAL ACCOUNT, POST PETITION DISPOSITION OF FUNDS IN SUCH ACCOUNT.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE OUTSTANDING SETTLEMENT ISSUES.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.70
	BN REVIEW LOAN DOCUMENTS AND SECURITY AGREEMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER LIEN INCLUDES LIBERTY MUTUAL LETTER OF CREDIT.	0.60
3/28/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE UNDERLYING INSURANCE POLICIES ISSUED BY LIBERTY MUTUAL IN CONSIDERATION OF TRUSTEE'S CONCERNS RE GOING FORWARD POLICY CLAIMS AND IMPACT OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT ON SAME.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED SETTLEMENT STIPULATION LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGE OF FINAL DIP ORDER TO CONFIRM SALUS LIEN DOES NOT EXTEND TO PROCEEDS OF LOC OR RECOVERY CLAIMS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE AVAILABLE HEARING DATES FOR MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO DRAFT MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	1.20
	BN ANALYZE OUTSTANDING SETTLEMENT ISSUES.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	2.00
	BN REVIEW LOAN DOCUMENTS AND SECURITY AGREEMENTS TO DETERMINE WHETHER LIEN INCLUDES LIBERTY MUTUAL LETTER OF CREDIT.	0.40
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE FINAL CHANGES TO LIBERTY MUTUAL STIPULATION.	0.10
3/29/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 146

		<u>Hours</u>
3/29/2017	NDS REVIEW RJN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DRAFT MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION.	0.70
	BN DRAFT DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION.	0.60
	BN DRAFT REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION.	0.30
4/3/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO DRAFT MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING RE FINAL CLEAN UP REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE LOC COLLATERAL ACCOUNT MAINTAINED PRE PETITION AT UNION BANK.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE WHETHER SALUS HAS LIEN IN LETTER OF CREDIT PROCEEDS.	0.10
	BN REVISE MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
4/4/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE EXECUTION COPY OF SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE EXECUTION COPY OF SETTLEMENT STIPULATION, COORDINATION OF TRUSTEE REVIEW AND EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.10
	BN REVIEW LIBERTY'S FINAL CHANGES TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. GOODING AND TRUSTEE RE FINAL CHANGES TO SETTLEMENT STIPULATION AND EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.20
4/5/2017	BN FINALIZE SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. GOODING RE FINALIZED SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
4/6/2017	BN FINALIZE STIPULATION AND MOTION TO APPROVE SAME	0.20
	BN REVISE IN FINAL MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 147

		<u>Hours</u>
4/6/2017	BN PREPARE EXHIBITS TO MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF SAME.	0.50
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FINALIZING AND EXECUTING MOTION TO APPROVE STIPULATION.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUE RE LETTER OF CREDIT COLLATERAL ACCOUNT AND EFFECT OF SALUS LIEN ON SAME.	0.20
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF MOTION.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE FULLY EXECUTED SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW/APPROVE FINAL REVISIONS TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.30
4/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM D. GOODING RE SETTLEMENT CHECK.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INSTRUCTIONS FOR LIBERTY SETTLEMENT CHECK.	0.10
4/18/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.30
	NDS REVIEWAND ANALYZE SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE.	0.50
	NDS OUTLINE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE.	0.10
4/19/2017	BN WORK ON REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	1.30
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER ADVERSARY PROCEEDING IS NECESSARY TO DETERMINE WHETHER A LIEN ATTACHES TO MONEY BROUGHT INTO A BANKRUPTCY ESTATE.	0.60
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER TRUSTEE MAY RECOVER ESTATE PROPERTY HELD BY NONDEBTOR ENTITY WHEN FUNDS ARE DISPUTED.	0.40
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER TRUSTEE MAY RECOVER ESTATE PROPERTY HELD BY NONDEBTOR ENTITY WHEN THERE IS NO DEBT OWED BY SUCH ENTITY.	0.40
4/20/2017	BN WORK ON REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 148

		<u>Hours</u>
4/20/2017	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.50
4/24/2017	BN REVISE REPLY TO SALUS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.40
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS PLEADINGS TO DETERMINE SALUS' RIGHT TO REQUEST REIMBURSEMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES IN CONNECTION WITH THE MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE IN FINAL REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL.	0.10
4/25/2017	BN FINALIZE REPLY TO OBJECTION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT WITH LIBERTY MUTUAL, SPECIFIC LANGUAGE OF LOAN DOCUMENTS RELATING TO LOC PROCEEDS.	0.30
SUBTOTAL:		[43.60 21257.50]

Lifetime Brand Adversary

10/3/2016	BN ANALYZE DEFENSE ANALYSES FROM D. FIFE AND DEFENDANT.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE ORDINARY COURSE RANGE ANALYSIS.	0.30
10/4/2016	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSE.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE 503B9 CLAIM.	0.20
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF LIKELY PREFERENCE RECOVERY.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE REVISED PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS PREPARED BY SAME RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO MAKE TO DEFENDANT.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 149

		<u>Hours</u>
10/10/2016	BN ANALYZE PROPOSED COUNTEROFFER.	0.10
10/11/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES AND CASE FACTS.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DEFENDANT'S ENTITLEMENT TO RELY ON SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES, AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.80
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE LIABILITY ANALYSIS OF TRUSTEE AND SETTLEMENT ISSUES; REVIEW / REVISE FINALIZE SAME.	0.80
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. BASS AND C. MINIER RE STATUS OF REVIEW AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.50
10/12/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT IN LIGHT OF PARTIES' SETTLEMENT.	0.30
10/13/2016	BN ANALYZE SETTLEMENT OFFERS AND COUNTEROFFERS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL AND CLAIMED JUSTIFICATIONS FOR SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' SETTLEMENT OFFERS AND RESPONSES TO SAME; ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ACCEPTANCE OF TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 150

		<u>Hours</u>
10/13/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT OFFER OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO SETTLEMENT OFFER AND COUNTEROFFER.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DEFENDANT'S ACCEPTANCE OF SETTLEMENT COUNTEROFFER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE FINAL TERMS OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
10/25/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.10
10/26/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME PROVIDING INFORMATION FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ISSUES.	0.10
10/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/31/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT; REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE SAME.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
11/9/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING ON STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
11/10/2016	CAM ATTEND CONTINUED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.50
11/15/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 151

		<u>Hours</u>
11/15/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. BASS RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, REVISIONS TO SAME REQUIRED AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED BY DEFENDANT.	0.30
11/16/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT, ISSUES RE SAME AND RESPONDING TO DEFENDANT.	0.30
11/18/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE SETTLEMENT WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO K. NAYLOR RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/22/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEBTOR'S COUNSEL AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.10
11/23/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE EXECUTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; DRAFT REPLY TO SAME RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, REASONS FOR SAME AND GETTING AGREEMENT SIGNED BY DEFENDANT.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE MULTIPLE EMAILS TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 152

		<u>Hours</u>
11/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
1/25/2017	CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
1/26/2017	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.50
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADING AND COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO MAKE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.30
2/9/2017	CAM ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
2/13/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT RE CONSUMMATING SETTLEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CONSUMMATING SETTLEMENT.	0.10
2/15/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND SETTLEMENT CHECK RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
3/9/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AND DRAFT OF NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT / FINALIZE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.20
4/11/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S LAW CLERK RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RECENTLY FILED BY TRUSTEE AND UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[15.80 6900.00]
<u>Louisville Bedding</u>		
2/13/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 153

		<u>Hours</u>
2/21/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSIS IF LOUISVILLE'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.70
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF PREFERENCE / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, CASE FACTS AND ISSUES AND SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO LOUISVILLE.	0.60
	CAM RESEARCH CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, IDENTITY OF OFFICERS AND REGISTERED AGENT RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO LOUISVILLE AND POSSIBLE SERVICE OF PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.40
2/22/2017	CAM PREPARE DEMAND LETTER TO LOUISVILLE FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	0.50
3/2/2017	CAM RESEARCH RE CORPORATE INFORMATION FOR LOUISVILLE BEDDING RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LOUISVILLE BEDDING AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LOUISVILLE BEDDING AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LOUISVILLE BEDDING AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO LOUISVILLE BEDDING FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS TO ESTATE, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO LOUISVILLE BEDDING.	0.10
3/3/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND UPDATED DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM RESEARCH RE BUSINESS INFORMATION FOR LOUISVILLE BEDDING RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.20
3/27/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE QUESTIONS FOR SAME RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LOUISVILLE BEDDING AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 154

		<u>Hours</u>
4/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM LOUISVILLE BEDDING'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCE CASE FACTS AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO LOUISVILLE'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[5.30 2370.00]
<u>Maytex Mills</u>		
2/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF MAYTEX AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.80
2/14/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/6/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME NEEDED TO PERFORM PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.20
3/8/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND PREFERENCE DEMAND.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM SAME RE MAYTEX MILLS PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF MAYTEX MILLS AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF MAYTEX MILLS AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF MAYTEX MILLS AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 155

		<u>Hours</u>
3/10/2017	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER REQUESTED BY TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER FOR REVIEW OF SAME.	0.20
3/13/2017	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO MAYTEX.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[3.40 1515.00]
<u>Mohawk Rug & Textile</u>		
12/15/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
12/16/2016	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
1/27/2017	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ALTERNATIVE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSES FOR MOHAWK, AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS, ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES, AND PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO MOHAWK.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR MOHAWK, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	1.40
1/29/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF MOHAWK'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.10
1/30/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES TO SAME, AND DRAFTING DEMAND LETTER RE SAME.	0.50
	BN REVIEW FINAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM RESEARCH ISSUES RE MOHAWK RUG & TEXTILE AND SERVING DEMAND LETTER AND COMPLAINT ON SAME.	0.40
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BE SENT TO MOHAWK.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 156

		<u>Hours</u>
1/30/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCES RECEIVED BY SAME, AND DEMAND LETTER ANALYZING DEFENSES AND PROPOSING SETTLEMENT RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISING DEMAND LETTER PER REQUEST OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER AND ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES TO MOHAWK'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO MOHAWK ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	1.70
2/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE FINALIZING MOHAWK DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM REVISE / FINALIZE PREFEENCE DEMAND LETTER TO MOHAWK.	0.40
2/2/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO MOHAWK.	0.10
	CAM RESEARCH RE REGISTERED AGENT, CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, PRESIDENTS IDENTITY AND SIMILAR MATTERS RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.40
2/8/2017	CAM PREPARE / FINALIZE MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF DEMAND LETTER AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS TO MOHAWK TO BE SENT TO MULTIPLE ALTERNATIVE ADDRESSES FOR SAME AND REGISTERED AGENT FOR SAME.	0.70
2/15/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM MOHAWK'S COUNSEL IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE MOHAWK'S RESPONSE TO DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE RESPONSE OF SAME TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MOHAWK'S RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
2/23/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH COUNSEL FOR MOHAWK RE OBTAINING RESPONSE AND ANALYSIS FROM SAME RE EFFORTS TO NEGOTIATE SETTLEMENT RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 157

		<u>Hours</u>
2/24/2017	BN REVIEW RESPONSIVE LETTER AND DOCUMENTS TO SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) MOHAWK'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND DEFENSE ANALYSES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM ITS COUNSEL.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MOHAWK'S RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURES TO D. FIFE RE RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER RECEIVED FROM MOHAWK AND ANALYZING SAME.	0.20
2/27/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS OF MOHAWK'S RESPONSE TO DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM CT SYSTEMS RE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER SERVED ON SAME AND SAME NOT BEING REGISTERED AGENT FOR MOHAWK.	0.10
3/16/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE DEFENSE ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY SAME AND TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.20
4/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND DRAFT REVISIONS TO PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.30
4/24/2017	NDS RESEARCH RE MOHAWK INCORPORATION AND AGENT FOR SERVICE, ISSUES RE MULTIPLE RELATED ENTITIES, LACK OF PHYSICAL DOCUMENTS FROM DEBTOR'S RECORDS TO CONFIRM CORRECT ENTITY.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE ALTERNATIVE LIABILITY ANALYSIS PROFFERED BY SAME AND TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW / FINALIZE PREFERENCE ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.20
4/25/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING RESPONSE TO MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S EVALUATION OF ITS PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REJECTION OF ITS PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND BASIS FOR SAME.	0.50
4/28/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM MOHAWK'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S REJECTION OF COMPETING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY MOHAWK AND REASONS FOR SAME.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[12.70 5722.50]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 158

Hours

Nanshing Preference Adversary

1/5/2017	BN	REVIEW D. FIFE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
2/13/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE DEBTOR'S TRANSACTIONS WITH NANSHING, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO NANSHING'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF NANSHING'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.70
	CAM	PREPARE ANALYSES OF NANSHING'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.60
2/14/2017	BN	REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
	CAM	RESEARCH RE CORPORATE / OFFICER / REGISTERED AGENT FOR NANSHING RE SERVICE OF DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.20
	CAM	DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, AND FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT TO PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO NANSHING.	0.40
	CAM	DRAFT DEMAND LETTER TO NANSHING FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO NANSHING FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
2/15/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DEMAND LETTER TO NANSHING.	0.10
4/5/2017	NDS	ON LINE SEARCHES RE CORPORATE INFORMATION AND DRAFT PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.70
4/21/2017	CAM	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH REPRESENTATIVE OF NANSHING, CLAIRE CHENG, RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
4/24/2017	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREPARATION OF EXHIBIT A. TO ADVERSARY COMPLAINT, APPROVED FORMAT FOR EXHIBITS GOING FORWARD.	0.20
4/25/2017	BN	FINALIZE COMPLAINT AND PREPARE FOR FILING.	0.20
4/27/2017	BN	WORK ON EFFECTUATING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT, SUMMONS, AND NOTICE OF NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 159

			<u>Hours</u>
SUBTOTAL:			[4.70 2237.50]
<u>Natco Products</u>			
12/12/2016	BN	REVIEW AND ANALYZE D. FIFE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE LIABILITY / DEFENSE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
1/31/2017	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR COMPLETION OF PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. FIFE RE OBTAINING MORE INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NATCO'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF VARIOUS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.70
	CAM	PREPARE PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.80
2/13/2017	BN	REVIEW REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM	DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RE NATCO, AND FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT TO PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.50
	CAM	PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO NATCO FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE INSTRUCTIONS OF SAME FOR PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO NATCO PRODUCTS.	0.20
	CAM	PREPARE ANALYSES OF NATCO'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.80
	CAM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE DEBTOR'S TRANSACTIONS WITH NATCO, AND NATCO'S CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.90

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 160

		<u>Hours</u>
2/13/2017	CAM DRAFT DEMAND LETTER TO NATCO FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSES OF APPLICABLE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
2/14/2017	CAM RESEARCH RE CORPORATE / OFFICER / REGISTERED AGENT FOR NATCO RE SERVICE OF DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.20
2/24/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH NATCO'S COUNSEL RE SAME PREPARING PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND PROVIDING DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF SAME.	0.20
3/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM NATCO'S COUNSEL RE SAME PROVIDING DEFENSE ANALYSIS AND REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO NATCO'S COUNSEL RE PROVIDING SAME WITH INFORMATION REQUESTED RE PREFERENCE PAYMENTS MADE BY DEBTOR.	0.60
SUBTOTAL:		[6.60 2942.50]
<u>P&A Marketing</u>		
1/5/2017	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE ACTION.	0.20
1/10/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. DAVIS RE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[0.40 140.00]
<u>Panda Home Fashions</u>		
1/5/2017	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE ACTION.	0.20
1/10/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. DAVIS RE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[0.40 140.00]
<u>Performance Team</u>		
10/3/2016	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE PERFORMANCE TEAM THREAT TO FILE MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF ALLEGED SUPER PRIORITY CHAPTER 11 ADMIN CLAIM.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 161

		<u>Hours</u>
10/3/2016	NDS FINALIZE EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR PERFORMANCE TEAM ADVISING OF TRUSTEE'S INABILITY TO PAY CHAPTER 11 ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AT THIS TIME.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE THREAT TO FILE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO R. WILLIAMS RE THREAT TO FILE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.30
11/1/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH OFFICE OF R. WILLIAMS RE SERVICE OF MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.10
11/2/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH OFFICE OF R. WILLIAMS RE SERVICE OF MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.10
11/10/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED BY MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.20
	BN REVIEW MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE PERFORMANCE TEAM MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND OUTLINE ISSUES FOR OPPOSITION.	0.50
11/17/2016	BN REVIEW MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.80
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RAISED IN MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.60
	BN DRAFT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	1.80
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE PERFORMANCE TEAM MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF ADMIN CLAIM, TERMS OF STIPULATION ALLOWING CHAPTER 11 ADMIN CLAIM.	0.30
11/18/2016	BN DRAFT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	2.80
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS PLEADINGS RELEVANT TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.30
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER WAREHOUSEMAN CAN HAVE LIEN ON ASSETS NOT IN ITS POSSESSION.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE REQUIREMENTS FOR A SUPERPRIORITY CLAIM.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO PAY ADMIN CLAIM.	0.50
11/21/2016	NDS COMPLETE REVIEW/REVISION OF REPLY TO PERFORMANCE TEAM MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF CHAPTER 11 ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.30
11/22/2016	BN REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	1.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 162

		<u>Hours</u>
11/23/2016	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.10
11/28/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. HINKER, ATTORNEY FOR LENDERS, RE MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF SAME.	0.70
	BN DRAFT REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE SALUS REQUEST FOR PREVIEW OF TRUSTEE OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS RE STATUS OF TRUSTEE OPPOSITION TO MOTION, GENERAL ARGUMENTS IN SAME.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW FINAL REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION PER TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS AND M. HINKER RE TRUSTEE OPPOSITION TO MOTION, SALUS JOINDER IN SAME.	0.10
11/30/2016	NDS REVIEW SALUS OBJECTION TO MOTION.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS RE SALUS USE OF ROBINS KAPLAN AS CONFLICTS COUNSEL ON PERFORMANCE TEAM ISSUES.	0.10
12/8/2016	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH L. BALL RE LACK OF REPLY AND STRATEGY FOR HEARING.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO L. BALL RE TENTATIVE RULING ON PREVIOUS MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.10
12/12/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. DAVIS RE HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE TENTATIVE RULING.	0.20
	BN PREPARE FOR HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.50
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM M. DAVIS RE 12/13 HEARING RE PERFORMANCE TEAM MOTION.	0.10
12/13/2016	BN ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	1.10
	BN ANALYZE RAMIFICATIONS OF COURT'S RULING ON MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 163

		<u>Hours</u>
12/13/2016	BN DRAFT ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW COURT'S TENTATIVE AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF PERFORMANCE TEAM ADMIN CLAIM.	0.10
12/14/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUE RE PERFORMANCE TEAM HEARING ON MOTION/PROPOSED ORDER ON SAME.	0.10
1/10/2017	BN REVIEW ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL PAYMENT.	0.10
3/6/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
4/11/2017	NDS REVIEW DOCKET ENTRIES RE PERFORMANCE TEAM REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF 12/13 HEARING, EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[16.60 6697.50]

Preference Analysis

10/1/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF S. LAJWANTI.	0.10
10/10/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE AND OTHERS RE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS IN STORAGE FACILITY, DOCUMENTS POTENTIALLY RELEVANT TO PREFERENCE ACTIONS, AND RE NEED TO OBTAIN SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENT AND SPREAD SHEETS RECEIVED FROM J. SWISHER RE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS IN STORAGE, RE IDENTIFYING / LOCATING AND RETRIEVING DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO PREFERENCE ACTIONS BEING PROSECUTED BY THE ESTATE.	1.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE ISSUES WITH OBTAINING SUPPORT DOCUMENTS TO PROSECUTE PREFERENCE ACTIONS, DOCUTRUST DOCUMENT INVENTORIES, INFORMATION FROM J. SWISHER, ETC.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RETRIEVING HARD COPIES OF THE DEBTOR'S RECORDS FROM STORAGE.	0.20
10/13/2016	NDS CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE OBTAINING DOCUMENTATION TO SUPPORT DEBTOR'S PRE CONVERSION PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, AND UPDATED OR REVISED ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY D. FIFE, GENERAL APPROACH TO RULE 26 DISCLOSURES AND DISCOVERY IN PENDING AND TO BE FILED PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 164

		<u>Hours</u>
10/13/2016	NDS CONFERENCE WITH C. MINIER RE GENERAL APPROACH TO RULE 26 DISCLOSURES IN LIGHT OF PENDING DIFFICULTIES IN OBTAINING DEBTOR'S HISTORICAL RECORDS, INCLUDING BANK STATEMENTS, CANCELLED CHECKS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW LIST OF PHYSICAL DOCUMENTS FOR POSSIBLE USE IN PENDING LITIGATION.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO K. NAYLOR RE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS IN STORAGE THAT MAY BE RELEVANT TO PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.10
10/14/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE IDENTIFYING DEBTOR'S BUSINESS RECORDS TO BE RETRIEVED FROM STORAGE FOR USE IN PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT MEMORANDUM RE DEBTOR'S BUSINESS RECORDS TO BE RETRIEVED FROM STORAGE FOR USE IN PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE LIST OF DOCUMENTS TO DETERMINE WHAT SHOULD BE RETRIEVED FROM STORAGE.	0.30
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING COPIES OF DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.20
10/17/2016	BN RESEARCH RE DEADLINE TO FILE COMPLAINTS.	0.60
	BN DRAFT ANALYSIS OF DEADLINE TO FILE COMPLAINTS.	0.50
	BN DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE DEADLINE TO FILE COMPLAINTS.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE PREFERENCE FILING DEADLINE FOLLOWING CONVERSION.	0.10
10/19/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MAKING UNIVERSAL REVISION TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING USED TO RESOLVE PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.10
10/28/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MANNER OF LOCATING AND IDENTIFYING PREFERENCE DEFENDANT'S CLAIMS FOR PURPOSES OF SETTLING PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND DEALING WITH CLAIMS ISSUES IN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, IMPROVEMENTS ON PROCESS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED FROM J. SWISHER RE DEBTOR'S PRACTICE RE RECEIPT OF GOODS FROM MANUFACTURERS TO DETERMINE DATES OF DELIVERY.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 165

		<u>Hours</u>
10/28/2016	NDS REVIEW ISSUES RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED FROM DEBTOR/JOE SWISHER IN ORDER TO ADEQUATELY EVALUATE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.20
10/31/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE ANALYSIS OF DEBTOR'S TRANSACTIONS WITH, AND POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF, P&A MARKETING.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE ANALYSIS OF POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PANDA HOME FASHIONS.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ADDITIONAL ISSUES RE DEBTOR'S METHOD OF ACCEPTING GOODS FROM VENDORS FOR PURPOSES OF NEW VALUE ANALYSIS.	0.20
11/2/2016	BN RESEARCH RE DATE FOR NEW VALUE WHEN NEW VALUE IS GOODS.	0.60
11/3/2016	NDS RESEARCH ANNA'S PURCHASE ORDERS, INVOICES, ETC. IN STORAGE TO ANALYZE PRE PETITION ORDERING HISTORY.	0.70
11/4/2016	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL CLAIM AGAINST JAY FRANCO AND SONS, AND FRANCO MANUFACTURING.	0.20
	BN WORK ON TOLLING AGREEMENTS FOR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.20
11/8/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS PROCESS, OUTSTANDING ACCOUNTS REQUIRING ANALYSIS.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE PROPOSED TOLLING AGREEMENT RE PANDA HOME FASHIONS.	0.90
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY RE PROPOSED TOLLING AGREEMENT RE PANDA HOME FASHIONS.	0.10
11/9/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DOCUMENTS FROM DEBTOR POTENTIALLY USEFUL IN PROSECUTING PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE FORM TOLLING AGREEMENTS FOR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS, EXTENSION DATE ISSUES.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE REDLINE REVISIONS FROM J. BREGMAN RE FORM TOLLING AGREEMENTS FOR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS AND PREPARE FINAL TEMPLATE AGREEMENT.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE FINAL FORM OF TEMPLATE TOLLING AGREEMENT, PREPARATION OF REMAINING AGREEMENTS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 166

