	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered 09	0/18/20 11:55:36 Page 1 of 37
1 2 3	THE MAJORIE FIRM LTD. Francis B. Majorie Pro Hac Vice ('892 Case Docket No. 1915, ¶ 153) 1450 Cottonwood Valley Court, Irving, Texas 7503 Telephone: (214) 502-6900/ Fax Number: (214) 52	
4 5 6 7 8	Attorneys for Silar Advisors, LP SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL A Professional Law Corporation James P. Hill, CA SBN 90478 (Pro Hac Vice) Jonathan S. Dabbieri CA SBN 91963 (Pro Hac Vic Elizabeth E. Stephens, NV SBN 5788 228 South Fourth Street, First Floor ,Las Vegas, NV Telephone: (702) 382-6440 / Fax Number: (702) 38	89101
9	Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, William A. Leonard, Jr.	
10	UNITED STATES BAN	NKRUPTCY COURT
11	DISTRICT OI	FNEVADA
12	In re) CASE NO. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ (Lead Case)
13	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC,	Jointly Administered with Case Nos.:) BK-S-09-32831-RCJ; BK-S-09-32839-RCJ;
14 15	Debtor.	 BK-S-09-32843-RCJ; BK-S-09-32844-RCJ; BK-S-09-32846-RCJ; BK-S-09-32849-RCJ; BK-S-09-32851-RCJ; BK-S-09-32853-RCJ; BK-S-09-32868-RCJ; BK-S-09-32873-RCJ;
16 17) BK-S-09-32875-RCJ; BK-S-09-32878-RCJ;) BK-S-09-32880-RCJ; BK-S-09-32882-RCJ
17) Chapter 7
19	Affects:	NOTICE OF UPLOADING AGREED
20	Asset Resolution, LLC, 09-32824 Bundy 2.5 Million SPE, LLC, 09-32831	SILAR ADVISORS LP'S MOTION FOR RELIEF
21	Bundy Five Million SPE, LLC, 09-32839	CONCERNING OAK SHORES II PAL
22	CFP Cornman Toltec SPE, LLC, 09-32844	LOAN [Dkt. 3502]
23	CFP Gramercy SPE, LLC, 09-32849	Ctrm: RCJ – Courtroom TBD
24	Fiesta Stoneridge, LLC, 09-32851 Fox Hills SPE, LLC, 09-32853	Lloyd D. George Courthouse 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South
25	HFAH Monaco SPE, LLC, 09-32868 Huntsville SPE, LLC, 09-32873	Las Vegas, NV 89101
26 27 28	 Lake Helen Partners SPE, LLC, 09-32875 Ocean Atlantic SPE, LLC, 09-32878 Shamrock SPE, LLC, 09-32880 10-90 SPE, LLC, 09-32882 	Judge: Hon. Robert C. Jones
	413649-v1	
	-1	-
	1	

	Case 09-32824-rcj	Doc 3643	Entere	d 09/18/20 11:55:36	Page 2 of 37
1					
2	NOTICE IS HEREBY	GIVEN that t	he Agree	ed Order Granting Silar	Advisors LP's Motion for
3	Relief Concerning Oak S	hores Ii Pal L	oan [Dkt	a copy of which a copy of which	n is attached hereto as
4	Exhibit 1, with uploaded	to the above-	caption (Court on September 17,	2020.
5					
6	Dated: September 18	, 2020	SULL A Pro	IVAN, HILL, LEWIN, fessional Law Corporati	REZ & ENGEL
7					
8			By:	/s/ Jonathan S. Dabbie	eri
9				James P. Hill Jonathan S. Dabbieri	
10				Elizabeth E. Stephens Attorneys for Chapter William A. Leonard, J	7 Trustee,
11				William A. Leonard, J	r.
12					
13					
14					
15 16					
10					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	413649-v1				
				- 2 -	

EXHIBIT "1"