		<u>Hours</u>
11/9/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PROPOSED FORM TEMPLATE TOLLING AGREEMENT WITH VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.10
11/10/2016	NDS DRAFT TOLLING AGREEMENT WITH P & A MARKETING.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT TOLLING AGREEMENT WITH SHEWAK LEJWANTI.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT TOLLING AGREEMENT WITH WELCOME INDUSTRIAL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FORM OF PROPOSED TOLLING AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/11/2016	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF VARIOUS PREFERENCE ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE PROVIDING SAME WITH REQUESTED UPDATE RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS COMMENCED AND TO BE COMMENCED.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PROVIDING TRUSTEE WITH UPDATE ON STATUS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS COMMENCED AND TO BE COMMENCED.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE TOLLING AGREEMENTS FOR WELCOME, SHEWAK AND P&A, EXECUTION OF SAME, DISTRIBUTION OF CONFORMED COPIES.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF ONGOING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND PENDING SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATE RE STATUS OF PENDING PREFERENCE ACTIONS, ADDITIONAL POTENTIAL CLAIMS UNDER REVIEW.	0.10
11/14/2016	NDS PREPARE UPDATE RE PENDING PREFERENCE CLAIM SETTLEMENTS, ADDITIONAL CLAIMS TO BE ANALYZED BY D. FIFE, ADDITIONAL DEMAND LETTERS, ETC.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF VARIOUS PREFERENCE ANALYSES.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE WRITING DEMAND LETTERS AND COMMENCING ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE RECOVERY ACTIONS, AND OBTAINING ANALYSES RE SAME FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT.	0.50
11/15/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE INABILITY TO ACCESS DOCUMENT DROPBOX AND RESOLVING SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS RESOLVING MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 167

		<u>Hours</u>
11/15/2016	CAM PREPARE TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.40
11/16/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE'S ASSISTANT RE ISSUES RE DOCUMENTS IN DROPBOX RELEVANT TO PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH N. SANDERS RE RECONCILING DATA RE PREFERENCE ACTIONS, AND DISCUSSING SAME WITH J. SWISHER.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SWISHER RE UNDERSTANDING METHOD USED IN DEBTOR'S CALCULATION OF CERTAIN VALUES RELATED TO PREFERENCE ACTIONS PENDING AND TO BE COMMENCED.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE METHODOLOGY USED BY DEBTOR TO CALCULATE CERTAIN DATA RE ESTATE'S PREFERENCE CLAIMS AND BANKRUPTCY CODE 503(B)(9) CLAIMS OF SUPPLIERS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE STATUS OF VENDOR PLAINTIFF EXECUTION OF TOLLING AGREEMENTS, REQUEST FOR ENTERED ORDERS ALLOWING VENDOR PLAINTIFF 503(B)(9) CLAIMS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EXECUTED TOLLING AGREEMENTS FROM P&A MARKETING, WELCOME INDUSTRIES AND PANDA HOME FASHIONS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF RESEARCH RE ABILITY OF 503(B)(9) CLAIMANTS TO USE THOSE CLAIMS AS A DEFENSE TO PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.10
11/17/2016	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ISSUES RE INTERPRETATION OF DEBTOR'S DATA RE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND DEFENSES TO SAME, AND RE VARIOUS FACTORS THAT CAN INFLUENCE ACCURACY OF DEBTOR'S DATA.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ISSUES RE INTERPRETATION OF DEBTOR'S DELIVERY DATES USED RE 503(B)(9) ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS HELD BY CREDITORS, AND RE VARIOUS FACTORS THAT CAN INFLUENCE ACCURACY OF DEBTOR'S DATA.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL DETAIL FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE CALCULATIONS AND CALCULATIONS RE APPLICABLE DEFENSES.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE DEBTOR'S DATA RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PARTIES, AND RE DEBTOR'S CALCULATIONS RE APPLICABLE DEFENSES.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE DEBTOR'S RECORDS TO FIND DELIVERY DATES FOR PREFERENCE AND 503B9 ANALYSES.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 168

		<u>Hours</u>
11/18/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE ISSUES RE PROPER INTERPRETATION OF DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE DATA.	0.20
11/21/2016	CAM PREPARE EXHIBITS TO TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE SHEWAK TOLLING AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS EXECUTE AND DISTRIBUTE CONFORMED COPY OF SHEWAK TOLLING AGREEMENT.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE NEWLY PUBLISHED QUANTUM DECISION RE ABILITY TO OFFSET ADMIN CLAIMS AGAINST PREFERENCE CLAIMS AND IMPACT OF SAME ON POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS OF THE ESTATE.	0.30
11/29/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED INFORMATION GATHERED FROM THE DEBTOR'S BOOKS AND RECORDS RE PAYABLES DAYS TO PAY, DEBTOR'S PRACTICES RE TAKING DELIVERY/TITLE OF GOODS BOTH DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL.	0.20
11/30/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE FILING FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE SETTLEMENTS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION / ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM SETTLEMENTS.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION / ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM SETTLEMENTS.	0.80
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OMNIBUS MOTIONS/HEARINGS RE PREFERENCE CLAIM SETTLEMENT.	0.10
12/1/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS, ISSUES RE SAME AND SETTING HEARING ON SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 169

		<u>Hours</u>
12/2/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE MANNER OF CALCULATION OF APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSE IN PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND POSSIBLE NEED TO REVISE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES AND DOCUMENTS RE MANNER IN WHICH TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT IS PREPARING PREFERENCE / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES AND POSSIBLE NEED TO REVISE METHODOLOGY.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE RE HOLLANDER SLEEP PRODUCTS.	0.10
12/5/2016	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH K. NAYLOR RE MODIFYING MANNER IN WHICH TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT IS PREPARING PREFERENCE ANALYSES WITH RESPECT TO ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS, REASONS FOR SAME, AND RE SENDING DEMAND LETTERS AND FILING ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE RECOVERY ACTIONS.	0.50
	BN PREPARE FOR CALL WITH TRUSTEE RE PROBLEMS WITH ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE PROBLEMS WITH ORDINARY COURSE ANALYSIS.	0.40
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE PROBLEMS WITH ORDINARY COURSE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PROBLEMS WITH ORDINARY COURSE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES WITH DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND SUPPORTING DATA.	0.10
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE PREFERENCE DEFENSES (SHEWAK LAJWANTI).	0.80
12/7/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE UPDATED DATA ANALYSIS MATRIX TO CONFIRM THE DEBTOR'S DATA IS BEING PROPERLY ANALYZED GIVEN DEBTOR/VENDOR SHIPPING/INVOICING PRACTICES.	0.30
12/9/2016	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE RE VARIOUS VENDORS.	0.20
12/16/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AS TO VARIOUS VENDORS AND DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.30
12/20/2016	BN FOLLOW UP ON VARIOUS PREFERENCE SETTLEMENT LETTERS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF POTENTIALLY DISPUTED CLAIMS; REVIEW / ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	1.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 170

		<u>Hours</u>
12/21/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE SIX SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS RE DRAFTING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS AND POTENTIAL DISPUTES RE DEFENDANTS' CLAIMS.	0.80
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS OBTAINED FROM DOCUTRUST STORAGE FACILITY RE PROSECUTING ESTATE'S PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	3.70
12/22/2016	CAM RESEARCH RE PROOFS OF CLAIM AND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS FILED BY SETTLING PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS RE FINALIZING SETTLEMENTS WITH SAME RE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND CLAIMS, AND RE DRAFTING OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	2.40
	CAM DRAFT OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND MULTIPLE POTENTIAL OBJECTIONS TO GENERAL UNSECURED AND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS OF PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF K. NAYLOR.	1.60
12/23/2016	CAM PREPARE EXHIBITS TO TRUSTEE'S OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND MULTIPLE DISPUTED CLAIMS.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS AND MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS RE POTENTIALLY DISPUTED CLAIMS FILED BY PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF K. NAYLOR.	1.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS AND MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS RE POTENTIALLY DISPUTED CLAIMS FILED BY PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS, AND PREPARATION OF EXHIBITS TO SAME.	0.70
12/27/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS, AND MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS RE POTENTIALLY DISPUTED CLAIMS OF PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS FOR REVIEW AND COMMENTS OF SAME, AND RE POSSIBLE HEARING DATE RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW / REVISE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND POTENTIAL DISPUTES REGARDING CLAIMS FILED BY PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS, AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION OF K. NAYLOR.	1.20
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTIONS, AND MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS REGARDING CLAIMS FILED BY PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION.	2.90

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 171

		<u>Hours</u>
12/28/2016	NDS REVIEW UPDATED ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE AND COMPILATION CHART RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PERFORMED TO DATE, MATTERS WERE ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS WARRANTED.	0.20
12/29/2016	CAM DRAFT DETAILED NOTICE TO CREDITORS OF TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS AND SETTLEMENTS RE AMOUNTS OF GENERAL UNSECURED AND ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS.	1.60
	CAM REVISE TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND DISPUTES REGARDING DEFENDANT'S CLAIMS, SUPPORTING DECLARATION AND EXHIBITS.	0.90
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND DISPUTES REGARDING DEFENDANTS' CLAIMS, AND SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PREFERENCE SETTLEMENTS AND SETTLEMENTS REGARDING CLAIMS.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SCHEDULED HEARING ON OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENTS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE FINAL VERSION OF OMNIBUS SETTLEMENT MOTION AND DECLARATION FOR EXECUTION OF SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO PREFERENCE SETTLEMENT MOTION TEMPLATE FOR CASE.	0.50
12/30/2016	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE SETTLEMENTS AND RESOLUTION OF POTENTIAL OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S CLAIMS, SUPPORTING DECLARATION, EXHIBITS AND NOTICE.	0.40
1/3/2017	NDS REVIEW DEMAND LETTER FROM SALUS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST VENDOR PLAINTIFFS - P&A MARKETING, PANDA HOME FASHIONS, SHEWAK AND WELCOME INDUSTRIAL.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DEMAND LETTER FROM SALUS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST VENDOR PLAINTIFFS - P&A MARKETING, PANDA HOME FASHIONS, SHEWAK AND WELCOME INDUSTRIAL.	0.10
1/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE PREPARATION OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 172

		<u>Hours</u>
1/5/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES AND DOCUMENTS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF FOUR VENDOR PLAINTIFFS AND DEMAND OF SALUS FOR TRUSTEE'S COMMENCEMENT OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES AND DOCUMENTS RE COMMENCING NEXT ROUND OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND WRITING DEMAND LETTERS TO DEFENDANTS RE SAME.	0.40
	BN ANALYZE DEADLINE TO FILE PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGIES RE DEVELOPMENT OF RECOVERY CLAIMS.	0.60
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE ACTION (SHEWAK LAJWANTI).	0.10
	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL DATA FROM D FIFE, PREPARE UPDATED SCHEDULE OF VIABLE CLAIMS.	0.70
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE GENERAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS ISSUES, STRUCTURE OF SETTLEMENT DEMAND LETTERS TO VARIOUS CREDITORS BASED UPON A NET PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RATHER THAN GROSS PAYMENTS RECEIVED.	0.30
1/6/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COMMENCING ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE ACTIONS, GENERAL SETTLEMENT STRATEGY RE SAME AND SENDING DEMAND LETTERS TO PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE CHART.	0.30
	BN DRAFT EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS TO J. KOMORSKY RE NET PREFERENCE FOR THREE VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE INITIAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS COMPLETED BY TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNT RE VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS CHARTS REFLECTING REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS NUMBERS, PENDING SETTLEMENTS, ETC.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS OBTAINED FROM DOCUTRUST STORAGE FACILITY RE PROSECUTING PREFERENCE RECOVERY ACTIONS AND LOCATING EVIDENCE RE SAME.	1.60
1/10/2017	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE SOURCE DOCUMENTS NEEDED TO COMPLETE ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS POTENTIAL CLAIMS.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 173

		<u>Hours</u>
1/11/2017	NDS BEGIN DRAFTING COMPREHENSIVE RESPONSE LETTER TO J. DAVIS RE SALUS DEMAND THAT TRUSTEE IMMEDIATELY FILE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AGAINST VENDOR PLAINTIFF OR IT WILL FILE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO COMMENCE.	0.50
1/13/2017	NDS DRAFT AND REVISE RESPONSE LETTER TO J. DAVIS RE SALUS DEMAND THAT TRUSTEE FILE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AGAINST VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.80
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO RESPONSE LETTER TO J. DAVIS RE SALUS DEMAND THAT TRUSTEE FILE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AGAINST VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.10
1/17/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS (TEXTILES FROM EUROPE).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RE KENNEY MANUFACTURING.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS INFORMATION FROM D. FIFE.	0.40
	NDS PREPARE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMANDS FOR CLAIMS REVIEWED TO DATE BY D. FIFE.	0.30
1/19/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE ANALYSES OF VARIOUS VENDORS.	0.20
	BN DRAFT SPREADSHEET RE PENDING AND POTENTIAL PREFERENCE ACTIONS AND CURRENT STATUS OF SAME.	2.00
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER CLAIM ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS OBTAINED FROM DOCUTRUST STORAGE FACILITY RE TRUSTEE'S EFFORTS TO RECOVER PREFERENCE PAYMENTS.	2.20
	CAM RESEARCH RE WHETHER ANY OPPOSITION WAS TIMELY FILED TO TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.10
1/20/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS (MADISON INDUSTRIES).	0.10
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED CHART/SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS COMPLETED TO DATE, MATTERS WHERE COMMUNICATIONS UNDER WAY WITH RECIPIENTS OF POTENTIAL RECOVERABLE TRANSFERS, STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS OR RESOLUTION, ETC.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 174

		<u>Hours</u>
1/20/2017	CAM PREPARE DETAILED GENERAL FORM OF DEMAND LETTER TO BE SENT TO PREFERENCE PAYMENT RECIPIENTS RE ANALYZING NET LIABILITY OF SAME AND TRUSTEE MAKING SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS TO SAME.	1.20
1/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FOR PREFERENCE RECOVERY SUMMARY CHART.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER PREFERENCE ANALYSIS INFORMATION FROM D. FIFE.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	1.00
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE CORONA CURTAIN.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S DOCUMENTS OBTAINED FROM DOCUTRUST STORAGE FACILITY RE PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	2.50
1/25/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.50
	NDS ANALYZE RESULTS OF UPDATED INVESTIGATION/ANALYSIS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS, ISSUES RE ADVERSARIES COMMENCED BY THE DEBTOR PRE CONVERSION AND FACTUAL DISPUTES RE UNDERLYING CLAIMS, ADDITIONAL DEBTOR RECORDS AND INFORMATION NEEDED FROM J. SWISHER TO DEFEND PENDING AND POTENTIAL ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS.	0.80
1/27/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE LIST TO ADD FINAL ANALYSIS OF CHF PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW FINAL ANALYSIS OF CHF PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.10
1/30/2017	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND FORMULATE RECOMMENDATIONS TO TRUSTEE RE DEMAND LETTERS TO BE SENT AND/OR ADVERSARIES TO BE FILED.	0.60
	BN PREPARE FOR HEARING RE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS.	0.30
	BN WORK ON MASTER SPREAD.	0.50
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.20
	BN REVIEW TENTATIVE RULING RE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
1/31/2017	NDS PREPARE UPDATED LIST OF ADDITIONAL VENDOR ACCOUNTS REQUIRING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	BN ATTEND HEARING RE FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 175

		<u>Hours</u>
2/1/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.10
2/2/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE PREPARATION OF ORDER ON TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS WITH MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED ORDER ON TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.70
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF ORDER ON FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE COLLECTING SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS FROM DEFENDANTS.	0.10
2/3/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DISCLOSURES TO POTENTIAL PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS IN CONNECTION WITH SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS, WORK PRODUCT ISSUES, ETC.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE RE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DATA TO PREFERENCE ACTION DEFENDANTS.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO K. NAYLOR RE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
2/6/2017	BN ANALYZE D. FIFE ANALYSIS (UNITED TOWEL EXPORTERS).	0.10
	BN ANALYZE D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PEGASUS HOME FASHIONS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW FURTHER PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY D. FIFE AND PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.30
2/7/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PENDING 2 YEAR DEADLINE FOR BRINGING RECOVERY ACTIONS, STATUS OF UNDERLYING CLAIM ANALYSIS, ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
2/8/2017	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF DEMAND LETTERS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 176

		<u>Hours</u>
2/8/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE COURT'S APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENTS AND ENTERED ORDER RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING YFM CARPET'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF YFM CARPET'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
2/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ENTERED ORDER ON TRUSTEE'S FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF MULTIPLE SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
2/10/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE VERATEX.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE VERATEX ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE DROP SHIP AND DI ENTITIES	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING LANGLEY'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND ROIND'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
2/13/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSES, LETTERS, AND NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LANGLEY EMPIRE CANDLE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE S. CHEER.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW ANALYSES FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SENDING DEMAND LETTERS TO PREFERENCE PAYMENT RECIPIENTS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SENDING DEMAND LETTERS TO PREFERENCE RECIPIENTS AND COMMENCING ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS PRIOR TO EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 177

		<u>Hours</u>
2/13/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, C. MINIER AND B. NELSON RE PENDING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, PROCESS FOR COMPLETING DEMAND LETTERS/ADVERSARY COMPLAINTS PRIOR TO IMPENDING STATUTORY DEADLINE.	0.10
2/14/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE ROIND HOMETEX.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW ANALYSES FROM D. FIFE, NEW SETTLEMENT LETTERS SENT, AND COUNTEROFFERS RECEIVED.	0.70
2/15/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.30
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE COMMONWEALTH SOAP.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO C. MINIER RE WHETHER TO PURSUE PREFERENCE ACTION AGAINST COMMONWEALTH SOAP.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS RELATED TO KENNEY'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF KENNEY'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF BARI'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF TEXTILES FROM EUROPE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME (TEXTILES FROM EUROPE).	0.60
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES (TEXTILES FROM EUROPE).	0.20
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF TEXTILES FROM EUROPE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME (TEXTILES FROM EUROPE).	0.50
	NDS REVIEW ISSUES RE VIABILITY OF POTENTIAL PREFERENCE RECOVERY CLAIMS - NANSHING, COMMONWEALTH SOAP, NATCO, SPLASH HOME, IDEA NUOVO, S CHEER, LOUISVILLE BEDDING, ROIND HOME, YMF CARPETS, COLUMBIA FRAME, LANGELEY EMPIRE, SPRING VALLEY FLORAL, VARA HOME,	0.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 178

		<u>Hours</u>
	BEATRICE HOME, TEXTILES FROM EUROPE - AND RELATED DEMAND LETTERS.	
2/16/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF AMORE MUSE PREFERENCE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF YMF CARPETS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF COMMONWEALTH SOAP.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER CLAIMS SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT OFFERS.	0.30
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE RE SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE ANALYSES.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE AND B. NELSON RE RESOLVING ISSUES RE MANNER OF PREPARATION OF NEW VALUE ANALYSES.	0.20
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREPARATION OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTERS TO DEFENDANTS AND REVISING FORM OF SAME.	0.20
2/17/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE ANALYZING MADISON'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES (MADISON INDUSTRIES).	0.40
	CAM PREPARE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PRE- AND POST-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTIONS OF MADISON WITH DEBTOR, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES TO SAME (MADISON INDUSTRIES).	0.80
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE CHART TRACKING PREFERENCE AVOIDANCE EFFORTS AND STRATEGY RE MAKING SETTLEMENT DEMANDS RE SAME.	0.10
2/21/2017	BN REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY RE INITIAL SETTLEMENT OFFERS AND NEGOTIATION POSITIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 179

		<u>Hours</u>
2/21/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO CORONA CURTAIN'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM RE ANALYZING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.70
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF CORONA CURTAIN AND CONCLUSIONS DRAWN FROM SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY CHART AND SETTLEMENTS REACHED TO DATE ON PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND COLUMBIA FRAME'S PROOFS OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.90
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF COLUMBIA FRAME'S COLUMBIA FRAME'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
2/22/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE ROYALE LINENS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE ZAK DESIGNS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE MADISON INDUSTRIES.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE'S CASE ADMINISTRATOR RE PREFERENCE ACTION SETTLEMENT CHECKS RECEIVED BY SAME AND DISMISSING ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING S CHEER HK CO.'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF S CHEER HK CO., PREFERENCE AND PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, AND FACTS OF CASE.	0.30
2/23/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING PEGASUS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 180

		<u>Hours</u>
2/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE DETERMINING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PEGASUS AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.50
2/24/2017	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE SATURDAY KNIGHT.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.40
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LAMONT PRODUCTS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE IDP LLC.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO SATURDAY KNIGHT'S CLAIM, AND PLEADINGS RELATED TO THE COURT'S ALLOWANCE OF ITS ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, RE ANALYZING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.70
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF SATURDAY KNIGHT'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONCLUSIONS FROM ANALYSES OF SATURDAY KNIGHT'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.40
2/26/2017	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS FROM D. FIFE RE MOHAWK RUG & TEXTILE, LIBBY GLASS, KNUD NIELSON, HOME TRENDS, CANDYRIFIC, ARTBOXX FRAMING, HOLLANDER SLEEP PRODUCTS, LAMONT PRODUCTS, IDP LLC, INTERDESIGN, AND ROYALE LINENS.	0.60
2/27/2017	NDS REVIEW UPDATED ANALYSIS RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS AGAINST YUNTON SERVICES LIMITED, TELEBRANDS, MAINSTREAM INTERNATIONAL AND FRANCO.	0.50
	BN REVIEW POTENTIAL CLAIMS AGAINST BRANDED GROUP.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE NEW VALUE DEFENSES ISSUES.	0.40
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.30
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE MAINSTREAM INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE PEGASUS HOME FASHIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 181

		<u>Hours</u>
2/27/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE TELEBRANDS CORPORATION.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE YUNTON SERVICES LIMITED.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HOME TRENDS INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE KNUD NIELSON COMPANY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LIBBEY GLASS INC.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF PEGASUS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONCLUSIONS FROM ANALYSES OF PEGASUS' PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
2/28/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS/ISSUES RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS AGAINST PLAYHUT, SAM HEDAYA CORPORATION AND UNITED TOWEL; DEMAND LETTER TO FRANCO.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE GENERAL METHODOLOGY/SETTLEMENT MATRIX FOR PREFERENCE RECOVERY CLAIMS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING TELEBRAND'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF TELEBRAND'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING UNITED TOWEL'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES, AND PREPARE ANALYSES RE SAME (UNITED TOWEL EXPORTERS).	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF UNITED TOWEL'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN DEFENSES RE SAME (UNITED TOWEL EXPORTERS).	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 182

		<u>Hours</u>
2/28/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING VERATEX'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES, AND PREPARE ANALYSES RE SAME.	0.70
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF VERATEX'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/1/2017	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER AND TRUSTEE ANALYSIS RE SATURDAY KNIGHT.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.20
3/2/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.30
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE UNITED TOWEL PREFERENCE DEFENSES (UNITED TOWEL EXPORTERS).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PLAYHUT, INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE TELEBRANDS CORP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE VERATEX, INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE SHANGHAI HELMTX CO.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EPOCH HOMETEX.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS (Park B. Smith).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LEWSI HYMAN.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE LANGLEY EMPIRE CANDLE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM S. DOIL CONFIRMING SETTLEMENT TERMS RE CROSCILL, EX CELL AND GLENOIT ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LANGLEY EMPIRE CANDLE AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LANGLEY EMPIRE CANDLE AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 183

		<u>Hours</u>
3/3/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.40
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE IDEA VILLAGE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER'S ANALYSIS RE S CHEER HK CO., LTD.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE APPROACH TO PREFERENCE RECIPIENTS RE PRE SETTLEMENT DEMAND ANALYSIS, POSITION RE REQUESTS FOR TRUSTEE'S WORK PRODUCT RE ANALYSIS, ETC.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CROSCILL, EX CELL AND GLENOIT HAVING SETTLED AND TERMS RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS RETRIEVED FROM DOCUTRUST.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF S CHEER HK CO., AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF S CHEER HK CO., AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.10
3/5/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF YFM CARPETS AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF YFM CARPETS AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/6/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.30
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS (WINGAR INDUSTRIES).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE JAY IMPORT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF HOME CITY DEFENSES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE TRANSPLACE TEXAS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE GREENLEAF ADVERTISING.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 184

		<u>Hours</u>
3/6/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE EXEL INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE SPRINGS GLOBAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE SAFAH INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE NATURES PILLOWS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE AMERICAN EXPRESS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE 10721 JASMINE LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE LEBANESE SYRIAN ASSOCIATED.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS RE DUKE SECURED.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE GOING FORWARD PROTOCOL FOR ADDRESSING COMMUNICATIONS WITH POTENTIAL PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS IN RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT DEMANDS WHERE NEW VALUE AND/OR ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSE ALREADY CONSIDERED IN SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE PROVIDING OUR ANALYSES TO PREFERENCE DEFENDANTS.	0.20
3/7/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE BACKGROUND INFORMATION RE DEBTOR'S PAYMENTS TO AMERICAN LEBANESE SYRIAN ASSOCIATED CHARITIES (ST. JUDE'S CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL).	0.10
3/8/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE ROIND HOMETEX CO.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE COMMONWEALTH SOAP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE DELTACOM.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF ROIND HOMETEX AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF ROIND HOMETEX'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 185