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Ente	red 09/18/20 11:55:36	Page 4 of 37	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	THE MAJORIE FIRM LTD. Francis B. Majorie			
7	Pro Hac Vice ('892 Case Docket No. 1915, ¶ 153			
8	1450 Cottonwood Valley Court, Irving, Texas 75Telephone: (214) 502-6900/ Fax Number: (214)			
9	Attorneys for Silar Advisors, LP			
10	SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL A Professional Law Corporation			
11	James P. Hill, CA SBN 90478 (Pro Hac Vice) Jonathan S. Dabbieri CA SBN 91963 (Pro Hac Vice) Elizabeth E. Stephens, NV SBN 5788 228 South Fourth Street, First Floor ,Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-6440 / Fax Number: (702) 384-9102			
12				
13				
14	Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, William A. Leonard, Jr.			
15	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
16	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
17	In re	CASE NO. BK-S-09	9-32824-RCJ (Lead Case)	
18	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC,	Jointly Administered	d with Case Nos.: J; BK-S-09-32839-RCJ;	
19	Debtor.	BK-S-09-32843-RC	J, BK-S-09-32839-RCJ, J; BK-S-09-32844-RCJ; J; BK-S-09-32849-RCJ;	
20	All Debtors Asset Resolution, LLC, 09-32824	BK-S-09-32851-RC	J; BK-S-09-32853-RCJ;	
21	Bundy 2.5 Million SPE, LLC, 09-32831 Bundy Five Million SPE, LLC, 09-32839	BK-S-09-32875-RC	J; BK-S-09-32873-RCJ; J; BK-S-09-32878-RCJ;	
22	CFP Anchor B SPE, LLC, 09-32843		J; BK-S-09-32882-RCJ	
23	CFP Cornman Toltec SPE, LLC, 09-32844	Chapter 7		
24	CFP Gramercy SPE, LLC, 09-32849 Fiesta Stoneridge, LLC, 09-32851		GRANTING SILAR	
25	Fox Hills SPE, LLC, 09-32853		MOTION FOR RELIEF AK SHORES II PAL	
26	Huntsville SPE, LLC, 09-32873 Lake Helen Partners SPE, LLC, 09-32875	LOAN [Dkt. 3502]		
27	Ocean Atlantic SPE, LLC, 09-32878	Judge: Hon. Robert	C. Jones	
28	10-90 SPE, LLC, 09-32882			

1. The Court has reviewed the motion filed by Silar Advisors LP ("Silar") for Relief Concerning Defaulted Oak Shores II PAL Loan [Dkt. 3502] (the "Motion") and the Declaration of Gordon Miller and its attachments submitted in support of the Motion [Dkt 3503 1-4] (the "Motion Papers"). Silar's Motion seeks final relief with respect to what is generally known as 4 the "Oak Shores II PAL." The Oak Shores II PAL is an ear-marked, property-specific, Courtapproved loan originated in 2013, which has been extended by agreement several times, has an 6 outstanding balance of over \$ 1.3 million, and presently remains in default.

2.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No written opposition to the Motion was filed by any person or entity.

3. At the April 13, 2020 hearing of the Motion, counsel for Silar announced that an agreement in principle had been reached with Donna Cangelosi ("Cangelosi"), the TDI Representative for the Oak Shores II direct lenders and for their entity, Oak Shores SPE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("Oak Shores SPE"). Ms. Cangelosi confirmed the agreement in principle announced on the record. Jonathan Dabbieri on behalf of William A. Leonard, Jr. ("Trustee"), the trustee of the chapter 7 bankruptcy estate of Asset Resolution, LLC (the "Estate") in the above-captioned cases (the "Bankruptcy Cases"), indicated that he was not advised in advance as to the agreement but would cooperate with Silar and the Direct Lenders to set forth the agreement in an agreed order. The Court thereupon directed that the parties submit an agreed order. This order (the "Order") sets forth the terms of the parties' agreement, and is binding as a stipulation whether or not entered by the Court.