		<u>Hours</u>
3/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF COMMONWEALTH SOAP AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF COMMONWEALTH SOAP AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
3/9/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CMA CGM SA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE SHC DIRECT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE OFFICEMAX.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EXPEDITOR'S INTERNATIONAL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CHECKING STATUS OF PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENTS BY GINSEY, BOSTON WAREHOUSE AND BALTIC.	0.20
3/13/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT DEMANDS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, D. FIFE AND B. NELSON RE STATUS OF ON GOING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS WORK, UPDATED CHART REFLECTING MATTERS REVIEWED TO DATE AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS, NEED FOR COMPARISON OF VARIOUS DATA SOURCES TO CONFIRM ALL VENDOR/INSIDERS ARE EVALUATED.	0.40
3/14/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYZING REMAINING POTENTIALLY AVOIDABLE PREFERENCE PAYMENTS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSE TO PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY MAINSTREAM INTERNATIONAL, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF MAINSTREAM AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF TELEBRAND CORP., AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 186

		<u>Hours</u>
3/14/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, D. FIFE, C. MINIER, AND N. SANDERS RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE INVESTIGATION AND SETTLEMENT OFFERS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM D FIFE RE 90 DAY PAYMENTS TO R. BUNKA AND DRAFT RESPONSE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL AND TEXT COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. SWISHER RE INFORMATION NEEDED RE AMOUNT AND TIMING OF DIRECTOR FEES PAID TO DEBTOR'S INSIDERS, OUTSTANDING INFORMATION REQUESTS.	0.20
	NDS BEGIN ANALYSIS OF DEBTOR'S SCHEDULES/SOFA RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE INFORMATION NEEDED FROM JOE SWISHER TO EVALUATE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OVERALL STATUS OF PREFERENCE REVIEW, ADDITIONAL VENDOR/PAYMENTS TO BE INCLUDED BASED UPON COMPARISON OF SCHEDULES/SOFA AND DEBTOR'S INTERNAL PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.30
3/15/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE REFINING MANNER IN WHICH HE PREPARES PREFERENCE ANALYSES.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONCLUSIONS FROM ANALYSIS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE TELEBRANDS CORP.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RE MAINSTREAM INTERNATIONAL.	0.40
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES, SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS, AND INSIDERS.	0.60
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE US LINES, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE OAKLEAF WASTE MANAGEMENT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EVANS DELIVERY SERVICE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EMCOR.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LIBERTY PROPERTY.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 187

		<u>Hours</u>
3/15/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE AMERIPOWER LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EXETER 10230 RIDGE CREEK LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CISCO SYSTEMS CAPITAL CORPORATION.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CJ SEGERSTROM & SONS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE J. BUNKA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE EMAXX PARTNERS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST ENTITIES PAID BY DEBTOR FOR BANKRUPTCY AND DEBT COUNSELING ADVICE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LABOR READY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE TELEBRANDS CORP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE MAINSTREAM INTERNATIONAL INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW ANALYSIS OF DISCREPANCIES AND MISSING INFORMATION ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET CONDUCTED BY J. ZAYICEK.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE UPDATED SPREADSHEETS FROM TRUSTEE RE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN SCHEDULES AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AT CONVERSION.	0.10
3/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM K. NAYLOR RE ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE RECOVERY EFFORTS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES ARISING FROM ANALYSIS OF DISCREPANCIES AND MISSING INFORMATION ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET CONDUCTED BY J. ZAYICEK.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES ARISING FROM ANALYSIS OF DISCREPANCIES AND MISSING INFORMATION ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET CONDUCTED BY J. ZAYICEK.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE FEDERAL EXPRESS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 188

		<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE FIRST AMERICAN BANCORP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HOME TEXTILES SOLUTIONS LLC.	0.10
3/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND ZAK DESIGNS' PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF ANALYSES OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF ZAK DESIGNS AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF AMORE MUSE LLP AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF AMORE MUSE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE RAINTREE REALTY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE WEINGARTEN REALTY MANAGEMENT.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE ZAK DESIGNS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE AMORE MUSE, LLP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HAYDAY INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE INLAND REAL ESTATE CORPORATION.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE BB&T INSURANCE SERVICES.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE UPDATED MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET.	0.10
3/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF IDP / INTEGRATED'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES, AND PREPARE ANALYSES.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 189

		<u>Hours</u>
3/19/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF ANALYSES OF IDP / INTEGRATED'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION FROM SAME RE ANALYZING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LIBBEY GLASS, LAMONT PRODUCTS, HOLLANDER KENTUCKY, KNUD NIELSON CO., CADYRIFIC, ARTBOXX AND BIDDEFORD.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ASSESSING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LIBBEY GLASS, LAMONT PRODUCTS, HOLLANDER KENTUCKY, KNUD NIELSON CO., CADYRIFIC, ARTBOXX AND BIDDEFORD.	0.70
3/20/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM G. FIFE RE ANALYZING NEW VALUE DEFENSES OF LIBBEY GLASS, LAMONT PRODUCTS AND HOLLANDER KENTUCKY.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE RE ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE PREFERENCE ISSUES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW ISSUES RE NEW VALUE DEFENSE FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE IDP, LLC DBA INTEGRATED DESIGN.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE INTERDESIGN, INC.	0.10
	BN PREPARE FOR CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENTS, AND RE UPDATING MASTER SPREADSHEET WITH NEW ANALYSIS FROM J. ZAYICEK.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENTS, AND RE UPDATING MASTER SPREADSHEET WITH NEW ANALYSIS FROM J. ZAYICEK.	0.20
3/21/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE SETTING FORTH RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF LAMONT PRODUCTS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARING ANALYSES OF LAMONT PRODUCTS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 190

		<u>Hours</u>
3/21/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LAMONT PRODUCTS AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	NDS CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, B. NELSON AND C. MINIER RE VOLUMINOUS ADDITIONAL VENDOR/TRADE ENTITIES, INFORMATION NEEDED FROM J. SWISHER/DEBTOR TO CONDUCT FURTHER ANALYSIS, PENDING STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND POTENTIAL FOR MOTION TO EXTEND OR TOLLING AGREEMENTS, PLAN OF ACTION.	1.20
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, C. MINIER, AND N. SANDERS RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS, ISSUE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSFEREES, ISSUE OF INSIDER TRANSFEREES, AND GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY.	1.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE ADDITIONAL TRANSFEREES DISCOVERED BY J. ZAYICEK.	0.40
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CROSS-CHECKING DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS NUMBERS IN SPREAD SHEET WITH 90-DAY PAYMENT INFORMATION SET FORTH IN DEBTOR'S STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS.	0.20
3/22/2017	NDS EMAIL AND TEXT COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. SWISHER RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED/ISSUES RE ON GOING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.30
	NDS RESEARCH RE PROPRIETY OF REQUESTING EXTENSION OF 546 DEADLINE FOR FILING OF PREFERENCE CLAIMS, PROCESS HERE IN LIGHT OF VOLUME OF TRANSACTION PRESENTLY UNDERGOING ANALYSIS, NOTICE ISSUES.	0.80
	NDS COORDINATE SCHEDULING OF 3/31 CALL FOR UPDATES RE PENDING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, POTENTIAL MOTION REQUESTING EXTENSION OF 546 DEADLINE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED CORRESPONDENCE TO INSIDERS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE CLAIMS AND INFORMATION NEEDED RE SAME.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTERS TO INSIDERS RE PREFERENCE INVESTIGATION AND POTENTIAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.	0.60
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE LETTERS TO INSIDERS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.10
	BN PREPARE TO SEND LETTERS TO INSIDERS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.40
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVING LETTERS ON INSIDERS.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 191

		<u>Hours</u>
3/22/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. FIFE RE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS, AND RE TRANSFEREES MISSING FROM PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS, AND RE TRANSFEREES MISSING FROM PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXAMPLE OF MOTION TO EXTEND LIMITATIONS PERIOD IN WHICH TRUSTEE CAN COMMENCE AVOIDANCE ACTIONS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.20
3/23/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE PERFORMING PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSES AND SENDING DEMAND LETTERS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM D. FIFE AND B. NELSON RE INSIDER PREFERENCE ISSUES.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE COORDINATION OF 3/27 CONFERENCE CALL RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO CONTINUE WITH PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEMS.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COORDINATION OF 3/27 CONFERENCE CALL RE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED TO CONTINUE WITH PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER RE BACKGROUND INFORMATION AS TO PAYMENTS LISTED IN DEBTOR'S SOFA FOR DEBT COUNSELING.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE INFORMATION FROM J. SWISHER RE BACKGROUND INFORMATION AS TO PAYMENTS LISTED IN DEBTOR'S SOFA FOR DEBT COUNSELING, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LIKELY NEEDED.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF TRANSFEREES NOT INCLUDED IN DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS, AND RE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY.	0.60
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY AND DISCUSSIONS RE SAME WITH TRUSTEE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE MARIETTA CENTER.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE LAMONT PRODUCTS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE GRANITE TELECOMMUNICATIONS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 192

		<u>Hours</u>
3/23/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PALM SPRINGS MILE ASSOCIATES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RE A. GLADSTONE.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE REVISING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RE A. GLADSTONE TO INCLUDE FULL YEAR.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS, AND RE TRANSFEREES MISSING FROM PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER DESCRIBING RELATIONSHIP AND SERVICES PROVIDED BY ENTITIES LISTED IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 9 OF THE STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL AFFAIRS.	0.20
3/24/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTERS TO BIDDEFORD BLANKETS, LIBBEY GLASS AND HOME TRENDS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREPARATION FOR 3/27 CALL WITH J. SWISHER, REVISED ANALYSIS PROTOCOLS IN AN EFFORT TO STREAMLINE ANALYSIS PROCESS.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL AND EXCEL CHART FROM J. SWISHER RE PAYMENTS TO INSIDERS DURING PREFERENCE PERIOD, BASIS FOR PAYMENTS, SCHEDULED PAYMENT DATES, ETC.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE OR RECOVERY CLAIM AGAINST A. GLADSTONE.	0.10
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
3/27/2017	NDS PREPARE UPDATED AGENDA FOR CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER AND B. NELSON.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER AND B. NELSON RE ONGOING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, COMPANY ELECTRONIC DATA/PLATFORMS RELATING TO VENDOR PO'S, INVOICES, PAYMENTS REQUIRED FOR RULE 26 DISCLOSURES, LOCATION AND ACCESS TO DATA, FORMER COMPANY EMPLOYEES WHO MAY BE AVAILABLE TO ASSIST WITH DATA RETRIEVAL.	1.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM J. SWISHER RE VENDORS WITH MODIFIED PAYMENT TERMS DURING PREFERENCE PERIOD.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 193

		<u>Hours</u>
3/27/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION PROVIDED BY J. SWISHER RE MODIFIED PAYMENT TERMS TO CERTAIN VENDORS DURING PREFERENCE PERIOD.	0.10
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, J. SWISHER, AND N. SANDERS RE ONGOING PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, COMPANY ELECTRONIC DATA/PLATFORMS RELATING TO VENDOR PO'S, INVOICES, PAYMENTS REQUIRED FOR RULE 26 DISCLOSURES, LOCATION AND ACCESS TO DATA, FORMER COMPANY EMPLOYEES WHO MAY BE AVAILABLE TO ASSIST WITH DATA RETRIEVAL.	1.10
	BN REVIEW SPREADSHEET SHOWING TERMS WITH VENDORS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE SPREAD SHEETS RECEIVED FROM J. SWISHER RE PAYMENT TERMS WITH VARIOUS VENDORS AND INCREASES IN CREDIT RECEIVED FROM SAME.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE QUESTIONS RE EXPANDED PAYMENT TERMS FOR CERTAIN VENDORS AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.20
3/29/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE NOTATING UNRECONCILED CLAIMS FROM SOFAS AND UNRESOLVED CLAIMS TO MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET, AND GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY WITH REGARD TO SUCH CLAIMS.	0.40
3/30/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LEWIS HYMAN, INC., AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE LEWIS HYMAN'S ENTITLEMENT TO PROTECTION OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSE.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PARK B. SMITH AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PARK B. SMITH AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY THE DEBTOR AND THE TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SHANGHAI HELMTX CO., LTD, AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 194

		<u>Hours</u>
3/30/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SHANGHAI HELMTX AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE PREFERENCE ACTIONS AGAINST INSIDERS.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS INFORMATION FROM TRUSTEE, COMPARISON OF DEBTOR'S SCHEDULES/CLAIMS WITH DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, PREPARATION FOR 3/31 CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE RE PENDING ANALYSIS.	0.50
3/31/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, B. NELSON AND N. SANDERS RE FACTS AND ISSUES RE ANALYZING PREFERENCE PAYMENTS MADE BY DEBTOR TO VARIOUS PARTIES, INFORMAL EFFORTS TO RECOVER PREFERENCE PAYMENTS AND COMMENCING PREFERENCE ACTIONS.	1.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ASSESSING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LEWIS HYMAN, INC.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LEWIS HYMAN, INC., AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE OUTCOME OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LEWIS HYMAN, INC., AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.50
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE ARTBOX FRAMING.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE AZALEA AND TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS TO SAME.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CENTRO GA PARKWAY PLAZA LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CROWLEY LINER SERVICES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE FLORIDA POWER.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE GGP LIMITED PARTNERSHIP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LA ALAMEDA LLC.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 195

		<u>Hours</u>
3/31/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE NLSR LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE NOBLE AMERICAS GAS POWER CORP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE OCEANGATE PROPERTY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE OVERLAND PLAZA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS (RED 288 INVEST LTD).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE BASSEM R. SIRHED.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE UMP REAL ESTATE HOLDING LLC.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSES, LETTERS, AND LITIGATION.	0.10
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, N. SANDERS, C. MINIER, AND D. FIFE RE STATUS OF PREFERENCE ANALYSES, ISSUES REGARDING DISCREPANCIES IN SOFAS AND PREFERENCE SUMMARY FROM DEBTOR, ISSUES RE TRANSFEREES ABSENT FROM PREFERENCE SUMMARY, STRATEGY FOR PREFERENCE LITIGATION, AND CLAIMS AGAINST INSIDERS AND ENTITIES THAT ASSISTED WITH PREPARATION FOR BANKRUPTCY.	1.50
	NDS CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE, D. FIFE, B. NELSON AND C. MINIER RE UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS, REMAINING ACCOUNTS REQUIRING REVIEW, PROCESS RE DISTINGUISHING VENDOR/LANDLORD/TAXING AUTHORITY/PROFESSIONAL PAYMENTS AND CLAIMS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED FROM ANNA'S ELECTRONIC DATA AND POTENTIALLY STORED RECORDS.	1.50
	NDS PREPARE TEMPLATE ADVERSARY COMPLAINT FOR PREFERENCE/FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCE/DISALLOWANCE OF CLAIM.	0.70
4/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE POSSIBILITY OF INCLUDING FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CAUSE OF ACTION IN PREFERENCE COMPLAINTS.	0.10
4/3/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE GATHERING OF INFORMATION FROM PWC, PREPARATION OF INITIAL COMPLAINTS.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE WHETHER TO INCLUDE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CAUSE OF ACTION IN PREFERENCE COMPLAINTS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF LEWIS HYMAN, INC., AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF PLAYHUT, INC., AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 196

		<u>Hours</u>
4/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF YUNTON SERVICES, AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.10
4/5/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT OFFERS/COUNTERS.	0.60
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE PARK B. SMITH.	0.10
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE SHANGHAI HELMTX	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CANJOY LINENS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE SUNTEX DESIGNS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW C. MINIER ANALYSIS RE CORONA CURTAIN.	0.10
4/10/2017	NDS FOLLOW UP EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. SWISHER RE SPECIFIC VENDOR INFORMATION NEEDED FOR PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MOTION TO EXTEND 546 DEADLINE FOR SPECIFIED VENDORS, STATUS OF PREFERENCE COMPLAINTS, PENDING PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW BOARD FEE SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER INSIDERS RECEIVED PAYMENTS OUTSIDE OF ORDINARY COURSE.	0.40
4/11/2017	NDS RESEARCH RE INFORMATION NEEDED FROM PRE FILING PROFESSIONALS RE POTENTIAL RECOVERY CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF PAYMENTS MADE IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.40
	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO PRICE WATERHOUSE COOPERS RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.40
	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO CUDDEBACK AND CO RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO DWC BISON, LLC RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 197

		<u>Hours</u>
4/11/2017	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO SPAIN, PRICE, READER & THOMPSON RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT LETTER TO 360 RIVERHORSE GROUP RE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE EVIDENCE OF SERVICES RENDERED/PAYMENTS RECEIVED IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION.	0.20
	BN FOLLOW UP ON STATUS OF INSIDER PREFERENCE LETTERS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVING LETTERS ON INSIDERS.	0.10
4/12/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STRATEGY RE NEGOTIATING FUTURE PREFERENCE SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE EXHIBITS TO LETTERS TO PWC, SPAIN PRICE, 360 RIVERHORSE, CUDDEBACK, DWC BISON AND 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS RE REQUEST FOR SUPPORT DOCUMENTS RE PAYMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. SWISHER WITH INFORMATION RE SPECIFIC VENDOR RELATIONSHIPS AND PAYMENT PROTOCOLS.	0.10
4/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE FURTHER UPDATED ANALYSIS RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCES RECEIVED BY DEBTOR'S DIRECTORS AND FORWARD TO TRUSTEE FOR REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION.	0.20
	BN REVIEW BOARD FEE SCHEDULE TO DETERMINE WHETHER INSIDERS RECEIVED PAYMENTS OUTSIDE OF ORDINARY COURSE AND DRAFT ANALYSIS OF SAME.	1.00
4/14/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF SETTLEMENTS AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.50
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF VALLEY PLAZA, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF WRI JT NORTHRIDGE LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF CONTINENTAL AMERICAN INSURANCE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF BDO USA LLP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF RALPH'S GROCERY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF TUCSON PLACE PARTNERS, LLC	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 198

		<u>Hours</u>
4/14/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF ROTH STAFFING COMPANIES LP	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF RDI MARKETING SERVICES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS (Outsourcing solutions Group).	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF DAVIS BROTHERS, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF HAN AND BROTHERS CAPITAL.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF NEMP HOLDINGS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF WESTERN AND VENIC LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF PRINCIPLE EQUITY PROPERTIES LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW J. SWISHER'S ANALYSIS RE CHASE PAYMENTECH CREDIT, RETAIL GRAPHIX IMAGING, AND RUNZHEIMER INTERNATIONAL HOLDING CO.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF PRIME CRDF MISSION HILLS, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF LANSING PARTNERS, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF PACIFIC GAS ELECTRIC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF SUPER LLC DBA CENTRO NP HOLDINGS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF KIM CPP PL PORTFOLIO REIT, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF PINE TRAIL SQUARE.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF HPRI.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF KIMCO REALTY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS OF AMERICAN REALTY CAPITAL.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES WITH PROSECUTION OF PREFERENCE CLAIMS AGAINST INTERNATIONAL VENDORS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED ANALYSIS RE VARIOUS VENDORS AND CONFIRM VENDORS REQUIRING SETTLEMENT DEMANDS OR REQUIRING PREPARATION OF ADVERSARY COMPLAINT.	0.40
4/17/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVICE OF PREFERENCE LETTERS TO INSIDERS.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 199

		<u>Hours</u>
4/17/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO ADD NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES.	0.30
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE 280 METRO LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE MAIN/O.S.T. LTD.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE AUTORIDAD DE ENERGIA ELECTRICA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PLAMEX INVESTMENT, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE CRENSHAW IMPERIAL ASSOCIATES, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LOGILITY INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE REGENCY CENTERS LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE DEPARTAMENTO DE HACIENDA.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE AS 92 HWY, 59 READING ROAD LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE GRI EQY CONCORD LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE RC RP REIT LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER, TRUSTEE AND C MINIER RE POTENTIAL ENGAGEMENT OF FORMER ANNA'S EMPLOYEE, C. SAMO, TO GATHER ELECTRONIC DATA NEEDED FOR RULE 26 DISCLOSURES FOR PREFERENCE ADVERSARIES AND PREFERENCE SETTLEMENT COMMUNICATIONS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SWISHER RE OBTAINING ACCESS TO DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC RECORDS AND CONTACTING C. SAMO RE SAME.	0.20
4/18/2017	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE NEW AND UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT UPDATES.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE SCD AT STONECREST FESTIVAL, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE MIMCO, INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE LAKES MALL INVESTMENT, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE TERRA VISTA -- RANCHO CUCAMONGA.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 200

		<u>Hours</u>
4/18/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE VMY PROPERTIES, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE MARK'S GROUP LLC.	0.10
4/20/2017	BN REVIEW PRE-CONVERSION MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS WITH IDEA VILLAGE, JIMCO, AND INTERDESIGN.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE PULASKI PARTNERS.	0.10
4/24/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. SAMO AND J. SWISHER RE ISSUES RE ACCESSING ANNA'S ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF PROSECUTING TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ACTIONS AGAINST VARIOUS PARTIES.	0.70
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE DCTN3 388 FRESNO, CA LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HEIDRICK & STRUGGLES INC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE GRETNAL REALTY ASSOCIATES, LLC.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE WHITEROCK IMPROVEMENTS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE KIMCO INCOME OPERATING PARTNERSHIP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE HOUSTON GOLFGATE PARTNERS, LP.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE BELTWAY & AIRPORT FREEWAY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE FW IL-RIVERSIDE RIVERS EDGE, LLC.	0.10
	NDS GATHER INFORMATION RE ADDITIONAL PREFERENCE ADVERSARIES TO BE COMMENCED, PREPARATION OF FINAL FILING DOCUMENTS AND SERVICE FOLLOWING ISSUANCE OF SUMMONS.	0.30
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE INVESTIGATING ABILITY TO ACCESS DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS, AND COSTS AND TERMS RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM C. SAMO RE OBTAINING ACCESS TO DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC RECORDS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO C. SAMO RE OBTAINING ACCESS TO DEBTOR'S ELECTRONICALLY STORED DATA AND DOCUMENTS AND ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 201

		<u>Hours</u>
4/24/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER AND C. SAMO RE OBTAINING ACCESS TO DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONFERENCE CALL RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE WORKING WITH C. SAMO TO OBTAIN ACCESS TO DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC RECORDS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT MEMORANDUM RE INFORMATION LEARNED FROM C. SAMO RE INFORMATION AVAILABLE IN DEBTOR'S JDA ELECTRONIC RECORDS AND NEED TO OBTAIN CHECKS AND OTHER BANK RECORDS FROM UNION BANK.	0.30
4/25/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE FILING AND SERVING VARIOUS PREFERENCE COMPLAINTS.	0.20
	BN WORK ON MASTER PREFERENCE SPREADSHEET TO INCLUDE UPDATED ANALYSES AND SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.50
	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS RE VARIOUS POTENTIAL PREFERENCE RECIPIENTS.	0.80
	BN REVIEW PAYMENTS MADE TO CUDDEBACK AND CO., LLC, DURING PREFERENCE PERIOD AND LETTER REGARDING THE SAME.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH G. RYAN RE PAYMENTS TO CUDDEBACK AND CO., LLC.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE FINALIZING AND FILING FIRST ROUND OF NEW PREFERENCE COMPLAINTS.	0.30
4/28/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM C. SAMO RE GETTING DEBTOR'S JDA INTHECLOUD DATABASE WORKING, AND COSTS AND OTHER ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[166.40 76415.00]

Revere Mills Adversary

10/5/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FROM SAME FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
10/10/2016	CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.30
10/17/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE OBTAINING INFORMATION FOR DRAFTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	1.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 202

		<u>Hours</u>
10/17/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND TERMS RE SAME.	0.20
10/18/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE AND RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
10/25/2016	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PROVIDED TO SAME, EXECUTION OF SAME AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
10/28/2016	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, REVISIONS TO SAME AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT EMAIL TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND HAVING SAME EXECUTED BY DEFENDANT'S OFFICER.	0.10
10/31/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT; REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE HAVING SAME EXECUTE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 203

	<u>Hours</u>
10/31/2016 CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY TRUSTEE AND RE PREPARATION OF MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
11/9/2016 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING ON STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.10
11/10/2016 CAM ATTEND CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.60
11/28/2016 CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
12/19/2016 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
12/21/2016 CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.20
12/22/2016 CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT BY DEFENDANT AND OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.20
12/27/2016 CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND HEARING RE SAME.	0.20
CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, J.KOCH, RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
1/25/2017 CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
1/26/2017 CAM DRAFT UNILATERAL STATUS CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.50
1/30/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
1/31/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND NEW PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.20
2/2/2017 CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND MAKING SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.20
CAM REVIEW EMAIL FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STATUS OF COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
2/8/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLEADING AND COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING IN PREPARATION FOR CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 204

		<u>Hours</u>
2/8/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COURT'S ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEFENDANT TO MAKE SETTLEMENT PAYMENT.	0.30
2/9/2017	CAM ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
2/15/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT CHECK HAVING CLEARED AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
3/9/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE'S CASE ADMINISTRATOR RE ISSUES RE REVERE'S PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL EMAIL FROM REVERE'S COUNSEL RE PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT TO TRUSTEE AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEED FOR DEFENDANT TO PAY SETTLEMENT AMOUNT TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE CONFIRMING TRUSTEE'S NON-RECEIPT OF SETTLEMENT CHECK.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ANTICIPATED DELIVERY OF SETTLEMENT CHECK TO TRUSTEE.	0.10
3/10/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH J. KOCH RE DEFENDANT'S PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT AND DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL AND COPY OF SETTLEMENT CHECK RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ASSISTANT; DRAFT REPLY TO SAME RE SAME.	0.10
3/29/2017	CAM DRAFT NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING WITH PREJUDICE.	0.30
3/30/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S LAW CLERK RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING FILED BY TRUSTEE.	0.20
4/11/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COURT'S LAW CLERK RE NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RECENTLY FILED BY TRUSTEE AND UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[10.50 4725.00]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 205

		<u>Hours</u>
	<u>Royale Linens, Inc.</u>	
3/16/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND ROYALE LINENS' PROOF OF CLAIM RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF ROYAL LINENS' NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICATION OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONCLUSIONS DRAWN FROM ANALYSES OF ROYALE LINENS' PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.50
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO ROYALE LINENS RE REPAYMENT OF NET PREFERENCES BY SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO ROYALE LINENS RE RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS TO ESTATE BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO ROYALE LINENS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS.	0.10
4/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE RE LIABILITY ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM ROYAL'S COUNSEL AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND COMPARE TRUSTEE'S LIABILITY ANALYSIS WITH THAT PROVIDED BY ROYAL RE DETERMINING REASONS FOR DIFFERENCES IN SAME AND ADVISING TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM D. FIFE RE ROYALE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE MULTIPLE EMAILS TO TRUSTEE RE ROYALE'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSIS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	SUBTOTAL:	[3.20 1432.50]
	<u>Sales Tax Claims</u>	
11/8/2016	BN REVIEW VARIOUS STIPULATIONS AND ORDER RE SETTLEMENT OF TAX CLAIMS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 206

		<u>Hours</u>
11/9/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE TAXING AUTHORITY CLAIMS AGAINST TRUST FUND CREATED BY SALE ORDER.	0.30
	BN REVIEW CLAIMS SPREADSHEET TO LOCATE CLAIMS FILED BY THE CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD.	0.20
	BN REVIEW DOCKET TO LOCATE PLEADINGS FILED BY TAXING AUTHORITIES RE GOING OUT OF BUSINESS SALE.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE DOCUMENTS/ISSUES RE CARVE OUT CREATED IN GOB SALE ORDER.	0.30
11/10/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE TAX RESERVE FUND AND CLAIMS AGAINST SAME.	0.40
	BN REVIEW CLAIMS DOCKET AND CLAIMS SPREADSHEET RE CLAIM FILED BY STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.	0.20
	BN REVIEW DOCKET FOR PLEADINGS FILED RE COMMITTEE'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE TAX PAYMENTS.	0.50
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PLEADINGS FILED RE COMMITTEE'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE TAX PAYMENTS.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW DEBTOR WATERFALL ANALYSIS RE FUNDS RESERVED FOR SALES TAX CLAIMS ASSERTED BY CA, NEVADA, AZ, NM AND DC.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH M. HEYN AND R. ITO, CA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, RE CA BOE SALES TAX CLAIM, HISTORY OF RESERVE FUND, OTHER PARTICIPATING SALES TAX AGENCIES.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW CA BOE PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO DISCUSS SALES TAX CLAIMS AND RELATED RESERVE FUNDS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEBTOR'S MOTION TO PAY SALES TAX CLAIM, RELATED ORDER, COMMITTEE EMERGENCY MOTION TO TERMINATE PAYMENT OF PRE PETITION SALES TAX CLAIMS, OPPOSITIONS AND REPLIES THERETO, FINAL COURT ORDER APPROVING AGREEMENT BETWEEN DEBTOR AND COMMITTEE RE NON PAYMENT/RESERVE FOR \$194,000 IN CONTESTED CLAIMS.	1.40
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM M. HEYN AT CA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE RE ORDERS RELATING TO RESERVE OF SALES TAX FUNDS.	0.10
11/14/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE COORDINATION OF CALL TO CONTINUE ANALYSIS OF SALES TAX CLAIMS ASSERTED AGAINST RESERVED FUNDS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 207

		<u>Hours</u>
11/16/2016	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE HISTORY OF SALES TAX RESERVE ACCOUNT, DEBTOR'S PRE AND POST PETITION PROCESSES RELATING TO SALES TAX CLAIMS AND FUNDS, ORIGINAL AND UPDATED CALCULATIONS OF CLAIM AMOUNTS, BASIC INFORMATION RE BID PROTECTION ACCOUNT, PREFERENCE ISSUES.	1.00
11/21/2016	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL INFORMATION/CLAIMS RE SALES TAX CLAIM RESERVE.	0.30
11/30/2016	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL DISPUTES REGARDING CERTAIN TAX CLAIMS.	0.10
	NDS CONTINUE ANALYSIS OF ISSUES RE CA, AZ, DC AND NEVADA SALES TAX/TRUST FUNDS CLAIMS.	0.50
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE WHETHER TO BRING FURTHER MOTION RE PRIVILEGE AND SALES TAX CLAIMS OF CA, AZ, DC, AND NV.	0.60
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS PLEADINGS AND DOCUMENTS RE PAYMENT OF PRIVILEGE TAX CLAIMS OF CA, AZ, DC, AND NV.	1.10
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE TO SALES TAXES COLLECTED IN CA, NV, AZ, AND DC.	0.10
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER FAILURE TO PAY SALES TAXES IN CA, NV, AZ, AND DC CREATES A RESULTING TRUST.	0.10
	BN RESEARCH RE WHAT HAPPENS IF RETAILER DOES NOT SEGREGATE COLLECTED SALES TAX IN NV.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RE JULY 29, 2015 HEARING RE WHETHER THE PRIVILEGE TAXES OF ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND NEVADA ARE NOT TRUST FUND TAXES AND ANALYZE THE NEED FOR FURTHER INFORMATION RE BASIS FOR COURT'S FINAL CONCLUSIONS RE NATURE OF STATE SALES TAX CLAIMS.	0.40
12/7/2016	BN ANALYZE WHETHER TO OBJECT TO IMMEDIATE PAYMENT OF CA, NV, AZ, AND DC SALES TAX CLAIMS.	0.10
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER RETAINER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE TO SALES TAX COLLECTED WHEN SALES TAX IS NOT A TRUST FUND TAX.	0.20
12/8/2016	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE WHEN SALES TAX IS NOT TRUST FUND TAX.	0.50
12/19/2016	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER ARIZONA RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE TO MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.60
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER CALIFORNIA RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR SALES TAX.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 208

		<u>Hours</u>
12/19/2016	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER NEVADA RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.30
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER D.C. RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.40
12/20/2016	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER D.C. RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.40
12/21/2016	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER D.C. RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER NEVADA RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE TITLE FOR MONEY COLLECTED FOR PRIVILEGE TAX.	0.70
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER D.C. RETAILER PAYS SALES TAX ON ENTIRE SALE PRICE AND NOT ACTUAL CASH RECEIPTS IN CREDIT TRANSACTION.	0.10
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER CALIFORNIA RETAILER PAYS SALES TAX ON ENTIRE SALE PRICE AND NOT ACTUAL CASH RECEIPTS IN CREDIT TRANSACTION.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER ARIZONA RETAILER PAYS PRIVILEGE TAX ON ENTIRE SALE PRICE AND NOT ACTUAL CASH RECEIPTS.	0.20
12/28/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SALES TAX IN NON-TRUST STATES.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW UPDATED OUTLINE OF ISSUES/INITIAL RESEARCH FOLLOWING REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF PRE CONVERSION PLEADINGS FILED BY THE DEBTOR, THE COMMITTEE AND VARIOUS TAXING AUTHORITIES RE DISPUTE RE TRUST FUND NATURE OF VARIOUS TAXING AUTHORITY SALES TAX CLAIMS.	0.40
1/5/2017	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER RETAILER TAKES EQUITABLE OWNERSHIP OF NEVADA SALES TAXES ON COLLECTION.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER CALIFORNIA RETAILER'S RIGHT TO REIMBURSEMENT OF SALES TAX FROM CUSTOMER CREATES A TRUST.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO PAY ARIZONA SALES TAX.	0.30
	BN RESEARCH RE PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO PAY CALIFORNIA SALES TAX.	0.20
	BN RESEARCH RE WHETHER FAILURE TO REMIT SALES TAX CREATES A RESULTING TRUST.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE TRUST FUND OR EXCISE SALES TAXES.	0.30
	BN REVIEW PLEADINGS RE TRUST FUND SALES TAX ISSUES.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 209

		<u>Hours</u>
1/5/2017	BN DRAFT MEMO RE TRUST FUND TAX ISSUES.	0.60
	BN RESEARCH RE EFFECT OF DAILY SWEEPING ON TRUST FUND TAXES.	0.40
1/6/2017	BN REVIEW RECORDING OF HEARING RE SALES TAXES OF CERTAIN STATES.	1.50
	BN ANALYZE SALES TAX ISSUES IN LIGHT OF HEARING ARGUMENTS AND COURT'S COMMENTS AT PREVIOUS HEARING.	0.60
1/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. ITO, CA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, RE BOE CLAIM FOR UNPAID TAXES, STATUS OF EVALUATION/RESEARCH RE TRUST NATURE OF CLAIMS PER JUDGE ALBERT'S PRE CONVERSION RULINGS.	0.20
2/2/2017	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE RESULTS OF PRELIMINARY RESEARCH RE BASIS FOR TAX CLAIMS, COURTS COMMENTS AND FINDINGS RE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS ARE NOT TRUST FUND CLAIMS, SETTLEMENT ALTERNATIVES AND INSTRUCTIONS FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE RESULTS OF PRELIMINARY RESEARCH RE BASIS FOR TAX CLAIMS, COURTS COMMENTS AND FINDINGS RE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS ARE NOT TRUST FUND CLAIMS, SETTLEMENT ALTERNATIVES AND INSTRUCTIONS FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE RESULTS OF PRELIMINARY RESEARCH RE BASIS FOR TAX CLAIMS, COURT'S COMMENTS AND FINDINGS RE LIKELIHOOD THAT CLAIMS ARE NOT TRUST FUND CLAIMS, SETTLEMENT ALTERNATIVES AND INSTRUCTIONS FROM TRUSTEE RE SAME.	0.40
2/10/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO VARIOUS STATES PROPOSING CONSENSUAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.40
2/13/2017	NDS REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO STATE TAXING AUTHORITIES RE ISSUES RE TRUST FUND NATURE OF TAX CLAIMS AT ISSUE, POTENTIAL SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS RE SAME.	0.20
2/14/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE LETTERS TO TAXING AUTHORITIES RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SERVICE OF LETTERS RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
	BN REVIEW PROOFS OF CLAIM FILED BY ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE BASED ON ARIZONA TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAXES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 210

		<u>Hours</u>
2/14/2017	BN REVIEW PROOFS OF CLAIM FILED BY DC GOV'T OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE BASED ON SALES TAXES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO DC GOV'T OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.20
2/15/2017	BN REVIEW RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS IN PREPARATION FOR CALL WITH R. ITO RE CALIFORNIA BOE CLAIM.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. ITO RE CALIFORNIA BOE CLAIM.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO R. ITO RE CALIFORNIA BOE CLAIM.	0.30
	BN FINALIZE LETTERS TO RELEVANT DC, NEVADA, AND ARIZONA TAXING AUTHORITIES RE POSSIBLE RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.10
	BN REVIEW RESPONSE FROM DC GOV'T OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE TO LETTER RE POSSIBLE RESOLUTION OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	0.10
2/22/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM M. SILVERMAN RE POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF ARIZONA SALES TAX ISSUES.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW INITIAL RESPONSES FROM TAXING AUTHORITIES RE SETTLEMENT EFFORTS.	0.10
2/23/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT LETTER TO NEVADA TAXING AUTHORITY.	0.10
2/24/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE CONTACT WITH ATTORNEYS FOR TAXING AUTHORITIES AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH R. ITO RE SETTLEMENT OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES WITH CALIFORNIA BOE.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH N. APLER RE SETTLEMENT OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES WITH D.C. OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE.	0.10
2/27/2017	BN FOLLOW UP ON CORRESPONDENCE FROM STATE TAXING AUTHORITIES RE POSSIBLE RESOLUTION.	0.10
3/1/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW RESPONSES OF FIVE TAXING AUTHORITIES TO INITIAL APPROACHES RE CONSENSUAL RESOLUTION OF DISPUTED TRUST FUND TAXES ASSERTED AND OUTLINE STRATEGY RE PRESENTATION OF SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS TO EACH.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 211

		<u>Hours</u>
3/1/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STRATEGY RE PRESENTATION OF SETTLEMENT PROPOSALS TO TAXING AUTHORITIES RE DISPUTED TRUST FUND CLAIMS.	0.10
3/7/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. WERBICKY RE PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF NEVADA TAXING AUTHORITY CLAIM.	0.10
3/17/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH N. ALPER DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RE OUTSTANDING SALES TAX ISSUES AND POTENTIAL RESOLUTION.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH N. ALPER DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RE OUTSTANDING SALES TAX ISSUES AND POTENTIAL RESOLUTION.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION RE ANALYSIS OF SALES TAX ISSUES AND PROPOSED RESOLUTION.	0.10
4/10/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE TEMPLATE SETTLEMENT LETTER TO AFFECTED TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.20
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION PROPOSING SETTLEMENT OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.	1.30
4/11/2017	NDS REVISE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION RE RESOLUTION OF ITS DISPUTED ADMINISTRATIVE TRUST FUND TAX CLAIM.	0.20
	BN REVISE SETTLEMENT LETTER TO CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.	0.30
	BN DRAFT SETTLEMENT LETTER TO CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.	1.00
4/12/2017	BN DRAFT SETTLEMENT LETTER TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.70
	BN DRAFT SETTLEMENT LETTER RE DC OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE.	0.30
4/13/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE SETTLEMENT OFFER TO AZ DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE SETTLEMENT OFFER TO DC GOVERNMENT OFFICE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PERCENTAGE CLAIM SETTLEMENT OFFERS TO TAXING AUTHORITIES WITH DISPUTED TRUST FUND CLAIMS, REVISED OPENING OFFERS.	0.30
	BN REVISE SETTLEMENT LETTER TO DC OFFICE OF TAX AND REVENUE.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT LETTERS TO FOUR TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 212

		<u>Hours</u>
4/13/2017	BN FINALIZE SETTLEMENT LETTERS TO FOUR TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.30
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. WERBICKY OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION RE SETTLEMENT LETTER.	0.10
4/17/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. WERBICKY RE SETTLEMENT LETTER TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.	0.10
4/24/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. WERBICKY FROM NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE ACCEPTING SETTLEMENT OFFER.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DRAFTING STIPULATION RE NEVADA SALES TAX RESOLUTION.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW SETTLEMENT ACCEPTANCE FROM NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE FIRST SALES TAX CLAIM SETTLEMENT.	0.10
4/26/2017	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE LETTER AND COUNTERPROPOSAL FROM ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TO TRUSTEE RE LETTER AND COUNTERPROPOSAL FROM ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION.	0.50
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE COUNTER SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RE RESOLUTION OF DISPUTED TRUST FUND CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND B. NELSON RE ISSUES WITH ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE COUNTER SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
4/27/2017	BN DRAFT LETTER TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE WITH COUNTEROFFER.	0.60
4/28/2017	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO RESOLVE NEVADA SALES TAX CLAIM.	0.70

SUBTOTAL:

[33.40 14300.00]

Salus Appeal of Gubner Employment Order

1/3/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE SALUS APPEAL OF EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS APPEAL OF EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 213

		<u>Hours</u>
1/4/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE INITIAL RESEARCH RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
1/5/2017	NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF REFERRAL OF APPEAL.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS OUTLINE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL AND ADDITIONAL AREAS OF RESEARCH NEEDED.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL RESEARCH RE INTERLOCUTORY NATURE OF EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
1/6/2017	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE DRAFT OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE ISSUES RE SALUS APPEAL AND MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.60
1/9/2017	NDS REVIEW DISTRICT COURT NOTICES RE DOCKETNG OF SALUS APPEAL.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW GB DRAFT OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.30
1/10/2017	NDS REVIEW FURTHER REVISED OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL FROM BRUTZKUS GUBNER.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE FURTHER REVISED OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.30
1/11/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DISTRICT COURT'S MANDATORY ADR PROGRAM, REPRESENTATIONS RE SAME TO BE MADE IN INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE REPORT.	0.20
1/12/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE SAFE HARBOR LETTER TO J. DAVIS, MOTION FOR SANCTIONS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 214

		<u>Hours</u>
1/12/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN WITH COMMENTS TO DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM BG TO J. DAVIS RE TRANSMITTAL OF SAFE HARBOR LETTER AND DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS.	0.10
1/13/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SAFE HARBOR LETTER TO J. DAVIS AND DRAFT MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AS PART OF SAME.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE SALUS MOTION WITH DISTRICT COURT FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS MOTION WITH DISTRICT COURT FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.	0.60
1/17/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND ATTACHMENT FROM J. BREGMAN RE MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.10
	NDS PREPARE REDLINE COMMENTS/REVISIONS TO MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE APPEAL FILED IN DISTRICT COURT.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. BREGMAN RE MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE WITH REVISIONS TO RESPONSE LETTER TO SALUS DEMAND RE PREFERENCE CLAIMS.	0.10
	NDS REVISE RESPONSE LETTER TO SALUS DEMAND RE PREFERENCE CLAIMS PER COMMENTS OF TRUSTEE.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY RE SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J BREGMAN RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY, APPELLATE BRIEFING ISSUES.	0.60
1/18/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL, DESIGNATION OF RECORD, STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL, ETC.	0.60
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING RE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING RE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 215

		<u>Hours</u>
1/19/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY AND ATTACHED DRAFT REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION, DRAFT RESPONSE.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE INITIAL COMMENTS TO REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION, DRAFT RESPONSE.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE FINAL REDLINE REVISIONS TO REPLY TO SALUS OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF STAY MOTION AND FORWARD TO J. KOMORSKY.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE CONTINUANCE OF SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO CONTINUE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.30
1/20/2017	NDS COMMUNICATIONS WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE POTENTIAL FURTHER BRIEFING IN OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY GIVEN SALUS FAILURE TO APPRISE COURT OF PENDING MOTION FOR LEAVE WITH DISTRICT COURT.	0.30
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE BRIEFING ISSUES RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.30
1/23/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL, HER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF SAME, GENERAL STRATEGY ISSUES.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.	0.10
1/30/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J KOMORSKY AND J BREGMAN RE APPELLEE'S SUPPLEMENTAL DESIGNATION OF RECORD, ETC. IN LIGHT OF SALUS' PENDING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL, SALUS FILING OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 216

		<u>Hours</u>
1/30/2017	NDS REVIEW SALUS REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.10
1/31/2017	NDS REVIEW AND EDIT SUPPLEMENTAL DESIGNATION OF RECORD, RJN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE EDITS TO SUPPLEMENTAL DESIGNATION OF RECORD AND RJN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
2/2/2017	NDS REVIEW CERTIFICATE OF READINESS AND COMPLETION OF RECORD ON APPEAL, FILED RJN IN SUPPORT, AND CASE DOCKET FOR STATUS OF COURT'S CONSIDERATION OF SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTEROCUTORY APPEAL.	0.10
2/3/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL AND COORDINATION OF CONFERENCE CALL WITH CO-COUNSEL TO ADDRESS SAME.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE PREPARATION FOR 2/6 HEARING.	0.30
2/6/2017	NDS PREPARE NOTES FOR 2/7 HEARING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. KOMORSKY RE COURT'S TENTATIVE RULING RE SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
2/7/2017	NDS ATTEND HEARING ON SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	2.90
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF HEARING ON SALUS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW NOTICE FROM DISTRICT COURT RE BANKRUPTCY RECORD COMPLETE.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE DRAFT ORDER DENYING SALUS MOTION FOR STAY.	0.10
2/8/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE ISSUES RE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR STAY FROM J. DAVIS AND C. COHEN, COMPLETION OF APPELLATE RECORD NOTICE FROM	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 217

		<u>Hours</u>
	DISTRICT COURT, BRIEFING SCHEDULE GOING FORWARD IN LIGHT OF PENDING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.	
2/8/2017	NDS PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO PROPOSED ORDER.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW COURT'S DOCKET ENTRY RE SALUS MOTION TO DISMISS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAILS FROM C. COHEN AND J. DAVIS RE DISPUTE RE PROPOSED ORDER.	0.20
2/10/2017	BN REVIEW PROPOSED ORDER RE MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND SALUS' OBJECTION TO SAME.	0.10
2/15/2017	BN REVIEW ORDER DENYING SALUS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS PROVIDING NOTICE THAT SALUS WILL BE FILING EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
2/16/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND COUNSEL FOR SALUS RE EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL WITH DISTRICT COURT OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES ARISING FROM SALUS EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	BN REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL AND RELATED PLEADINGS.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND THE TRUSTEE RE SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION IN DISTRICT COURT TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE SALUS EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL, SUPPORTING DECLARATIONS AND PROPOSED ORDER.	0.60
2/17/2017	BN REVIEW SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
	BN WORK ON OPPOSITION TO SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	1.10
	BN CONFERENCE CALL WITH J. KOMORSKY, J. BREGMAN, AND TRUSTEE RE SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL AND OPPOSITION THERETO.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 218

		<u>Hours</u>
2/17/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SALUS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL AND OPPOSITION THERE.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO N. SANDERS RE EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
2/19/2017	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE PROPOSED REDLINE REVISIONS TO TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO SALUS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL FILED WITH THE DISTRICT COURT.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PENDING ISSUES WITH GUBNER BRUTZUS REPRESENTATION OF VENDOR PLAINTIFFS.	0.10
2/21/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.40
	BN REVIEW AND PROVIDE REVISIONS AND COMMENTS TO OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	2.00
	BN SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL AND GOING FORWARD STRATEGY.	0.30
2/22/2017	BN REVIEW AND ADD REVISIONS AND COMMENTS TO OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	1.00
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS AND COMMENTS TO OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.70
	BN REVIEW TRUSTEE'S PROPOSED REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO ND COMMENTS TO OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL.	0.10
2/23/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE, N. SANDERS, AND J. KOMORSKY RE REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 219

		<u>Hours</u>
2/23/2017	BN REVISE, FINALIZE, AND EXECUTE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER.	0.10
	BN REVIEW ORDER STAYING BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER PENDING APPEAL; ANALYZE ISSUES RELATED THERETO.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE FINAL REDLINE REVISIONS TO OPPOSITION TO SALUS EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURT.	0.50
2/24/2017	BN REVIEW EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE, J. KOMORSKY, AND CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT RE ENTRY OF ORDER ON EMERGENCY MOTION TO STAY BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND MOTION TO RECONSIDER SAME.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW INFORMATION FROM DISTRICT COURT RE PROCESS FOR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.	0.10
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
	NDS BEGIN REVIEW OF INITIAL DRAFT MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.20
2/26/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE STAY ORDER.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW/PREPARE REDLINE COMMENTS TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY OF BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT ORDER.	0.50
2/27/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY ENTERED PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR OPPOSITION TO MOTION, STRATEGY ISSUES.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE TRUSTEE'S COMMENTS TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW/PROVIDE MINOR REDLINE COMMENTS TO FINAL MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY ENTERED PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE FINAL VERSION OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION RE DISTRICT COURT ORDER GRANTING STAY ENTERED PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR OPPOSITION TO MOTION.	0.10
2/28/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE FINAL PLEADINGS FOR FILING WITH DISTRICT COURT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 220