4. UPON CONSIDERATION OF THE PARTIES' STPULATION, THE MOTION PAPERS, THE RECORD IN THIS BANKRUPTCY, AND THE LAW AND THE FACTS, THE COURT HAS DETERMINED THAT GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR GRANTING THE MOTION ON THE TERMS SET FORTH IN THIS ORDER. THE COURT THEREFORE GRANTS THE MOTION AS SET FORTH MORE FULLY BELOW AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS AND RULINGS:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I.

JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND OTHER PREDICATES

5. The Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334(a). The matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. ("Bankruptcy Code") §§ 157(b)(2): subsection (A)(insofar as it pertains to administration of the Estate and the further implementation of the settlement approved by the Court in its Agreed Order Regarding Settlement And Related Relief entered on September 6, 2012 [AR Bk Doc. 1915] (the "Settlement Order")); subsection (D)(insofar as it pertains to orders in respect to obtaining credit), (M) (insofar as it pertains to orders approving the use of property); and/or subsection (O) insofar as it is a proceeding affecting the liquidation of the assets of the Estate or the adjustment of the debtor-creditor or the equity security holder relationship). To the extent this matter is not a core matter, it is nonetheless properly before this Article III District Court under section 157.

6. The Court hereby exercises exclusive *in rem* jurisdiction over the Collateral Property to effectuate the relief provided in this Order.

7.

Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and/or 1409.

The relief granted herein is authorized by, among other laws: 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 362, 363, 364, 503, & 507; Bankruptcy Rules of Procedure ("BRP" or "Bankruptcy Rule") 2002, 4001(c), 6004, and 9019; Nev. Rev. Stat. 645B.340 *et al.;* and Nevada common law.

9. Upon entry, this Order constitutes a final and appealable order within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 158(a). Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h) and to any extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rule 9014 and Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as made applicable by Bankruptcy Rule 7054, the Court expressly finds that there is no just reason for delay in the implementation of this Order, and expressly directs entry of judgment as set forth herein.

10. The findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth herein constitute the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052, made applicable to this proceeding pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9014.

11. To the extent any of the following findings of fact constitute conclusions of law, they are hereby adopted as such. To the extent any of the following conclusions of law constitute findings of fact, they are hereby adopted as such.

II.

THE COURSE OF PROCEEDINGS AND THE OAK SHORES II LOAN

A. The USACM Bankruptcy and Sale of Assets

12. On or about April 13, 2006, following a series of massive frauds on investors known as "direct lenders" or "DLs" and others, USA Commercial Mortgage Company and its affiliates ("USACM") filed chapter 11 bankruptcy petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada. The USACM bankruptcy court authorized a sale of various USCAM assets (the "USACM Assets") pursuant to, among other things, an approved Plan of Reorganization and section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code. The USACM Assets included the rights and powers to service 61 loans (the "USACM Loans") under loan servicing agreements (the "LSAs"), the right to collect certain fees and service advances, and various fractionalized interests in certain loans and/or properties held by the USACM estates.

13. The USACM Assets were purchased by Compass Financial Partners, LLC ("Compass"). Compass' acquisition of the USACM Assets was funded by Silar pursuant to a Master Repurchase Agreement (the "MRA"). This Court has ruled that Silar became the owner of the USACM Assets under the MRA, that Silar transferred all of its rights under the MRA to Asset Resolution (the lead Debtor in the Bankruptcy Cases), and that Asset Resolution in turn "foreclosed" Compass out of the MRA on or about September 26, 2008.

2 || **B**.

The Asset Resolution Bankruptcies

14. On October 14, 2009, voluntary bankruptcy petitions for Asset Resolution and each of the above-named debtors were filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and orders for relief were entered in each case. 1 15. Venue of the Bankruptcy Cases was subsequently transferred to the District of
 2 Nevada and, after the transfer, the Bankruptcy Cases were withdrawn in their entirety from the
 3 bankruptcy court to this Court.