		<u>Hours</u>
2/28/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. BREGMAN RE PROPOSED ORDER RE EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR OST RE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR OST AND RELATED MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY RE EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR OST AND RELATED MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION.	0.20
3/2/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF APPEAL AND RELATED BRIEFING.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STAY APPELLATE BRIEFING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.20
	BN SECOND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STAY APPELLATE BRIEFING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STAY APPELLATE BRIEFING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.20
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STAY APPELLATE BRIEFING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. BREGMAN RE EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STAY APPELLATE BRIEFING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER ORDER AND RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE EX PARTE MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND REDLINE MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES.	0.10
3/3/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. KOMORSKY RE MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL.	0.10
3/6/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE MINUTE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE GUILFORD.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE MINUTE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE GUILFORD.	0.30

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 221

		<u>Hours</u>
3/6/2017	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE MINUTE ORDER ISSUED BY JUDGE GUILFORD.	0.10
3/7/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREPARATION FOR 3/13 STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.30
3/8/2017	NDS REVIEW SALUS OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF STAY ORDER.	0.10
	NDS COMPILE PLEADINGS RE MATTERS PENDING BEFORE DISTRICT COURT AND TO BE ADDRESSED AT 3/13 STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.30
3/9/2017	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREPARATION FOR MARCH 13 CASE STATUS CONFERENCE, ISSUES RE VENDOR CLAIMS AGAINST THE ESTATE.	0.80
3/12/2017	NDS REVIEW PENDING PLEADINGS AND PREPARE OUTLINE FOR 3/13 APPEAL STATUS CONFERENCE IN DISTRICT COURT.	0.80
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. BREGMAN RE PREPARATION FOR 3/13 STATUS CONFERENCE IN DISTRICT COURT.	0.30
3/13/2017	NDS ATTEND APPEAL STATUS CONFERENCE IN DISTRICT COURT AND POST CONFERENCE MEETINGS WITH TRUSTEE, J. DAVIS, J. BREGMAN AND J. KOMORSKY.	3.60
3/16/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE EMPLOYMENT ISSUES.	0.20
3/22/2017	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE DISTRICT COURT'S MINUTE ORDER DENYING SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TRUSTEE RE DISTRICT COURT'S MINUTE ORDER DENYING SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.10
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE DISTRICT COURT'S MINUTE ORDER DENYING SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE DISTRICT COURT'S MINUTE ORDER DENYING SALUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL.	0.10
3/23/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY AFTER DENIAL OF MOTION FOR LEAVE PENDING APPEAL.	0.20
3/24/2017	NDS REVIEW MINUTES OF STATUS CONFERENCE FILED BY COURT ON 3/13 BUT ENTERED ON 3/24/17.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 222

		<u>Hours</u>
3/24/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY, J. BREGMAN AND TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE MINUTES OF STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
	NDS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MINUTES OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE JUDGE GUILFORD.	0.10
4/20/2017	NDS REVIEW MINUTE ORDER FROM DISTRICT COURT RE CLOSURE OF APPEAL.	0.10
	SUBTOTAL:	[42.10 24210.00]
	<u>Sam Hedaya Corporation</u>	
3/2/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
4/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAM HEYDA CORP., AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.30
	SUBTOTAL:	[0.40 172.50]
	<u>Sander Sale Enterprises</u>	
2/1/2017	BN REVIEW DON FIFES ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/22/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF PREFERENCE AND PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES, AND FACTS OF CASE.	0.50
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO SANDER'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING SANDER'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF SANDER'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.70
	CAM PREPARE DEMAND LETTER TO SANDER SALES FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES.	0.60
2/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORPORATE INFORMATION DOCUMENTS RE SERVING DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT UPON SANDER SALES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 223

		<u>Hours</u>
2/23/2017	CAM FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO SANDER (IN THREE DIFFERENT FORMS) RE SERVING SAME UPON PRESIDENT, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS.	0.40
3/3/2017	BN REVIEW RESPONSE TO DEMAND LETTER AND D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SANDER'S COUNSEL RE RESPONSE OF SAME TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE INVESTIGATING CONTENTIONS OF SANDER SALES RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE VERIFYING INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COUNSEL FOR SANDER SALES RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT IN LIGHT OF INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM SANDER'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONSE TO DEMAND LETTER RECEIVED FROM SANDER SALES.	0.10
3/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM CORRESPONDENCE FROM SANDER SALES RESPONDING TO TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO SANDER SALE'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE RESPONDING TO CLAIMS OF COMPLETE PREFERENCE DEFENSE BEING MADE BY SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR SANDER RE DEFENSE ALLEGATIONS BEING MADE BY SAME.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[4.60 2055.00]
<u>Saturday Knight</u>		
3/1/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SATURDAY KNIGHT.	0.20
3/14/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM SATURDAY KNIGHT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
3/16/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SATURDAY KNIGHT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT OFFER AND COUNTEROFFER.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 224

	<u>Hours</u>
3/16/2017 BN REVIEW COUNTEROFFER.	0.10
3/27/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR SATURDAY KNIGHT RE SETTLEMENT.	0.10
4/26/2017 CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT OFFER OF SATURDAY KNIGHT AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.20

SUBTOTAL:	[1.00	442.50]
-----------	---	------	---------

Sharadha Terry Products

2/10/2017 BN REVIEW D. FIFE'S ANALYSIS.	0.10
2/28/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING SHARADHA'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES, AND PREPARE ANALYSES RE SAME.	0.80
CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF SHARADHA'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.50
CAM RESEARCH RE CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, ADDRESS, IDENTITY OF OFFICERS, ETC., FOR SHARADHA RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME AND SERVICE OF PREFERENCE AVOIDANCE COMPLAINT.	0.40
CAM DRAFT DEMAND LETTER TO SHARADHA SEEKING RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME, AND ANALYSING APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.70
3/1/2017 CAM RESEARCH RE BUSINESS INFORMATION FOR SHADHARA RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.20
CAM PREPARE TRUSTEE'S DEMAND LETTER TO SHADHARA TERRY PRODUCTS FOR RETURN OF NET PREFERENCE PAYMENTS RECEIVED BY SAME AND SETTING FORTH ANALYSIS OF APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.60

SUBTOTAL:	[3.30	1477.50]
-----------	---	------	----------

Splash Home

2/10/2017 CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND SPLASH HOME'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING SPLASH'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES.	0.60
--	------

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 225

		<u>Hours</u>
2/10/2017	CAM PREPARE ANALYSIS OF SPLASH'S NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY, AND ANALYSES OF APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.70
	CAM RESEARCH RE SPLASH HOME'S HEADQUARTERS, IDENTITY OF OFFICERS, REGISTERED AGENT AND OTHER CORPORATE INFORMATION RE SERVING PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER ON SAME.	0.30
2/13/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/2/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF DEMAND LETTER TO SPRING VALLEY FLORAL.	0.10
3/5/2017	CAM RESEARCH BUSINESS INFORMATION FOR SPLASH HOME RE SENDING PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SPLASH HOME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME, AND PREPARE ANALYSIS RE SAME.	0.60
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SPLASH HOME AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
3/6/2017	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/10/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO BE SENT TO SPLASH HOME.	0.10
3/13/2017	CAM FINALIZE TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO SPLASH HOME.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[3.40 1515.00]

Spring Valley Floral

2/10/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
3/1/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SPRING VALLEY FLORAL AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SPRING VALLEY FLORAL AND APPLICABILITY OF NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 226

		<u>Hours</u>
3/2/2017	BN REVIEW AND UPDATE C. MINIER ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO SPRING VALLEY FLORAL.	0.50
3/9/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DOLLY IVES, OWNER OF SPRING VALLEY FLORAL, RE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER, BASIS FOR SAME, CASE FACTS AND DISCUSSING SAME WITH SPRING VALLEY'S ATTORNEY.	0.50
3/13/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE SAME RESPONDING TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. GORDON, SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE SAME PREPARING RESPONSE TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER.	0.10
3/20/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE DEMAND LETTER, POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT AND ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL RE SAME.	0.20
3/22/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR SPRING VALLEY FLORAL RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY FACTS AND ISSUES, AND RE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.60
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH S. GORDON, COUNSEL FOR SPRING VALLEY, RE CASE FACTS AND ISSUES.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ANALYSES IN PREPARATION FOR CONFERENCE CALL WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS AND SETTLEMENT.	0.30
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM SAME RE ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH SPRING VALLEY.	0.20
3/30/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT.	0.30
4/5/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE (PRELIMINARILY) CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND SETTLEMENT.	0.10
4/12/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RESPONDING TO TRUSTEE'S PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.60
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT AND ARRANGING CONFERENCE CALL TO FURTHER DISCUSS SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 227

		<u>Hours</u>
4/13/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE CASE FACTS, APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES IN LIGHT OF SAME AND POSSIBLE SETTLEMENT.	0.60
	CAM ANALYZE AND COMPARE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY SPRING VALLEY WITH THE DEBTOR'S RECORDS RE ASSESSING PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SPRING VALLEY AND DISCUSSING SETTLEMENT WITH ITS COUNSEL.	0.60
	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH COUNSEL FOR SPRING VALLEY RE SETTLEMENT OFFER BEING MADE BY SAME.	0.30
4/24/2017	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT ISSUES WITH SPRING VALLEY.	0.10
4/25/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH SPRING VALLEY'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT OFFER AND TRUSTEE'S RESPONSE TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE EVALUATING SETTLEMENT OFFER OF SPRING VALLEY.	0.30
4/26/2017	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT OFFER OF SPRING VALLEY AND RESPONDING TO SAME.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[7.60 3397.50]
<u>Taxes</u>		
10/3/2016	BN FOLLOW UP WITH D. FIFE RE PAYROLL TAX INFORMATION REQUEST.	0.10
10/4/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO M. SPEER OF ADP RE STATE TAX RETURNS.	0.10
10/6/2016	BN ANALYZE TAX CLAIMS THAT SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN OR AGREED TO AND PAID.	0.20
10/7/2016	BN REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION TO WITHDRAW DUPLICATE CLAIM.	0.30
	BN REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION TO ALLOW PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
10/13/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND D. FIFE RE 2015 PRE CONVERSION RETURNS PREPARED BY BDO SEIDMAN.	0.10
10/18/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE STATUS OF PRODUCTION OF DE 9S AND OTHER STATE RETURNS.	0.20
	BN REVIEW QUARTER REPORTS FOR 1Q AND 3Q 2015.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 228

		<u>Hours</u>
10/19/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE TAX RETURN QUARTERLY REPORTS.	0.30
10/20/2016	BN ANALYZE VARIOUS PAYROLL TAX RETURNS PROVIDED BY ADP.	0.20
10/21/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO M. SPEER RE MISSING TAX DOCUMENTS.	0.10
10/24/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE PAYROLL TAX DOCUMENTS.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE RECEIVING PAYROLL TAX DOCUMENTS.	0.20
10/25/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE TAX RETURNS FROM ADP.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE TAX RETURNS FROM ADP.	0.20
10/27/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER RE PAYROLL TAX RETURNS FROM ADP.	0.20
11/2/2016	BN FOLLOW UP WITH M. SPEER RE STATUS OF PRODUCTION OF PAYROLL TAX DOCUMENTS.	0.10
11/7/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE AND M. SPEER RE QUARTERLY REPORTS AND EMPLOYEE WAGE DETAIL.	0.40
	BN REVIEW QUARTERLY REPORTS AND EMPLOYEE WAGE DETAIL.	0.10
11/8/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. SPEER AND D. FIFE RE EMPLOYEE DETAILS BY STATE.	0.50
	BN REVIEW EMPLOYEE DETAILS BY STATE FROM ADP.	0.40
12/2/2016	NDS REVIEW INFORMATION FROM LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE ISSUES WITH 1/31/2016 TAX RETURNS.	0.10
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE WITHDRAWALS OF TAXING AUTHORITY CLAIMS.	0.20
12/16/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE WITHHOLDING TAX ACCOUNT.	0.10
12/21/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE PROOF OF CLAIM FILED BY ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE RE FIRST QUARTER 2016 STATE UNEMPLOYMENT TAX.	0.10
1/4/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE TAX REFUND FROM STATE OF LOUISIANA.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[5.10 1922.50]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 229

		<u>Hours</u>
	<u>Texas Tax Claims</u>	
10/5/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER, COUNSEL FOR TEXAS TAXING AUTHORITIES, RE TRUSTEE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF CLAIMS FILED, PROPOSED RESOLUTION OF SAME, STIPULATIONS ALLOWING CLAIMS AND AUTHORIZING TRUSTEE TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM TEXAS TAX CLAIM RESERVE.	0.40
10/6/2016	NDS DRAFT PROPOSED STIPULATION WITH CITY OF BEAUMONT RE WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIM, ALLOWANCE OF FINAL CLAIM, AND PAYMENT OF SAME FROM RESERVE FUND.	0.60
	NDS DRAFT PROPOSED STIPULATION WITH CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD RE ALLOWANCE OF FINAL CLAIM, AND PAYMENT OF SAME FROM RESERVE FUND.	0.60
10/11/2016	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM B. WELLER RE INTEREST CLAIMS OF TAXING AUTHORITIES.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMAIL FROM COUNSEL FOR TEXAS TAXING AUTHORITIES RE INTEREST COMPONENT OF CLAIMS GIVEN OVERSECURED STATUS.	0.10
10/12/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CLAIMANTS DEMAND FOR INTEREST ON OVERSECURED CLAIMS.	0.10
10/17/2016	NDS FOLLOW UP EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE STATUS OF CLAIMANT PREPARATION OF INTEREST SCHEDULES RE CLAIMS.	0.10
10/18/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE STATUS OF TAXING AUTHORITIES PROVISION OF CALCULATIONS RE CLAIMED POST PETITION INTEREST, CALCULATION OF PER DIEM, COMPARISON OF CLAIM CALCULATIONS WITH DEBTOR'S WATERFALL ANALYSIS.	0.30
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE INTEREST COMPONENT OF CLAIMS.	0.20
10/19/2016	NDS RESEARCH RE TEXAS TAX CODE PROVISIONS RE INTEREST ON SECURED PROPERTY TAX CLAIMS, CALCULATION/PRO RATA, ETC.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION RE PAYMENT OF CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS SECURED CLAIM.	0.20
	NDS REVISE TEMPLATE STIPULATION RE CLAIMS PAYMENT FOR REVIEW BY CLAIMANTS' COUNSEL.	0.20
10/20/2016	NDS PREPARE STIPULATION WITH CARROLLTON RE ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS PREPARE STIPULATION WITH EL PASO RE ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 230

		<u>Hours</u>
10/20/2016	NDS PREPARE STIPULATION WITH BEXAR RE ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS PREPARE STIPULATION WITH DALLAS COUNTY RE ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE REVISED LANGUAGE OF STIPULATIONS RE ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF CLAIMS.	0.10
	BN WORK ON STIPULATION RE CLAIM OF DALLAS COUNTY.	0.20
10/27/2016	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE RESOLUTION OF CLAIM OF HIDALGO COUNTY.	0.60
	NDS REVISE STIPULATION RE RESOLUTION OF DALLAS COUNTY CLAIM.	0.10
10/28/2016	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF IRVING SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF JUDSON SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF MC ALLEN SECURED CLAIM.	0.60
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF MC LENNAN SECURED CLAIM.	0.60
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF TARRANT SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF FORT BEND SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF HARRIS COUNTY SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS DRAFT STIPULATION RE ALLOWANCE OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY SECURED CLAIM.	0.50
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE DRAFT STIPULATIONS RE ALLOWANCE OF TEXAS TAXING AUTHORITY CLAIMS AND PAYMENT OF SAME FROM RESERVE FUND ESTABLISHED FOR SAME PER GOB SALE ORDER.	0.30
11/4/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE REVISIONS TO MCLENNAN, TARRANT, HIDALGO, DALLAS AND EL PASO STIPULATIONS, FULLY EXECUTED STIPULATIONS, PREPARATION OF ORDERS AND UPLOADING OF STIPULATIONS AND ORDERS.	0.30
	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE ORDERS RE 16 TEXAS TAX STIPULATIONS.	0.30
	NDS COORDINATE FILING OF TEXAS TAX STIPULATIONS AND UPLOADING OF PROPOSED ORDERS.	0.30
11/7/2016	NDS REVIEW ISSUES WITH STIPULATIONS RE BEXAR AND CARROLLTON COUNTIES, REVISE STIPULATIONS ACCORDINGLY.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 231

		<u>Hours</u>
11/7/2016	NDS CORRECT CYPRESS FAIRBANKS STIPULATION RE EPIQ V CLAIMS REGISTER NUMBERS.	0.10
11/8/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER, COUNSEL FOR TAXING AUTHORITIES, RE ENTRY OF FIRST ORDER ON CLAIM STIPULATIONS, COORDINATION OF PREPARATION OF CHECKS AND DELIVERY OF SAME.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE COORDINATION OF PREPARATION OF CHECKS AS ORDERS ARE ENTERED AND DELIVERY OF SAME.	0.10
11/11/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDERS RE MC LENNAN, MONTGOMERY AND TARRANT CLAIM STIPULATIONS.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF ENTERED ORDERS ON CLAIM STIPULATIONS, COORDINATION OF PAYMENT GIVEN END OF MONTH INTEREST CALCULATION.	0.10
11/15/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDERS RE CARROLLTON AND COPPELL CLAIM STIPULATIONS, FORWARD TO TRUSTEE WITH UPDATED LISTING OF ENTERED ORDERS AND PAYMENTS READY TO BE MADE.	0.10
11/16/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDERS RE CYPRESS FAIRBANKS, DALLAS COUNTY, EL PASO AND FORT BEND COUNTY CLAIMS STIPULATIONS, AND UPDATED ANALYSIS OF PENDING ORDERS RE SIMILAR STIPULATIONS.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAILS FROM B. WELLER RE STATUS OF ENTRY OF CLAIM ORDERS, TIMING OF TRUSTEE DISTRIBUTION OF CHECKS.	0.20
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO TRUSTEE RE REVISED CHECK DELIVERY INSTRUCTIONS FROM B. WELLER.	0.10
11/17/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED CLAIM ORDERS RE HARRIS COUNTY, HIDALGO COUNTY, IRVING ISD AND MC ALLEN.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF ENTRY OF CLAIM ORDERS, OUTSTANDING ORDER OF JUDSON ISD, PREPARATION OF CHECKS FOR CLAIMS WHERE ORDERS ENTERED.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE TRUSTEE PROCESSING OF CHECKS FOR CLAIMS WHERE ORDERS ENTERED (ALL CLAIMS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF JUDSON ISD).	0.10
11/22/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER ALLOWING JUDSON ISD CLAIM AND COORDINATE PREPARATION OF PAYMENT CHECK WITH TRUSTEE.	0.10
11/23/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE TRANSMITTAL OF REMAINING CLAIM PAYMENT TO COUNSEL FOR JUDSON ISD.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 232

		<u>Hours</u>
11/23/2016	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO COUNSEL FOR JUDSON ISD RE TRUSTEE TRANSMITTAL OF PAYMENT ON CLAIM, REQUEST FOR CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF PAYMENT ON ALL ALLOWED TEXAS TAXING AUTHORITY AD VALOREM CLAIMS.	0.10
11/25/2016	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM B. WELLER RE JUDSON ISD CLAIM PAYMENT CHECK.	0.10
11/30/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF ALL CLAIM PAYMENT CHECKS, REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS TO CLEAN UP CLAIMS DOCKET.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL RECEIPT OF ALL CLAIM PAYMENT CHECKS, DISPOSITION OF BALANCE OF FUNDS REMAINING IN ACCOUNT PER TERMS OF GOB SALE ORDER.	0.20
12/5/2016	NDS REVIEW UPDATED NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT FROM HARRIS ISD FOR 2016 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES AND COMPARE WITH DEBTOR'S DATA RE ABANDONMENT OF LOCATION IN 2015.	0.10
12/6/2016	NDS REVIEW UPDATED INVOICE FROM HARRIS COUNTY AND COMPARE WITH INVOICES ATTACHED TO ALLOWED PROOF OF CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO B. WELLER RE 2016 INVOICE FROM HARRIS COUNTY, REQUEST THAT ANNA'S BE REMOVED FROM TAX ROLLS GIVEN 2015 SURRENDER OF TEXAS PREMISES.	0.10
12/7/2016	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE HARRIS COUNTY 2016 TAX STATEMENT, DISPUTED POTENTIAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM AGAINST RESERVE FUNDS.	0.10
12/29/2016	BN	0.20
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL AND ATTACHMENTS FROM B. WELLER RE HARRIS COUNTY TAX ROLL, NEWLY DISCOVERED CLAIM OF CAMERON COUNTY NOT INCLUDED IN CARVE OUT FOR SUCH CLAIMS IN GOB SALE ORDER.	0.20
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE EMAIL FROM B. WELLER RE NEWLY DISCOVERED CLAIM OF CAMERON COUNTY NOT INCLUDED IN CARVE OUT FOR SUCH CLAIMS IN GOB SALE ORDER, SECURED CLAIM FILED BY CAMERON, IMPACT OF SAME ON CASE ADMINISTRATION.	0.20
1/30/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE REMINDER STATEMENT RECEIVED FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY AFTER PAYMENT OF CLAIM, CLAIM OF WACO, TEXAS INCLUDED IN STATEMENT, STATUS OF WACO AS PARTICIPANT IN TEXAS TAX CLAIM RESERVE FUND.	0.20
2/1/2017	BN REVISE LETTER TO MCLENNAN COUNTY RE TAX NOTICE.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 233

	<u>Hours</u>
2/2/2017 BN FINALIZE LETTER TO MCLENNAN COUNTY TAX OFFICE.	0.10
2/15/2017 NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM B. WELLER RE MCLENNAN CONTINUED BILLING RE 2016 TAXES.	0.10
3/14/2017 NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF DELINQUENT TAXES FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY RELATING TO 2016 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES AND COORDINATE FOLLOW UP LETTER IN AN EFFORT TO REMOVE DEBTOR FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.10
NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF DELINQUENT TAXES FROM DALLAS COUNTY RELATING TO 2016 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES.	0.10
NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO B. WELLER RE DELINQUENCY NOTICE FROM DALLAS COUNTY, REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION TO COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH COUNTY TO HAVE ANNA'S REMOVED FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.10
3/15/2017 BN REVIEW DENTON COUNTY TAX STATEMENT.	0.10
BN DRAFT LETTER TO MCLENNAN COUNTY RE ASSESSMENT OF CERTAIN TAXES AND REMOVAL FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.30
BN REVIEW NOTICE OF DELINQUENT TAXES FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY.	0.20
BN REVIEW DELINQUENT TAX STATEMENT FROM DALLAS COUNTY.	0.20
BN DRAFT LETTER TO DALLAS COUNTY RE DELINQUENT TAX STATEMENT.	0.20
BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH FROM B. WELLER RE REMOVAL OF DEBTOR FROM MCLENNAN COUNTY RENT ROLLS.	0.20
NDS REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO DALLAS COUNTY REQUEST REMOVAL OF DEBTOR FROM TAX ROLLS/PROVISION OF FORMS TO ACCOMPLISH REMOVAL FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.10
3/16/2017 BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT RE REMOVAL OF TAX CLAIM AND DEBTOR FROM TAX ROLLS.	0.40
BN DRAFT FORMAL REQUEST FOR REMOVAL OF TAX CLAIM AND DEBTOR FROM TAX ROLLS OF HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT.	0.80
3/17/2017 NDS REVIEW NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE ISSUED BY DALLAS COUNTY.	0.10
BN REVIEW NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE FROM DALLAS COUNTY RE ALLEGED TAX DEBT.	0.10
3/20/2017 NDS REVIEW NOTICES OF WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS BY HARRIS COUNTY, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, FORT BEND AND CYPRESS FAIRBANKS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 234

		<u>Hours</u>
3/20/2017	NDS COORDINATE REVIEW OF DOCKET TO CONFIRM WITHDRAWALS OF CLAIMS FILED TO DATE BY COUNSEL FOR TEXAS TAX CLAIMANTS AND PREPARATION OF LIST OF REMAINING WITHDRAWALS NEEDED PURSUANT TO TERMS OF COURT APPROVED SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS.	0.10
	BN REVIEW VARIOUS NOTICES OF WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS AND ANALYZE REMAINING CLAIMS THAT SHOULD BE WITHDRAWN.	0.30
3/22/2017	BN REVIEW AND FINALIZE PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX CORRECTION REQUEST/MOTION RE HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT.	0.20
3/24/2017	BN FOLLOW UP ON NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE SENT BY DALLAS COUNTY.	0.10
	BN DRAFT NOTICE OF STAY AND LETTER RE CLOSURE OF STORES TO ATTORNEY FOR DALLAS COUNTY RE DELINQUENT TAXES.	0.20
3/27/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE CLAIM WITHDRAWALS FILED TO DATE, STATUS OF REMAINING WITHDRAWALS TO BE FILED.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE STATUS OF WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS.	0.20
3/28/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER AND STAFF RE WITHDRAWALS OF CLAIM FOR CITY OF MCALLEN, HIDALGO COUNTY, MCLENNAN COUNTY, TARRANT COUNTY.	0.20
3/29/2017	NDS REVIEW ADDITIONAL NOTICES OF WITHDRAWAL OF CLAIMS FILED BY B. WELLER OFFICE AND COMPARE WITH LIST OF OUTSTANDING CLAIMS TO BE WITHDRAWN PER SETTLEMENT STIPULATIONS.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO B. WELLER CONFIRMING THAT BEAUMONT CLAIM REMAINS TO BE WITHDRAWN.	0.10
3/31/2017	NDS REVIEW DEMAND FOR PAYMENT FROM CYPRESS FAIRBANKS RE 2016 CLAIMED TAXES DUE, COMPARE WITH RECENT PAYMENT STIPULATION.	0.10
4/3/2017	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM B. WELLER RE FILING OF WITHDRAWAL OF BEAUMONT CLAIM.	0.10
	NDS COMPARE NEW CLAIM NOTICE FROM CYPRESS FAIRBANKS AND COORDINATE PREPARATION OF LETTER REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL FROM RENT ROLLS.	0.10
4/4/2017	NDS REVIEW GOB SALE ORDER AND FINAL INFORMATION RE SATISFIED TEXAS PROPERTY TAX CLAIMS.	0.20
	NDS PREPARE EMAIL UPDATE TO TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF FINAL RESOLUTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF TEXAS PROPERTY TAX CLAIMS, ANALYSIS OF GOB SALE ORDER PROVISIONS RE RESERVE FUNDS.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 235

		<u>Hours</u>
4/13/2017	NDS REVIEW COLLECTION NOTICE FROM PASADENA, TEXAS AND COORDINATE RESPONSE/NOTICE OF STAY.	0.10
	BN REVIEW DELINQUENT TAX STATEMENT FROM CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD TAX ASSESSOR.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD TAX ASSESSOR RE DELINQUENT TAX STATEMENT.	0.20
4/14/2017	BN REVIEW DELINQUENT NOTICE FROM PASADENA ISD.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO PASADENA ISD RE DELINQUENT NOTICE.	0.20
4/26/2017	BN FOLLOW UP ON REQUEST FOR PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX CORRECTION WITH HARRIS COUNTY.	0.20
	BN REVIEW 2016 TAX STATEMENT FROM TARRANT COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR.	0.10
	BN DRAFT LETTER TO TARRANT COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR RE 2016 TAX STATEMENT.	0.30
4/27/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE REMOVAL OF DEBTOR FROM TARRANT COUNTY RENT ROLLS.	0.10
4/28/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH B. WELLER RE REMOVAL OF DEBTOR FROM TARRANT COUNTY TAX ROLLS.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[22.60 12770.00]
<u>Triangle Home Fashions</u>		
2/16/2017	BN REVIEW D. FIFE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL NEW VALUE DEFENSE.	1.00
2/21/2017	CAM REVIEW / ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, AND TRIANGLE HOME'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE ANALYZING NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF SAME AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSES FOR THREE TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS (TRIANGLE HOME, TRIANGLE DI AND TRIANGLE DROP SHIP).	1.00
3/8/2017	BN REVIEW REVISED D. FIFE ANALYSES FOR THREE TRIANGLE HOME FASHIONS ENTITIES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 236

		<u>Hours</u>
3/8/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE DIVIDING DEBTOR'S PREFERENCE PERIOD AND PRE-PREFERENCE PERIOD TRANSACTIONS WITH TRIANGLE HOME INTO THREE SEPARATE CATEGORIES FOR PURPOSES OF LIABILITY ANALYSES.	0.70
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. FIFE RE SAME REVISING TRIANGLE HOME PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND REASONS FOR SAME.	0.30
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE REVISED PREFERENCE LIABILITY ANALYSES PREPARED BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF TRIANGLE HOMES AND APPLICABILITY OF PREFERENCE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.70
4/3/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE REVISED ANALYSES AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE LIABILITY ON THREE DIFFERENT TYPES OF TRANSACTIONS WITH TRIANGLE HOME, AND ANALYZING APPLICABILITY OF DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.40
SUBTOTAL:		[4.50 1927.50]
<u>Vantage Crown Adversary</u>		
10/12/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE PREPARATION OF TRUSTEE'S FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	1.00
10/13/2016	NDS REVIEW RULE 26 DISCLOSURES.	0.10
	BN ANALYZE ORDINARY COURSE DEFENSE.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND DOCUMENTS RE DRAFTING TRUSTEE'S FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND REVISED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE REQUESTED REVISIONS TO FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES AND REASONS FOR SAME; ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 237

		<u>Hours</u>
10/13/2016	CAM REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO D. FIFE RE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS PREPARED BY SAME AND OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE DEFENDANT'S LIKELY ACTUAL LIABILITY IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME, AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISIONS TO INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISED INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE FINAL VERSION OF INITIAL DISCLOSURES.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION IN SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT TRUSTEE'S FRCP RULE 26 INITIAL DISCLOSURES IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.40
10/26/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE FORMULATING SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL TO DEFENDANT.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL RE PREFERENCE ACTION, AND DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL UNSECURED CLAIMS, AND FACTS JUSTIFYING SAME.	0.60
10/27/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE MANNER IN WHICH DEFENDANT IS CALCULATING ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 238

		<u>Hours</u>
10/27/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, AND DISPUTE REGARDING CALCULATION OF DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE AND HER ACCOUNTANT RE RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT TO SETTLEMENT OFFER AND VERIFYING INFORMATION PROVIDED BY DEFENDANT RE ALLEGED AMOUNT OF ITS ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM BEING ALLEGED BY DEFENDANT AND ATTEMPTING TO VERIFY SAME THROUGH DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.20
10/28/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE DISCREPANCY IN AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AS CALCULATED BY DEBTOR AND DEFENDANT, AND RESOLVING SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS RE ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE DISCREPANCY IN AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AS CALCULATED BY DEBTOR AND DEFENDANT.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PARTIES' EFFORTS TO RESOLVE DISCREPANCY IN AMOUNT OF DEFENDANT'S VALID ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AS CALCULATED BY DEBTOR AND DEFENDANT, AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT, J. FIFE, RE RESOLVING DISPUTE REGARDING CORRECT AMOUNT OF VANTAGE CROWN'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND DIFFERING MANNER IN WHICH PARTIES ARE CALCULATING SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMAIL FROM TRUSTEE RE OBTAINING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NEGOTIATIONS OVER AMOUNT OF DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DISCREPANCY IN PARTIES' DATA REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND OBTAINING CERTAIN DOCUMENTS ALLEGED TO BE SUPPORTING CLAIM RE NEGOTIATING SETTLEMENT OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
10/31/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME PRODUCING DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
11/1/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SAME PROVIDING INFORMATION AND DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 239

		<u>Hours</u>
11/1/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AIMED AT RESOLVING PREFERENCE ACTION AND DISPUTE RE AMOUNT OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.10
11/2/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DOCUMENTS PURPORTING TO VALIDATE DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
11/10/2016	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT.	0.20
11/13/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT TO DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND TERMS RE SAME.	0.10
11/16/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM J. SWISHER RE RECONCILING DEBTOR'S DATA WITH INFORMATION ON DEFENDANT'S DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO J. SWISHER RE RECONCILING DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM DATA WITH INFORMATION OF DEBTOR RE SAME.	0.20
11/17/2016	CAM TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH J. SWISHER RE ISSUES RE CALCULATING CORRECT AMOUNT OF DEFENDANT'S 503(B)(9) ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, AND DOCUMENTS RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE DETERMINING CORRECT AMOUNT OF DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM RE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS RE SAME.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS FROM TRUSTEE AND N. SANDERS RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT RE ITS ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM, FACTS AND RECENTLY LEARNED INFORMATION RE SAME, AND VIEWS OF J. SWISHER RE VALIDITY OF CLAIM.	0.40
	CAM DRAFT MULTIPLE EMAILS TO TRUSTEE RE NEGOTIATING SETTLEMENT TERMS WITH DEFENDANT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE TRUSTEE'S AGREEMENT TO SETTLEMENT TERMS.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 240

		<u>Hours</u>
11/17/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE DRAFTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	BN ANALYZE DEFENDANT'S 503B9 CLAIM AND COMPARE WITH DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.40
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND C. MINIER RE ISSUES RE RESOLUTION OF ADVERSARY.	0.10
11/18/2016	BN ANALYZE DEBTOR'S DATABASE FOR DELIVERY DATES RE NEW VALUE AND 503B9 CLAIM.	0.30
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE DELIVERY DATES IN DEBTOR'S RECORDS.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM D. FIFE RE INTERPRETATION OF DEBTOR'S DATA RE TRANSACTIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS AND ISSUES RE DEBTOR'S TRANSACTIONS WITH DEFENDANT RE PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND VALIDITY (OR INVALIDITY) OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE INFORMATION FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO D. FIFE RE ISSUES RE INTERPRETATION OF DEBTOR'S TRANSACTION DATA RE NEGOTIATING SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING INFORMATION NEEDED FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PREPARATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND INFORMATION NEEDED FOR SAME.	0.10
11/21/2016	CAM DRAFT/ REVIEW / REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE INFORMATION FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND REVISIONS REQUESTED TO SAME BY TRUSTEE.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE COMMENTS OF SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 241

		<u>Hours</u>
11/23/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.40
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE MULTIPLE EMAILS AND ATTACHMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND REVISIONS TO SAME.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
11/28/2016	CAM DRAFT REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.90
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DOCUMENTS RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE NUMEROUS REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY SAME.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE REVISIONS REQUESTED TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, ISSUES RE SAME AND REDLINED DRAFT OF AGREEMENT INCORPORATING CERTAIN REVISIONS.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW ISSUES RE TERMS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
11/29/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE HER RESPONSE TO CHANGES TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE RESPONDING TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL'S REQUESTS FOR REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT REDLINED FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PER INSTRUCTION OF TRUSTEE.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT THAT TRUSTEE WILL, AND WILL NOT, AGREE TO AND REASONS FOR SAME.	0.50
11/30/2016	CAM PREPARE / FINALIZE CLEAN VERSION OF REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.30
	CAM PREPARE DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT THAT TRUSTEE WILL, AND WILL NOT, AGREE TO AND REASONS FOR SAME, AND REDLINED AND CLEAN VERSIONS OF REVISED AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT OF SAME.	0.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 242

		<u>Hours</u>
12/1/2016	BN REVIEW DEFENDANT'S REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND EMAIL RE SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND FURTHER REVISED REDLINED DRAFT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL AND ANALYZE ISSUES RE SAME.	0.30
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAILS WITH TRUSTEE RE FURTHER REVISIONS REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
12/16/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
12/19/2016	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY SAME.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
12/22/2016	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM E. MITNICK RE FURTHER REVISIONS REQUESTED BY SAME TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH E. MITNICK RE FURTHER REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
1/4/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, DISCOVERY CUTOFF AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
1/5/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATING TO CONTINUE DISCOVERY DEADLINES AND RELATED DATES IF SETTLEMENT EFFORTS FAIL.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ISSUES.	0.10
1/6/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE ONGOING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND VARIOUS DISCOVERY DEADLINES.	0.10
1/7/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE LATEST REQUESTS FOR REVISIONS TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 243

		<u>Hours</u>
1/12/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE CONSENT OF SAME TO LATEST VERSION OF REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE ISSUES RE LATEST VERSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL'S LATEST REQUESTS.	0.40
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE LATEST VERSION OF REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR REVIEW OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM NO CHARGE-PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE EFFORT TO GET SAME TO AGREE TO LATEST VERSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND MULTIPLE ENCLOSURES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE REDLINED AND CLEAN DRAFTS OF LATEST VERSION OF REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.20
1/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE LATEST VERSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.	0.10
1/18/2017	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND DOCUMENTATION OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
1/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL REVISION REQUESTED TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT FINAL REVISION TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE FINAL VERSION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR EXECUTION.	0.10
1/23/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM VANTAGE CROWN'S COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY DEFENDANT AND SIGNING SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE ISSUES RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY VANTAGE CROWN AND ITS COUNSEL.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND EXECUTED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RECEIVED FROM TRUSTEE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 244

		<u>Hours</u>
1/23/2017	CAM FINALIZE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SAME.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL, E. MITNICK, RE ISSUES RE MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PARTIES' SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT EXECUTED BY TRUSTEE AND HER COUNSEL.	0.10
1/31/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND VACATE VARIOUS DEADLINES RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL.	0.20
2/3/2017	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE EXECUTED STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE TO VACATE VARIOUS DEADLINES.	0.20
2/7/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE COURT'S ORDER VACATING DISCOVERY CUTOFF AND OTHER DEADLINES, AND CONTINUING PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND RELATED MATTERS.	0.10
3/22/2017	CAM EXCHANGE MULTIPLE EMAILS WITH DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL RE OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND UPCOMING STATUS CONFERENCE.	0.20
4/10/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM E. MITNICK RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES PENDING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND DRAFT OF STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES RECEIVED FROM E. MITNICK.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM E. MITNICK RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HAVING BEEN FILED WITH ORDER.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE TO E. MITNICK RE STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE AND RELATED DEADLINES, AND RE ANTICIPATED OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS.	0.20
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO E. MITNICK RE EXECUTED STIPULATION.	0.10
	CAM EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH E. MITNICK RE OBTAINING COURT APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE OTHER MATTERS PENDING SAME.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[23.20 0.00]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 245

		<u>Hours</u>
	<u>Vara Home Fashion</u>	
12/16/2016	BN REVIEW D. FIFE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE AND PREFERENCE ANALYSIS RECEIVED FROM D. FIFE.	0.30
1/19/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSIS RE VARA HOME AND VARA HOME DI PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF ORDINARY COURSE AND SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE DEFENSES RE SAME.	0.80
2/14/2017	BN REVIEW AND REVISE PREFERENCE ANALYSIS AND SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL.	0.20
	CAM RESEARCH RE CORPORATE / OFFICER / REGISTERED AGENT FOR VARA HOME ENTITIES RE SERVICE OF DEMAND LETTER AND POSSIBLE PREFERENCE COMPLAINT.	0.30
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE ASSESSED NET PREFERENCE LIABILITY OF VARA AND VARA DI ENTITIES AND SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO SAME.	0.10
	CAM DRAFT DETAILED CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE RE OUTCOME OF PREFERENCE LIABILITY / PREFERENCE DEFENSE ANALYSES RE VARA AND VARA DI TRANSACTIONS, AND FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES RELEVANT TO PREPARATION OF DEMAND LETTER TO VARA.	0.60
	CAM PREPARE CORRESPONDENCE AND ENCLOSURE TO TRUSTEE RE DRAFT OF PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO VARA HOME AND ISSUES RE SAME.	0.20
	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY DEBTOR AND TRUSTEE'S ACCOUNTANT RE VARA HOME AND VARA HOME DI TRANSACTIONS, AND DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO VARA'S PROOF OF CLAIM, RE PREPARATION OF ANALYSES OF VARA'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	1.00
	CAM PREPARE ANALYSES OF VARA HOME'S AND VARA HOME DI'S PREFERENCE LIABILITY AND APPLICABILITY OF SUBSEQUENT NEW VALUE AND ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS DEFENSES TO SAME.	0.90
	CAM DRAFT / REVIEW / REVISE / FINALIZE PREFERENCE DEMAND LETTER TO VARA HOME (MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF LETTER TO BE SENT TO CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, PRESIDENT AND REGISTERED AGENT).	0.70
2/17/2017	CAM REVIEW AND ANALYZE CORRESPONDENCE FROM TRUSTEE RE SENDING DEMAND LETTER TO VARA HOME AND CONTENTS OF SAME.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 246

			Hours
SUBTOTAL:			[5.30 2360.00]
<u>Vendor Motion to Compel Return of Attorney's Fees</u>			
11/11/2016	NDS	ANALYZE RECORDING OF 4/16/16 INITIAL HEARING ON MOTION FOR REPRESENTATIONS MADE RE SALUS FINANCIAL CONDITION, SCOPE OF ACCOUNTING OF FEES, ETC.	0.40
	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE AND J. KOMORSKY RE REVIEW OF RECORDING OF 4/16/16 INITIAL HEARING ON MOTION.	0.10
11/15/2016	NDS	DRAFT EMAIL TO J. SWISHER RE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS TO BE GATHERED FROM DEBTOR'S ELECTRONIC DATA.	0.10
11/22/2016	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY RE 12/13 CONTINUED HEARING, SUGGESTION RE FURTHER STIPULATION TO CONTINUE GIVEN PENDENCY OF VENDOR V LENDER ADVERSARY.	0.20
	NDS	REVIEW FILE RE PURPOSE AND SUBSTANCE OF 12/13 CONTINUED HEARING, CONSIDERATION OF SUGGESTION RE FURTHER STIPULATION TO CONTINUE GIVEN PENDENCY OF VENDOR V LENDER ADVERSARY.	0.20
	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS AND J. KOMORSKY RE REQUESTED FURTHER CONTINUANCE OF 12/13 HEARING ON MOTION GIVEN NO SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES AT ISSUE UNTIL THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING IS RESOLVED.	0.20
11/23/2016	NDS	FINALIZE STIPULATION REQUESTING CONTINUANCE OF DECEMBER 13 CONTINUED HEARING DATE ON MOTION.	0.20
	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS AND J. KOMORSKY RE DRAFT STIPULATION, AVAILABLE SELF CALENDARING DATES FOR CONTINUED HEARING.	0.20
11/28/2016	NDS	REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE COMMENTS RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PENDING HEARING ON MOTION.	0.10
	NDS	PREPARE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PENDING HEARING ON MOTION PER COMMENTS OF J. DAVIS.	0.20
	NDS	DRAFT EMAIL TO J. DAVIS AND J. KOMORSKY RE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PENDING HEARING.	0.10
	NDS	REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS APPROVING FORM OF STIPULATION.	0.10
11/29/2016	NDS	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. DAVIS RE FINAL REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 247

		<u>Hours</u>
11/29/2016	NDS REVIEW AND ANALYZE PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING FROM J. KOMORSKY.	0.20
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE ISSUES WITH J. KOMORSKY PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE REDLINE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
	NDS DRAFT EMAIL TO J. KOMORSKY RE ISSUES WITH HIS PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW AND RESPOND TO EMAIL FROM J. KOMORSKY RE ISSUES WITH HIS PROPOSED SUBSTANTIVE REVISIONS TO STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
	NDS REVIEW EMAIL AND FURTHER REVISED STIPULATION FROM J. DAVIS.	0.10
	NDS REFORMAT STIPULATION AND CIRCULATE FOR SIGNATURE.	0.10
	NDS PREPARE PROPOSED ORDER ON STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING.	0.10
12/2/2016	NDS REVIEW ENTERED ORDER CONTINUING DECEMBER 13 HEARING; CALENDAR NEAR HEARING DATE.	0.10
4/25/2017	NDS REVIEW EMAIL FROM J. DAVIS RE REQUEST THAT 5/2 STATUS CONFERENCE BE FURTHER CONTINUED.	0.10
	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. KOMORSKY AND J. BREGMAN RE REQUEST FROM J. DAVIS THAT 5/2 STATUS CONFERENCE BE FURTHER CONTINUED.	0.20
4/26/2017	NDS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH J. DAVIS AND J. KOMORSKY RE STIPULATION TO FURTHER CONTINUE MOTION STATUS CONFERENCE GIVEN PENDING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.	0.20
4/28/2017	BN REVIEW STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE ON MOTION TO COMPEL SALUS TO RETURN ATTORNEY'S FEES.	0.10

SUBTOTAL:

[3.70 2300.00]

WARN Act Adversary

10/7/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE UPCOMING DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE UPCOMING DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY.	0.20
10/10/2016	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE REQUESTING EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DEADLINE.	0.20
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO R. ROUPINIAN RE EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY DEADLINE AND RELATED DEADLINES.	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 248

		<u>Hours</u>
10/11/2016	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE T. R. ROUPINIAN RE EXTENSION OF VARIOUS DISCOVERY AND LITIGATION DEADLINES.	0.10
10/14/2016	BN FOLLOW UP WITH R. ROUPINIAN RE REQUESTS TO EXTEND VARIOUS DEADLINES.	0.10
10/18/2016	NDS REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED STIPULATION MODIFYING SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.60
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH R. ROUPINIAN RE EXTENDING DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY AND RELATED ISSUES.	0.40
	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY AND RELATED DEADLINES AND DATES.	0.40
10/19/2016	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH C. VIRELLA RE STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE STIPULATION AND ORDER MODIFYING SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.20
	BN DRAFT ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER.	0.10
11/3/2016	NDS RESEARCH RE ANNA'S DOCUMENTS IN STORAGE WHICH MAY BE RESPONSIVE TO PLAINTIFFS' OUTSTANDING DOCUMENT REQUESTS.	0.20
11/4/2016	BN REVIEW EMAILS BETWEEN R. BUNKA, J. SWISHER, AND I. GROSS RE OPPOSING WARN CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION.	0.20
	BN REVIEW EMAILS BETWEEN R. BUNKA AND I. GROSS RE PROVIDING EMPLOYEE CLASS LIST AND FILING INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL OF CLASS CERTIFICATION ORDER.	0.20
12/12/2016	NDS CONTINUE REVIEW/ANALYSIS OF DEBTOR'S DATA AND INFORMATION FROM DEBTOR'S COUNSEL RE DEFENSES TO WARN ACT CLAIMS.	0.60
1/3/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STATUS OF DISCOVERY RESPONSES AND GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY.	0.30
	BN RESEARCH RE PRIORITY OF WARN ACT CLAIMS.	0.40
1/4/2017	BN ANALYZE POSSIBLE STIPULATION OR MOTION TO STAY.	0.20
	NDS ANALYZE ISSUES RE PENDING DISCOVERY DEADLINES, STATUS OF CASE AND LIKELY LACK OF FUNDS TO SATISFY CHAPTER 11 ADMIN CLAIMS ABSENT SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION OF ADVERSARY VERSUS SALUS, APPROACH TO PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL RE SAME.	0.30
1/5/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. DELEON RE STIPULATION TO HOLD ACTION IN ABEYANCE.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 249

		<u>Hours</u>
1/10/2017	BN FOLLOW UP WITH C. DELEON RE HOLDING MATTER IN ABEYANCE.	0.10
1/12/2017	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH C. DELEON RE STIPULATION TO HOLD ACTION IN ABEYANCE.	0.10
	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO R. ROUPINIAN RE STIPULATION TO HOLD ACTION IN ABEYANCE.	0.20
	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE UPCOMING DISCOVERY DEADLINE AND POTENTIAL MOTION TO HOLD ACTION IN ABEYANCE.	0.20
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. ROUPINIAN RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ALL DEADLINES.	0.40
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH TRUSTEE RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ALL DEADLINES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ALL DEADLINES.	0.40
	NDS REVIEW R. ROUPINIAN REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RE SALUS LITIGATION IN CONNECTION WITH STIPULATION TO EXTEND PENDING DEADLINES.	0.10
1/13/2017	BN ANALYZE ISSUES RE DEFENSE OF COMPLAINT.	0.10
	BN TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH R. ROUPINIAN RE INFORMATION RELEVANT TO ADVERSARY GOING-FORWARD STRATEGY.	0.20
	BN REVISE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINES.	0.30
	NDS PREPARE CERTAIN SPECIFIC LANGUAGE FOR STIPULATION WITH PLAINTIFFS TO EXTEND PENDING DEADLINES UNTIL IT CAN BE DETERMINED WHETHER ADVERSARY SHOULD BE DEFENDED.	0.30
	NDS DRAFT SUMMARY OF SALUS ADVERSARY/CASE ADMINISTRATION PER REQUEST FROM PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL.	0.30
1/17/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH R. ROUPINIAN RE STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ALL DEADLINES AND HEARING DATES.	0.10
1/18/2017	BN EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE C. VIRELLA RE STIPULATION TO EXTEND ALL DEADLINES.	0.10
	BN DRAFT ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO EXTEND ALL DEADLINES.	0.20
	BN FINALIZE STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND ALL DEADLINES.	0.10
1/23/2017	BN REVIEW ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ALL DEADLINES.	0.10

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 250

		<u>Hours</u>
4/24/2017	BN REVIEW INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS FROM I. GROSS.	0.10
SUBTOTAL:		[8.90 3777.50]
<u>Welcome Industrial</u>		
12/2/2016	BN WORK ON PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	1.50
12/5/2016	BN REVIEW UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH D. FIFE RE UPDATED PREFERENCE ANALYSIS.	0.10
12/6/2016	BN ANALYZE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.50
1/5/2017	BN ANALYZE POTENTIAL PREFERENCE ACTION.	0.20
1/10/2017	BN EXCHANGE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH M. DAVIS RE PREFERENCE DEFENSES.	0.20
SUBTOTAL:		[2.60 910.00]
		<u>Amount</u>
For professional services rendered		1005.60 \$481,382.50
Additional Charges :		
<u>Administration</u>		
10/13/2016	PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.20
11/7/2016	PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO COOK COUNTY)	1.60
	POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO COOK COUNTY)	0.94
11/11/2016	PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO CAINE & WEBBER RE CITY OF LA OFFICE OF FINANCE)	2.60
	POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO CAINE & WEBBER RE CITY OF LA OFFICE OF FINANCE)	1.36
	PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.10
12/7/2016	PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY-KLEIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT)	0.60
	POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY-KLEIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT)	0.47

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 251

	<u>Amount</u>
12/19/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY-WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	1.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY-WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.94
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY-TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION)	0.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY-TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION)	0.47
1/18/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.10
1/26/2017 POSTAGE(CHECK TO TRUSTEE)	0.46
3/8/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.20
SUBTOTAL:	[22.44]
<u>Adversary v Board/Lenders</u>	
10/10/2016 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	232.50
10/31/2016 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	232.50
11/1/2016 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING 10/31	232.50
1/26/2017 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	7.50
2/1/2017 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES NDS 1/26	117.50
2/8/2017 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	157.50
2/23/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.10
3/15/2017 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	157.50
3/20/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.10
3/30/2017 TRAVEL TO/FROM USBC SANTA ANA	11.20
PARKING AT USBC SANTA ANA	3.00
4/14/2017 DISCOVERY DOCUMENT HOSTING	157.50
SUBTOTAL:	[1,310.40]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 252

	<u>Amount</u>
<u>American Textile Adversary</u>	
10/12/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION EXTEND RESPONSE DATE AND CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	4.40
POSTAGE(STIPULATION EXTEND RESPONSE DATE AND CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.41
12/2/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	3.60
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	0.94
1/25/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.90
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	2.20
POSTAGE(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.41
1/26/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	4.40
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	1.41
3/9/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	2.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	1.41
SUBTOTAL:	[24.68]
<u>Asset Analysis</u>	
10/3/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	2.50
11/29/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY-CAMERON COUNTY TAX OFFICE, OFFICE OF ANNE ARUELLE COUNTY AND DEPARTAMENTO DEL TRABAJO)	1.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY-CAMERON COUNTY TAX OFFICE, OFFICE OF ANNE ARUELLE COUNTY AND DEPARTAMENTO DEL TRABAJO)	1.41
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY)	0.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY)	0.47
2/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO TRS RECOVERY)	2.00
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO TRS RECOVERY)	1.36
FAX TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES INC.	6.00

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 253

	<u>Amount</u>
2/28/2017 FAX TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.	7.00
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES)	2.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO TRS RECOVERY SERVICES)	1.36
SUBTOTAL:	[26.50]
<u>Baltic Linen Adversary</u>	
12/29/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	1.40
2/7/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.50
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVESARY PROCEEDING)	1.40
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.50
3/29/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
SUBTOTAL:	[8.00]
<u>Bari Textile</u>	
2/15/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	8.30
2/16/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO BARI HOMES)	1.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO BARI HOMES)	0.94
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO BARI HOMES)	1.15
SUBTOTAL:	[12.19]
<u>Beatrice Home Fashions</u>	
2/14/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	19.20
2/15/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO D. BROCK)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO D. BROCK)	0.94
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.00
4/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(COMPLAINT)	4.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 254

	<u>Amount</u>
SUBTOTAL:	[31.74]
<u>Bess Home Fashions</u>	
3/22/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO BESS HOME)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO BESS HOME)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[2.14]
<u>Bid Protection Reserve Account</u>	
2/16/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO N. SNYDER RE TIGER-YELLEN)	0.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO N. SNYDER RE TIGER-YELLEN)	0.94
FAX TO N. SNYDER RE TIGER-YELLEN	3.00
SUBTOTAL:	[4.34]
<u>Biddeford Blankets</u>	
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO BIDDEFORD BLANKETS)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO BIDDEFORD BLANKETS)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[1.07]
<u>Boston Warehouse Trading Adversary</u>	
10/26/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION DEADLINE TO RESPONSE AND CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	3.40
POSTAGE(STIPULATION DEADLINE TO RESPONSE AND CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	0.94
12/2/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	4.60
PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	1.41
1/2/2017 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES C. MINIER 12/15/16	35.00
1/25/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.00

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 255

	<u>Amount</u>
1/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	0.94
1/26/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	3.40
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	0.94
3/1/2017 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES C. MINIER 2/9	35.00
3/9/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	1.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	0.94
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	7.10
SUBTOTAL:	[98.27]
<u>CHF Industries</u>	
1/30/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO D. LIEBERMAN)	2.00
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO D. LIEBERMAN)	0.68
4/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(COMPLAINT)	4.40
SUBTOTAL:	[7.08]
<u>Candyrific LLC</u>	
3/29/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CANDYRIFIC)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CANDYRIFIC)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[2.14]
<u>Claims</u>	
11/16/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.70
11/17/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.50
11/18/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.00
11/22/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 256

	<u>Amount</u>
11/29/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	4.00
12/6/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	8.90
12/16/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	1.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.94
12/22/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY)	1.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY)	0.68
1/3/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO FINANCIAL CREDIT NETWORK)	1.00
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO FINANCIAL CREDIT NETWORK)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO AT&T)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO AT&T)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.47
1/11/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF HOUSTON)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF HOUSTON)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF OAKLAND)	0.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF OAKLAND)	0.47
1/20/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNTY OF FRESNO)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNTY OF FRESNO)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY)	1.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SECURITY)	0.68

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 257

	<u>Amount</u>
1/20/2017 FAX TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	4.00
1/23/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)	0.47
1/31/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF RICHMOND)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF RICHMOND)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PHOENIX)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PHOENIX)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF GLENDALE)	1.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF GLENDALE)	0.68
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PASADENA ISD)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PASADENA ISD)	0.47
FAX TO PASADENA ISD	4.00
2/10/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO LA COUNTY TREASURER & TAX COLLECTOR)	1.40
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO LA COUNTY TREASURER & TAX COLLECTOR)	0.68
2/14/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.00
3/2/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO LAFAYETTE PARISH TAX COLLECTOR)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO LAFAYETTE PARISH TAX COLLECTOR)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO CITY OF PHILADELPHIA)	0.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO CITY OF PHILADELPHIA)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO MDX MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY)	0.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO MDX MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY AND NOTICE OF STORE CLOSURE TO STATE OF ALABAMA)	1.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY AND NOTICE OF STORE CLOSURE TO STATE OF ALABAMA)	0.68

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 258

	<u>Amount</u>
3/2/2017 FAX TO STATE OF ALABAMA RE NOTICE OF STAY AND NOTICE OF STORE CLOSURE	9.00
3/8/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
3/23/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF RICHMOND)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF RICHMOND)	0.68
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO CITY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY OF FINANCE C/O HARRIS AND HARRIS LTD)	1.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO CITY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY OF FINANCE C/O HARRIS AND HARRIS LTD)	0.47
4/13/2017 FAX TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION	1.00
FAX TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	0.80
4/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO XEROX FINANCIAL)	0.40
POTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO XEROX FINANCIAL)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO PHILADELPHIA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE)	0.47
4/19/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CLEAR CREEK ISD TAX OFFICE)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CLEAR CREEK ISD TAX OFFICE)	0.47
4/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)	0.68
4/27/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PHOENIX)	2.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CITY OF PHOENIX)	1.36
FAX TO GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 259

	<u>Amount</u>
4/27/2017 FAX TO CITY OF PHOENIX, FINANCE DEPARTMENT (4 DIFFERENT FAX NUMBERS)	11.20
SUBTOTAL:	[102.76]
<u>Columbia Frame</u>	
3/8/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	24.10
3/13/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO COLUMBIA FRAME)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO COLUMBIA FRAME)	1.15
SUBTOTAL:	[25.85]
<u>Croscill Home Adversary</u>	
10/11/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF LODGMENT RE SCHEDULING ORDER)	1.20
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
11/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 10/6(3WAY SPLIT)	11.66
1/18/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(DISCOVERY)	5.00
POSTAGE-SPLIT 3 WAYS(DISCOVERY)	1.15
3/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE)	1.20
4/12/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.40
SUBTOTAL:	[26.81]
<u>Declaratory Relief Adv Salus</u>	
1/11/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(SUMMONS, COMPLAINT AND NOTICE COMPLY BANKRUPTCY RULES)	119.20
POSTAGE(SUMMONS, COMPLAINT AND NOTICE COMPLY BANKRUPTCY RULES)	29.85
1/20/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(REQUEST CLERK ISSUE ANOTHER SUMMONS)	1.00
1/24/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.50
PHOTOCOPIES(SUMMONS SERVICE EXECUTED, NOTICE NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES AND COMPLAINT)	18.40

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 260

	<u>Amount</u>
1/24/2017 POSTAGE(SUMMONS SERVICE EXECUTED, NOTICE NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES AND COMPLAINT)	3.98
POSTAGE(SUMMONS SERVICE EXECUTED, NOTICE NEED TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULES AND COMPLAINT)	6.71
2/28/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN DEFENDANTS)	3.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN DEFENDANTS)	141.00
3/15/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.40
SUBTOTAL:	[325.94]
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	
10/4/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(REPLY TO SALUS LIMITED OBJECTION TO MOTION TO LIQUIDATE INSURANCE)	5.40
10/7/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CBRE RE NOTICE OF STAY)	0.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CBRE RE NOTICE OF STAY)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO LIQUIDATE INSURANCE-S. WELS)	6.20
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO LIQUIDATE INSURANCE-S. WELS)	0.68
10/11/2016 TRAVEL TO/FROM USBC SANTA ANA	11.20
PARKING AT USBC SANTA ANA	2.00
10/12/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER RE MOTION TO LIQUIDATE INSURANCE)	11.20
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER RE MOTION TO LIQUIDATE INSURANCE)	1.41
SUBTOTAL:	[38.96]
<u>Elrene Home Fashions</u>	
2/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO M. MOSKOWITZ)	0.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 261

	<u>Amount</u>
2/24/2017 POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO M. MOSKOWITZ)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[1.07]
<u>Epiq</u>	
12/6/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE AND MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ EMPLOYMENT)	21.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE AND MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ EMPLOYMENT)	2.30
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF MOTION TO TERMINATE EPIQ EMPLOYMENT)	4.76
12/28/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(DECLARATION THAT NOT HEARING REQUESTED)	4.00
SUBTOTAL:	[32.86]
<u>Ex Cell Adversary</u>	
10/11/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF LODGMENT RE SCHEDULING ORDER)	1.20
11/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 10/6(3WAY SPLIT)	11.67
1/18/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(DISCOVERY)	5.00
POSTAGE-SPLIT 3 WAYS(DISCOVERY)	1.15
3/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE)	1.20
SUBTOTAL:	[20.22]
<u>Fee/Employment</u>	
10/18/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	2.30
10/26/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF HEARING RE BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT)	4.20
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.50
11/29/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(OMNIBUS REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO BRUTZKUS GUBNER EMPLOYMENT)	10.20
12/2/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.60
12/6/2016 TRAVEL TO/FROM SANTA ANA BANKRUPTCY COURT	11.20

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 262

	<u>Amount</u>
12/6/2016 PARKING AT SANTA ANA BANKRUPTCY COURT	12.00
2/16/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
3/22/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.20
4/6/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(45 DAY NOTICE)	2.00
POSTAGE(45 DAY NOTICE)	0.47
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
SUBTOTAL:	[46.17]
<u>Franco Manufacturing</u>	
2/27/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	12.10
2/28/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO S. WISOTZKEY RE FRANCO MANUFACTURING)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO S. WISOTZKEY RE FRANCO MANUFACTURING)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[13.17]
<u>Ginsey Adversary</u>	
10/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 9/29	17.50
10/7/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
10/18/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.00
SUBTOTAL:	[20.70]
<u>Glenoit Adversary</u>	
10/11/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF LODGMENT RE SCHEDULING ORDER)	1.20
10/20/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
11/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 10/6(3WAY SPLIT)	11.67
1/18/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(DISCOVERY)	4.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 263

	<u>Amount</u>
1/18/2017 POSTAGE-SPLIT 3 WAYS(DISCOVERY)	1.15
3/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE)	1.20

SUBTOTAL:	[20.22]
-----------	----------

Heritage Candy Company

3/17/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.70
3/22/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO HERITAGE CANDY COMPANY)	0.47
4/7/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	19.40
4/18/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.10

SUBTOTAL:	[27.27]
-----------	----------

Hollander Sleep Products, LLC

1/13/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	4.40
1/23/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO M. KURTH)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO M. KURTH)	0.47

SUBTOTAL:	[5.67]
-----------	---------

Home Trends International

3/19/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO HOME TRENDS)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO HOME TRENDS)	0.47
3/31/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.10

SUBTOTAL:	[7.47]
-----------	---------

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 264

	<u>Amount</u>
<u>Housewares International</u>	
3/10/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	5.80
3/17/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO HOUSEWARES INTERNATIONAL)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[6.87]
<u>Idea Nuova</u>	
3/13/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO IDEA NOUVA)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO IDEA NOUVA)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[2.14]
<u>Ivie and Associates</u>	
10/5/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING)	4.60
11/23/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.00
12/1/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING)	1.80
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.90
2/26/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.00
2/27/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING)	1.80
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
3/1/2017 MESSENGER-USBC SANTA ANA 2/27	25.00
MESSENGER-USBC WOODLAND HILLS	75.00
SUBTOTAL:	[118.30]
<u>Jay Franco & Sons</u>	
2/21/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO JAY FRANCO & SONS)	1.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO JAY FRANCO & SONS)	1.41

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 265

	<u>Amount</u>
SUBTOTAL:	[3.21]
<u>Knud Nielson</u>	
3/29/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO KNUD NIELSON)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO KNUD NIELSON)	0.94
4/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(COMPLAINT)	4.40
SUBTOTAL:	[6.54]
<u>Libbey Glass, Inc.</u>	
3/24/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO LIBBEY GLASS)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO LIBBEY GLASS)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[1.07]
<u>Liberty Mutual</u>	
2/5/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.00
2/7/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
4/6/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE AND MOTION TO TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE)	438.20
POSTAGE(NOTICE AND MOTION TO TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE)	13.45
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF MOTION TO TO APPROVE COMPROMISE)	3.29
4/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE)	5.60
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.50
SUBTOTAL:	[464.34]
<u>Lifetime Brand Adversary</u>	
10/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 9/29	17.50

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 266

	<u>Amount</u>
10/31/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	3.60
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	0.94
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.70
12/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 11/10	17.50
1/25/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.20
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	0.94
1/26/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	3.40
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	0.94
3/9/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	1.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[51.26]
<u>Louisville Bedding</u>	
3/2/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO LOUISVILLE BEDDING)	0.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO LOUISVILLE BEDDING)	0.47
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.10
SUBTOTAL:	[6.77]
<u>Maytex Mills</u>	
3/13/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO MAYTEX MILLS)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO MAYTEX MILLS)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[1.07]
<u>Mohawk Rug & Textile</u>	
1/27/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.70

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 267

	<u>Amount</u>
2/8/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO MOHAWK RUG & TEXTILE INC)	1.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO MOHAWK RUG & TEXTILE INC)	1.41
SUBTOTAL:	[9.91]
<u>Nanshing Preference Adversary</u>	
2/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO NANSHING)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO NANSHING)	0.94
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.00
4/25/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(COMPLAINT)	4.40
SUBTOTAL:	[12.54]
<u>Natco Products</u>	
1/30/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.90
2/13/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO L. SAPIR)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO L. SAPIR)	0.47
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	11.40
SUBTOTAL:	[19.37]
<u>Performance Team</u>	
11/18/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	2.70
11/29/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF CLAIM AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE)	39.80
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.70
12/13/2016 TRAVEL TO/FROM SANTA ANA BANKRUPTCY COURT	11.20
PARKING AT SANTA ANA BANKRUPTCY COURT	2.00
12/14/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF LODGMENT)	1.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 268

	<u>Amount</u>
SUBTOTAL:	[58.20]
<u>Preference Analysis</u>	
1/3/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE AND FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS)	203.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE AND FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS)	24.10
POSTAGE(NOTICE AND FIRST OMNIBUS MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENTS)	6.90
1/17/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	5.90
2/1/2017 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES BN 1/31	35.00
2/4/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	2.10
2/8/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	4.40
2/21/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	4.40
2/24/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	8.90
3/27/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.10
4/11/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.80
4/12/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO PWC, SPAIN PRICE, 360 RIVERHORSE, CUDDEBACK, DWC BISON AND 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS)	2.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO PWC, SPAIN PRICE, 360 RIVERHORSE, CUDDEBACK, DWC BISON AND 360 MERCHANT SOLUTIONS)	2.82
4/19/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.10
SUBTOTAL:	[308.92]
<u>Revere Mills Adversary</u>	
10/10/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.50
10/11/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE)	2.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF CONTINUED STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.41
10/31/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	4.60

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 269

	<u>Amount</u>
10/31/2016 POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	1.41
12/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 11/10	17.50
1/25/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	2.20
POSTAGE(NOTICE CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE)	1.41
1/26/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	4.40
POSTAGE(UNILATERAL STATUS REPORT)	1.41
3/29/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	2.80
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL)	1.41
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.30
SUBTOTAL:	[42.25]
<u>Royale Linens, Inc.</u>	
3/17/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO ROYALE LINENS)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO ROYALE LINENS)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[1.07]
<u>Sales Tax Claims</u>	
12/29/2016 FILING FEE-AUDIO RECORDING REQUEST FOR 7/29/15 HEARING	31.00
2/15/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION)	0.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE)	0.94
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO DC GOVERNMENT OFFIC OF TAX & REVENUE)	0.80

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 270

	<u>Amount</u>
2/15/2017 POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO DC GOVERNMENT OFFIC OF TAX & REVENUE)	0.94
FAX TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION	2.00
2/23/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION)	0.47
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[38.09]
<u>Salus Appeal of Gubner Employment Order</u>	
1/10/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO DISMISS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING)	2.00
1/11/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	4.30
2/7/2017 TRAVEL TO/FROM USBC-SANTA ANA	11.20
PARKING AT USBC-SANTA ANA	3.00
3/13/2017 TRAVEL TO/FROM USBC SANTA ANA	11.20
PARKING AT USBC SANTA ANA	3.00
SUBTOTAL:	[34.70]
<u>Sander Sale Enterprises</u>	
2/22/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.00
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.00
2/23/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO SANDER SALES ENTERPRISES)	1.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO SANDER SALES ENTERPRISES)	1.41
SUBTOTAL:	[15.21]
<u>Saturday Knight</u>	
3/1/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO S. GUBNER RE SATURDAY KNIGHT)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO S. GUBNER RE SATURDAY KNIGHT)	1.15
SUBTOTAL:	[1.75]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 271

	<u>Amount</u>
<u>Sharadha Terry Products</u>	
3/1/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO SHARADHA TERRY PRODUCTS)	2.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO SHARADHA TERRY PRODUCTS)	4.60
SUBTOTAL:	[7.00]
<u>Splash Home</u>	
3/5/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	15.20
3/13/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO SPLASH HOME)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO SPLASH HOME)	1.15
SUBTOTAL:	[16.95]
<u>Spring Valley Floral</u>	
3/1/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.60
3/2/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO SPRING VALLEY)	0.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO SPRING VALLEY)	0.47
SUBTOTAL:	[4.67]
<u>Taxes</u>	
11/10/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	12.20
1/5/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	2.40
SUBTOTAL:	[14.60]
<u>Texas Tax Claims</u>	
11/7/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATIONS)	35.40
PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	1.20
11/18/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.90

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 272

	<u>Amount</u>
2/2/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO MCLENNAN COUNTY)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO MCLENNAN COUNTY)	0.94
3/15/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO DENTON COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO DENTON COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR)	0.47
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE MCLENNAN COUNTY)	1.60
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE MCLENNAN COUNTY)	0.94
3/16/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE DALLAS COUNTY)	2.40
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE DALLAS COUNTY)	1.41
3/22/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(PERSONAL PROPERTY CORRECTION REQUEST TO HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT)	3.60
POSTAGE(PERSONAL PROPERTY CORRECTION REQUEST TO HARRIS COUNTY APPRAISAL DISTRICT)	1.57
3/24/2017 FAX TO DALLAS COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR	27.00
PHOTOCOPIES(NOTICE OF STAY TO DALLAS COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR)	1.60
POSTAGE(NOTICE OF STAY TO DALLAS COUNTY TAX ASSESSOR)	1.15
4/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO PASADENA ISD)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO PASADENA ISD)	0.94
PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO CYPRESS FAIRBANKS ISD)	0.80
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO CYPRESS FAIRBANKS ISD)	0.94
FAX TO PASADENA ISD	0.80
4/27/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO TARRANT COUNT)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO TARRANT COUNT)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[88.60]
<u>Textiles from Europe</u>	
2/15/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	6.90

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 273

	<u>Amount</u>
SUBTOTAL:	[6.90]
<u>Vantage Crown Adversary</u>	
10/1/2016 COURTCALL TELEPHONE CHARGES CAM 9/15	35.00
10/13/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(TRUSTEE'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES)	1.20
POSTAGE(TRUSTEE'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES)	0.68
11/17/2016 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	3.10
2/5/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.50
4/10/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	12.50
SUBTOTAL:	[52.98]
<u>Vara Home Fashion</u>	
2/14/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(CORRESPONDENCE TO VARA HOME FASHION)	1.20
POSTAGE(CORRESPONDENCE TO VARA HOME FASHION)	0.94
SUBTOTAL:	[2.14]
<u>Vendor Motion to Compel Return of Attorney's Fees</u>	
11/4/2016 FILING FEE-AUDIO REQUEST 4/27 HEARING	30.00
11/30/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF HEARING)	2.20
SUBTOTAL:	[32.20]
<u>WARN Act Adversary</u>	
10/19/2016 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER)	1.20
1/3/2017 PACER/ONLINE COURT DOCKET SERVICE	0.60
1/18/2017 PHOTOCOPIES(STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER)	1.20
SUBTOTAL:	[3.00]

Karen Sue Naylor

Page 274

	<u>Amount</u>
Total additional charges	\$3,698.75
Total amount of this bill	\$485,081.25
Accounts receivable transactions	
6/14/2017 Payment - thank you/Costs Award. Check No. 20051	(\$2,532.94)
6/14/2017 Payment - thank you/Fee Award. Check No. 20055	(\$225,045.44)
8/29/2017 Payment - thank you. Check No. 63008	(\$199,197.06)
Total payments and adjustments	(\$426,775.44)

2017 BILLING RATES EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1, 2017:

TODD C. RINGSTAD - \$650.00
 NANETTE D. SANDERS - \$650.00
 CHRISTOPHER A. MINIER - \$450.00
 BRIAN R.M. NELSON - \$375.00
 BECKY METZNER - \$195.00

User Summary			
<u>Name</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
CHRISTOPHER A. MINIER	352.80	450.00	\$158,760.00
CHRISTOPHER A. MINIER	21.60	0.00	\$0.00
NANETTE D. SANDERS	152.90	650.00	\$99,385.00
NANETTE D. SANDERS	193.50	625.00	\$120,937.50
NANETTE D. SANDERS	0.30	0.00	\$0.00
TODD C. RINGSTAD	0.70	650.00	\$455.00
BRIAN NELSON	141.20	375.00	\$52,950.00
BRIAN NELSON	139.70	350.00	\$48,895.00
BRIAN NELSON	2.90	0.00	\$0.00



Firm Profile – Ringstad & Sanders LLP

In October 2005, two seasoned bankruptcy practitioners – Todd C. Ringstad and Nanette D. Sanders - combined their respective practices, so as to continue effectively providing the highest quality legal services to their clients. These clients include debtors, creditors, trustees and other parties involved in all types of bankruptcy proceedings and related litigation, whether before the Bankruptcy Court, Federal or State courts. The Firm has been selected by *U.S. News & World Report* as one of Southern California's Best Law Firms in the field of bankruptcy.

As set forth in the attached resumes, between the two, Mr. Ringstad and Ms. Sanders bring more than four decades of bankruptcy and litigation related experience to the Firm. Given this extensive experience, the Firm is well qualified to represent, by way of example, the interests of Chapter 11 debtors, secured and unsecured creditors in both Chapter 11 and 7 proceedings, creditors' committees, Chapter 11 and 7 trustees, including examiners and other court-appointed professionals, landlords, reclamation claimants and other creditors.

The Firm's recent significant engagements include:

- Representation of Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Crystal Cathedral Ministries* (confirmed chapter 11 plan by Official Committee)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Dynamic Builders, Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Represented County of San Bernardino in *In re MolyCorp, Inc.* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Represented venture capital group with large claim in *In re Traffic Control & Safety Corp.* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Arcadia Restaurant Ventures LLC* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Represented secured creditor in *In re The Olympic on Grand LLC* (confirmed chapter 11 plan for client secured creditor)
- Represented trade creditor with largest unsecured claim and chair of Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Flying J, Inc.* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Cole Equipment, Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)

- Represented unsecured creditor with large claim in *In re SemCrude, L.P.* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re EM Thomas Management, Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Represented American Airlines in *In re Diversity MSP, Inc.*
- Represented landlord in *In re Orchard Supply Hardware* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Cyber Pro Systems Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Represented landlord in *In re Tri-Valley Corporation* (Bankruptcy Court, Delaware)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Desert Restaurant Ventures LLC* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Mace Group, Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Rancho Las Flores* (obtained \$10,000,000 settlement payment to debtor in possession from lender and case dismissed)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Jade Bay Mobile Lodge Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)
- Counsel for debtor in possession in *In re MRF Carbon Canyon L.P.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)

Todd C. Ringstad

Todd C. Ringstad was born in San Bernardino, California in 1954. He received his undergraduate degree from the University of California at Riverside in 1976. In 1980, he received his Juris Doctor from the UCLA School of Law, where he was awarded the Order of the Coif, an honor which is bestowed upon the top 10% of the graduating class. Upon graduation from law school, Mr. Ringstad served for one year as law clerk to the Honorable Mack Easley, Chief Justice of the New Mexico Supreme Court.

Throughout his career, Mr. Ringstad has specialized in the representation of debtors, creditors, trustees and creditor committees in bankruptcy cases, and has established a reputation as one of Southern California's leading bankruptcy practitioners. Because of his considerable trial experience, he is often called upon to lecture on bankruptcy trial procedure and tactics. He has appeared in Bankruptcy Courts throughout California and in Delaware, New York, Texas and Nevada, and before the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel, the United States District Court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Mr. Ringstad has been selected as a *SuperLawyer*, representing the top 5% of practicing attorneys in Southern California based on peer recognition and professional achievement. Mr. Ringstad holds an "AV" Preeminent Peer Rating, Martindale-Hubbell's highest peer recognition for legal ability and ethical standards.

Mr. Ringstad served as Chairperson of the Commercial Law and Bankruptcy Section of the Orange County Bar Association in 1986; and as Program Chair of the Commercial Law and Bankruptcy Section in 1985. He served as a member of the Special Projects Committee for the Orange County Bankruptcy Forum from 1988 through 1993. He is a Master in the Peter M. Elliott Inn of Court.

Mr. Ringstad has taught and lectured extensively on bankruptcy law, trial tactics and legal ethics. The seminars in which he has participated as a lecturer or instructor include:

"Recent Developments in Chapter 11," OCBA Commercial Law & Bankruptcy Section, 2014

"Objection Your Honor – A Workshop on Evidentiary Issues in Bankruptcy Cases," Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, 2013

"Representing Non-Profits in Bankruptcy Proceedings & Lessons from the Crystal Cathedral Case," OCBA Commercial Law & Bankruptcy Section, 2013

"It's All In the Numbers – A Seminar Regarding Major Financial Issues in Chapter 11 Cases," Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, 2012

"CCIM Real Estate Bankruptcy Seminar," CCIM Institute, 2009

"Bankruptcy Basics for Business Litigators," OCBA Business Litigation Section, 2009

Guest Lecturer, "Litigation Strategies and Tactics in Bankruptcy Cases," Chapman University School of Law "Advanced Bankruptcy class," 2002

"Bridging the Gap: Orientation to Federal Practice," Federal Bar Association, 2000-2004

"Bankruptcy Litigation: A Workshop in Trial Strategy and Technique," Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, 1995

"Handling a Chapter 11 Reorganization the Right Way, Adopting the Expert's System," CEB 1994

"Bankruptcy Reorganizations (Chapter 11): Effective Strategies for Pacesetting Attorneys Representing Debtors and Creditors," CEB 1993

"Chapter 11 Plan Confirmation Hearing-Mock Trial," California Bankruptcy Forum Annual Conference, 1991

"A Bankruptcy Trial Workshop," Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, 1989

"Pre-Trial Preparation in Bankruptcy Adversary Proceedings," Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, 1988

"Creditor's Remedies and Debtor's Rights," California Continuing Education of the Bar (CEB), 1986, 1988

"Bankruptcy Practice for Paralegals," University of California, Riverside Extension. 1986

Articles and publications include:

"Bankruptcy 101: The Basics for Non-Bankruptcy Practitioners", *Orange County Lawyer*, Jan. 2010.

Nanette D. Sanders

Nanette D. Sanders received a bachelor of science in Accounting from California State Polytechnic University in 1981. She received her Juris Doctor degree from Loyola Law School in 1985.

Throughout her career she has specialized in commercial bankruptcy, representing debtors, secured and unsecured creditors, trustees and creditors' committees, debtors in pre-bankruptcy workout negotiations, chapter 11 reorganizations, chapter 7 liquidations and related litigation. She has been recognized by *SuperLawyers* and *Best Lawyers in America* as one of the leading practitioners of commercial bankruptcy law in Southern California.

Ms. Sanders is a member of the Los Angeles and Orange County Bar Associations, Commercial Law and Bankruptcy Sections and the Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, where she served as a Member Board of Directors (1990-1993) and member of the Projects Committee (1988-1994). Ms. Sanders served as an Attorney Representative for the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference from 2000-2002, and as an *ex officio* member in 2003. She served as a Member of the Board of Directors of the Association of Business Trial Lawyers, Orange County Chapter (2001-2005) and as a Member of the Standing Committee on Discipline, Central District of California (2005-2012). She served as a Member of the Editorial Board for the California Bankruptcy Journal from 1988-1991. Ms. Sanders has also served as an Instructor of the University of California Extension Continuing Education for Legal Assistants Program (CELA).

Ms. Sanders' recent significant engagements include:

Lead counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Crystal Cathedral Ministries* (confirmed chapter 11 plan by Official Committee)

Lead counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Dynamic Builders, Inc.* (confirmed chapter 11 plan)

Lead counsel for debtor in possession in *In re Jade Bay Mobile Lodge, Inc.*

Lead counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Engine Electronics, Inc.*

Lead counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Intellisec, Inc.*

Lead counsel for take out lender in *In re Pacific Islandia* (Sheraton Anaheim Hotel).

Representation of Assignee for the benefit of Creditors in *In re Verteq, Inc.*

Trial counsel for Los Angeles and Orange County chapter 7 trustees in successfully objecting to discharge and pursuing breach of fiduciary claims against debtors and principals of debtors.

Lead counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Thermatrix, Inc.* (five jointly administered Chapter 11 debtors).

Lead counsel for twelve landlords in the Econo Lube 'N Tune Chapter 11 proceeding

Lead counsel for six municipal agencies in the County of Orange Chapter 9 bankruptcy proceeding

Lead counsel, Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in *In re Lansdale & Carr* (4-Day Tire Stores).

Lead counsel, Official committee of Unsecured Creditors in successful \$70 million Chapter 11 case involving multiple resort and golf course properties in Southern California, *In re Regency Properties L.P. and Golf Ventures*, obtained 100% distribution to trade debt.

Lead counsel, Official committee of Unsecured Creditors in \$50 million Chapter 11 case involving luxury hotel in southern California, *In re Westwood Marquis Hotel* resulting in 100% distribution to trade debt.

EDUCATION

COURT ADMISSIONS

Supreme Court of California

United States District Courts, Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS AND ACTIVITIES

State Bar of California

Christopher A. Minier

BAR STANDING: The State Bar of California, 1997, United States District Court (Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California), United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

BAR ACTIVITIES & LEGAL ASSOCIATIONS: Member Orange County Bar Association (member, Commercial Law & Bankruptcy Section, Creditors' Rights Section); Orange County Bankruptcy Forum (member of board of directors (2008 – 2015), President 2014-2015, March 11, 1999, Panelist "Latest Developments in Chapter 7 Representation," and June 11, 2011 Panelist "Bankruptcy Fundamentals: A Practical Overview and Useful Tips;" and Peter M. Elliott Inn of Court, Barrister

EDUCATION: University of San Diego School of Law (J.D., *cum laude*, 1997)(Class Rank: 38/325)

Whittier College (B.A., *cum laude*, Business Administration, 1993).

AREA OF PRACTICE: The representation of debtors-in-possession, creditors and trustees in cases under the Bankruptcy Code, and related litigation. Bankruptcy appellate practice.

PERSONAL HISTORY: Mr. Minier has been practicing law since 1997. Prior to joining Ringstad & Sanders, LLP (*fka* the Law Offices of Todd C. Ringstad), Mr. Minier was an associate at Bohm, Francis, Kegel & Aguilera, LLP, where he specialized in bankruptcy, insolvency, business reorganizations, creditors' rights, trustee representations, bankruptcy litigation, as well as general business/commercial litigation and appellate practice.

PERSONAL: Born Greenfield, Indiana, 1969

Brian R.M. Nelson

Concentrating in insolvency matters including corporate reorganizations, debtor, creditor and trustee representation, and related litigation.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Mr. Nelson has been practicing law since 2011. He has been a part of Ringstad & Sanders since 2009, working as a summer associate during the summers in 2009 and 2010, as a post-bar clerk from August to November of 2011, and as an associate from November 2011 until today.

EDUCATION

Chapman University School of Law (J.D., 2011) (Class Rank: 27/177)
University of California Los Angeles (B.A., Philosophy 2008)

COURT ADMISSIONS

Supreme Court of California
United States District Court for Central District of California
United States District Court for Northern District of California
United States District Court for the Southern District of California
United States Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit)

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

State Bar of California
Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, Member
Orange County Bar Association, Member

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

4343 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 300, Newport Beach, CA 92660

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): **SECOND APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF INTERIM FEES AND/OR EXPENSES OF RINGSTAD AND SANDERS LLP** will be served or was served **(a)** on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and **(b)** in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On October 13, 2017, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

☒ Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On _____, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

☐ Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on October 13, 2017, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Personal Delivery: Honorable Theodor C. Albert, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Ronald Reagan Federal Building, 411 W. Fourth St., Suite 5085/Bin beside 5th Floor Elevators, Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

☐ Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

October 13, 2017
Date

Arlene Martin
Printed Name

/s/ Arlene Martin
Signature

Electronic Mail Notice List

- Todd M Arnold tma@lnbyb.com
- Wesley H Avery wavery@thebankruptcylawcenter.com, lucy@averytrustee.com
- James C Bastian jbastian@shbllp.com
- Cristina E Bautista , ecf.lax.docket@kattenlaw.com,nicole.jones@kattenlaw.com
- Sabrina Beavens sbeavens@iurillolaw.com, ciurillo@iurillolaw.com
- Alan Betten abetten@sagallaw.com
- Karen C Bifferato kbifferato@connollygallagher.com, kbifferato@connollygallagher.com
- Mikel R Bistrow mikel.bistrow@dinsmore.com, caron.burke@dinsmore.com
- Wanda Borges ecfcases@borgeslawllc.com
- Dustin P Branch branchd@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com;hubenb@ballardspahr.com
- Peter M Bransten pbransten@glaserweil.com, kmorin@lgbfirm.com
- Jerrold L Bregman ecf@brutzkusgubner.com, jbregman@brutzkusgubner.com
- Jess R Bressi jess.bressi@dentons.com, kimberly.sigismondo@dentons.com
- Robert Brier bbrier@bihlaw.com, smann@bihlaw.com
- Mark Bringardner Mark@stolllaw.com
- Heather D Brown heather@hdbrownlaw.com
- David L Bruck bankruptcy@greenbaumlaw.com, cdeluca@greenbaumlaw.com
- Larry Butler secured@becket-lee.com
- Frank Cadigan frank.cadigan@usdoj.gov
- Gary O Caris gcaris@diamondmccarthy.com, vgarcia@diamondmccarthy.com
- Shirley Cho scho@pszjlaw.com
- Shawn M Christianson cmcintire@buchalter.com, schristianson@buchalter.com
- Gail L Chung GL@outtengolden.com,
JXH@outtengolden.com;rmasubuchi@outtengolden.com;kdeleon@outtengolden.com;JAR@outtengold
en.com;RSR@outtengolden.com;rfisher@outtengolden.com;rima-8863@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Ronald Clifford rclifford@blakeleyllp.com,
ecf@blakeleyllp.com;seb@blakeleyllp.com;info@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Cynthia M Cohen ccohen@glaserweil.com, dsanchez@glaserweil.com
- Leslie A Cohen leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com, jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com;allie@lesliecohenlaw.com
- Lee A Collins LCOLLINS@BOYARMILLER.COM
- Joseph Corrigan Bankruptcy2@ironmountain.com
- Randy J Creswell rcreswell@perkinsthompson.com, lmccler@perkinsthompson.com
- Jeffry A Davis jdavis@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com
- Joseph P Davis davisjo@gtlaw.com, wangm@gtlaw.com;laik@gtlaw.com
- Michael W Davis mdavis@brutzkusgubner.com, ecf@brutzkusgubner.com
- Richard F DeLossa rdelossa@kelleydrye.com, wtaylor@kelleydrye.com
- Denise Diaz Denise.Diaz@rmsna.com
- Eldia M Diaz-Olmo diazolmo@villamil.net, eldia.diazolmo@gmail.com
- John P Dillman houston_bankruptcy@publicans.com
- Caroline Djang cdjang@rutan.com
- Jonathan R Doolittle jdoolittle@reedsmith.com, renee-pelusi-
2054@ecf.pacerpro.com;rpelusi@reedsmith.com;ashively@reedsmith.com;dkelley@reedsmith.com;bob
miller@kilmerlaw.com;cbroock@kilmerlaw.com;llhommedieu@kilmerlaw.com
- Glen Dresser gombd@aol.com

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

- Reid E Dyer reiddyer@mvalaw.com, davidwheeler@mvalaw.com
- Lei Lei Wang Ekvall lekvall@swelawfirm.com, csheets@swelawfirm.com;gcruz@swelawfirm.com;hdavis@swelawfirm.com
- Gary B Elmer gelmer@ciardilaw.com
- Amanda N Ferns afern@fernslaw.com, mmakalintal@fernslaw.com
- M Douglas Flahaut flahaut.douglas@arentfox.com
- Margaret G Foley mgfoley05@gmail.com
- Joseph D Frank jfrank@fgllp.com, rheiligman@fgllp.com;ccarpenter@fgllp.com;jkleinman@fgllp.com
- Roger F Friedman rfriedman@rutan.com
- John-Patrick M Fritz jpf@lnbyb.com, JPF.LNBYB@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Lauren N Gans lgans@shensonlawgroup.com
- Sidney Garabato sgarabato@epiqsystems.com, rjacobs@ecf.epiqsystems.com
- Jeffrey K Garfinkle jgarfinkle@buchalter.com, docket@buchalter.com;dcyrankowski@buchalter.com
- John S Garner , semerson@rfirm.com
- Richard Girgado rgirgado@counsel.lacounty.gov
- Barry S Glaser bglaser@swesq.com, erhee@swesq.com
- Ronald E Gold rgold@fbtlaw.com, joguinn@fbtlaw.com
- Stanley E Goldich sgoldich@pszjlaw.com
- David B Golubchik dbg@lnbyb.com, dbg@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- David M Goodrich dgoodrich@sulmeyerlaw.com, asokolowski@sulmeyerlaw.com;dgoodrich@ecf.inforuptcy.com;kmccamey@sulmeyerlaw.com
- Leon B Gordon sonya.ragsdale@mvalaw.com
- William A Gray bgray@sandsanderson.com, rarrington@sandsanderson.com
- Irving M Gross img@lnbyb.com, john@lnbyb.com
- Steven T Gubner sgubner@brutzkusgubner.com, ecf@brutzkusgubner.com
- Ralph P Guenther rguenther@montereylaw.com
- Chad V Haes chaes@marshackhays.com, ecfmarshackhays@gmail.com
- Mina Hakakian mhakakian@lwmpartners.com
- Michael J Hauser michael.hauser@usdoj.gov
- James H Henderson henderson@title11.com, ginny@title11.com
- William H Heslup william.heslup@illinois.gov
- Matthew C. Heyn Matthew.Heyn@doj.ca.gov, mcheyn@outlook.com
- Mark S Horoupian mhoroupian@sulmeyerlaw.com, ppenn@sulmeyerlaw.com;mhoroupian@ecf.inforuptcy.com;dperez@sulmeyerlaw.com;ppenn@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Brian D Huben hubenb@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com
- James KT Hunter jhunter@pszjlaw.com
- William E Ireland wireland@hbblaw.com, cdraper@hbblaw.com
- Ronald N Ito Ronald.Ito@doj.ca.gov, Susan.Lincoln@doj.ca.gov
- Jeanne M Jorgensen jjorgensen@pj-law.com, cpage@pj-law.com
- Eve H Karasik ehk@lnbyb.com
- Ori Katz okatz@sheppardmullin.com, cshulman@sheppardmullin.com;ezisholtz@sheppardmullin.com
- Doah Kim doah.kim@whitecase.com
- Simon Kimmelman skimmelman@sillscummis.com
- Stuart I Koenig Skoenig@leechtishman.com, sfrey@leechtishman.com;jabrams@leechtishman.com

- Michael S Kogan mkogan@koganlawfirm.com
- Jason B Komorsky ecf@brutzkugubner.com, jkomorsky@brutzkugubner.com
- Matthew Kramer mkramer@wwhgd.com, iperez@wwhgd.com
- Jeffrey C Krause jkrause@gibsondunn.com, dtrujillo@gibsondunn.com; jstern@gibsondunn.com
- Mette H Kurth mkurth@foxrothschild.com, pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mette-kurth-7580@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Jeffrey S Kwong jsk@lnbyb.com, jsk@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- David Brian Lally davidlallylaw@gmail.com
- Ian Landsberg ian@landsberg-law.com, casey@landsberg-law.com; lisa@landsberg-law.com; diana@landsberg-law.com; yesi@landsberg-law.com; ilandsberg@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- William J Levant wlevant@kaplaw.com, wlevant@gmail.com
- Lori A Lewis lewisl004@mail.maricopa.gov
- James V Lombardi jlombardi@rossbanks.com, kjohnson@rossbanks.com
- Melissa Davis Lowe mdavis@shbllp.com, sswartzell@shbllp.com
- David J Mahoney efilings@spallp.com
- Kathleen P March kmarch@bkylawfirm.com, kmarch3@sbcglobal.net
- Robert S Marticello Rmarticello@swelawfirm.com, csheets@swelawfirm.com; gcruz@swelawfirm.com; hdavis@swelawfirm.com
- David A Mawhinney david.mawhinney@klgates.com
- Gordon G May hpc@ggb-law.com
- Thor D McLaughlin tmclaughlin@allenmatkins.com, igold@allenmatkins.com
- David W. Meadows david@davidwmeadowslaw.com
- Christopher Minier becky@ringstadlaw.com
- Eric A Mitnick MitnickLaw@aol.com
- Sean N Nagel , ldc@dr-slo.com
- Karen S. Naylor knaylor@burd-naylor.com
- Karen S Naylor (TR) acanzone@burd-naylor.com, knaylor@ecf.epiqsystems.com; knaylor@IQ7technology.com
- Brian R Nelson becky@ringstadlaw.com, brian@ringstadlaw.com
- Mike D Neue mneue@dynamic-law.com, mwjaraki@me.com
- Kevin M Newman knewman@menterlaw.com, kmnbk@menterlaw.com
- Victor W Newmark bankruptcy@evict.net
- William Novotny william.novotny@mwmf.com
- Abigail V O'Brient avobrient@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com; DEHashimoto@mintz.com
- Juliet Y Oh jyo@lnbrb.com, jyo@lnbrb.com
- Keith C Owens kowens@venable.com, bclark@venable.com; khoang@venable.com; DGIGe@venable.com
- Ernie Zachary Park ernie.park@bewleylaw.com
- Paul J Pascuzzi ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com
- Kristen N Pate ggpbk@ggp.com
- Christopher J Petersen cjpetersen@blankrome.com, gsolis@blankrome.com
- David M Poitras dpoitras@jmbm.com, bt@jmbm.com; vr@jmbm.com; dmp@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- David L Pollack pollack@ballardspahr.com, petlaka@ballardspahr.com
- Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
- Kelly L Pope kpope@downeybrand.com, mfrazier@downeybrand.com; courtfilings@downeybrand.com
- David L Prince dlp@redchamber.com

- Jennifer Pruski jpruski@trainorfairbrook.com
- David M Reeder dmr@vrmlaw.com, jle@vrmlaw.com
- Steven B Sacks , jnakaso@sheppardmullin.com
- Steven B Sacks ssacks@sheppardmullin.com, jnakaso@sheppardmullin.com
- Diane W Sanders austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com
- Nanette D Sanders becky@ringstadlaw.com
- Allan D Sarver ADS@asarverlaw.com
- Scott A Schiff sas@soukup-schiff.com
- Lance A Selfridge lances@lbbbslaw.com, Susan.Awe@lewisbrisbois.com
- James R Selth jim@wsrlaw.net, jselth@yahoo.com;melissa@wsrlaw.net;vinnet@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Michael J Shane mshane@eplawyers.com, bsilva@eplawyers.com
- Jeffrey S Shinbrot jeffrey@shinbrotfirm.com, sandra@shinbrotfirm.com
- William H Short bill.short@arlaw.com, candice.carter@arlaw.com
- Evan D Smiley esmiley@swelawfirm.com,
gcruz@swelawfirm.com;csheets@swelawfirm.com;hdavis@swelawfirm.com
- Lindsey L Smith lls@lnbyb.com, lls@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Louis F Solimine Louis.Solimine@thompsonhine.com
- Owen M Sonik osonik@pbfc.com, tpope@pbfc.com
- Howard Steinberg steinbergh@gtlaw.com, pearsallt@gtlaw.com;laik@gtlaw.com
- Rick A Steinberg rsteinberg@nakblaw.com
- Norman C Sullivan jgarner@frfirm.com,semerson@frfirm.com
- Salina R Thomas bankruptcy@co.kern.ca.us
- United States Trustee (SA) ustpreion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov
- Daniel R Utain dutain@kaplaw.com, kcoughlin@kaplaw.com
- Kimberly Walsh bk-kwalsh@texasattorneygeneral.gov
- Howard J Weg hweg@robinskaplan.com
- Jeffrey T Wegner jeffrey.wegner@kutakrock.com, lisa.peters@kutakrock.com
- David R. Weinstein dweinstein@weinsteinlawfirm.net
- Daniel J Weintraub dan@wsrlaw.net, melissa@wsrlaw.net;vinnet@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Gilbert B Weisman notices@becket-lee.com
- Elizabeth Weller dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com
- Lee G Werner leegwerner@gmail.com
- Steven Werth swerth@sulmeyerlaw.com,
asokolowski@sulmeyerlaw.com;kmccamey@sulmeyerlaw.com;asokolowski@ecf.inforuptcy.com;swert
h@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Brian D Wesley brian.wesley@doj.ca.gov
- Eric R Wilson kdwbankruptcydepartment@kelleydrye.com, MVicinanza@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Brian Barouir Yeretizian byeretizian@jhindsllaw.com, yeretzian@gmail.com
- Roye Zur rzur@lgbfirm.com, srichmond@lgbfirm.com;emeza@lgbfirm.com;njanbay@lgbfirm.com