16. By this Court's order entered January 29, 2010 [Dkt. No. 356], the Bankruptcy Cases were converted to cases under chapter 7 of the bankruptcy code, *nunc pro tunc* as of January 19, 2010. Asset Resolution is the lead Debtor and the Bankruptcy Cases are jointly administered under Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ. The Trustee was also appointed on January 29, 2010.

C. The Settlement Order

17. On September 6, 2012, this Court entered the Agreed Order Regarding Settlement And Related Relief (the "Settlement Order")[892 Case Doc. 1915] in litigation styled *San Fernando Lenders LLC et al. v. Compass USA SPE LLC et al.*, Case No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF (the "892 Case"). The 892 Case was very complicated and extensive litigation involving the USACM Assets, servicing control over the USACM Loans, and other matters. The settlement approved in the Settlement Order was substantially consummated and closed shortly after that order was entered. Paragraph 43 of the Settlement Order also provided that the Court may enter one or more orders to further implement the settlement terms approved in the Settlement Order. In fact, the Court has entered a series of orders pursuant to paragraph 43 of the Settlement Order. [*See, e.g.*, 892 Case Doc. 2308; 210 Case Docs. 173 & 174; AR Adv. 1100 Doc. 69; AR Bk Docs. 1949, 1950, 1952, & 1988.] This Order is also entered in part in reliance on that that continuing jurisdiction.

2 || **D.** 7

The Oak Shores II Loan

18. One of the provisions of the global settlement involved the making of nonrecourse loans for the benefit of the direct lenders as they attempted to make recoveries on their USACM Loans. To that end, paragraphs 163 through 168 of the Settlement Order provided for the advancement by Silar of \$3 million for one or more "Protective Advance Loans" to be requested and approved by the Court, as provided in more detail in those paragraphs.

19. The Court approved two Protective Advance Loans contemplated by the Settlement Order [see AR Bk Docs. 1779 & 1937], and those loans have been repaid. The present Motion and this Order involve the third Protective Advance Loan made by Silar pursuant to the Settlement Order: a \$772,500 loan–later increased with an additional \$128,332.00–for what is known as the "Oak Shores II" USACM Loan.

20. The Oak Shores II Loan was one of the USACM Loans which were the subject of the sale to Compass and the preliminary injunction entered as Doc. No. 199 in the 892 Case (the "Preliminary Injunction").

21. The original borrowers of the Oak Shores II Loan were John E. King and his wife, Carol D. King (jointly and severally, "King"). The Oak Shores II Loan was originated in 2005 by USACM and funded by over 160 "direct lender" or "DL" investors. The principal amount of the Oak Shores II Loan was increased numerous times and had an unpaid principal balance of \$12,150,000 by 2013. The Oak Shores II Loan bore a non-default interest rate of thirteen percent per annum.

22. The Asset Resolution Estate holds direct lender beneficial interests ("DL Interests") in the Oak Shores II Loan equal to .04 percent of the principal balance of that loan (a \$4,849 original principal investment). Disposition and other matters relating to those direct lender interests are governed by paragraphs 51 through 53 of the Settlement Order. Direct lenders ("B&B DLs") for whom the law firm of Bickel & Brewer filed a Proof of Claim (the "B&B Proof of Claim") in the Bankruptcy Cases owned DL Interests in the Oak Shores II Loan equal to 62.49% of the principal balance of that loan (a \$7,593,064 original principal investment).

Affirmation of the Fifty-One Percent Rule to Loan Governance

24 23. In an order entered on January 29, 1010, this Court ruled that, as a result of the
25 Court's termination of the LSAs, the Trustee did not have authority to exercise any loan
26 servicing rights purchased by Compass in the USACM bankruptcy and placed the Trustee in
27 "nominal control" of the USACM Loans subject to Nevada law and further order of the Court.
28 [AR Bk Doc. 356 at 2-3]. The January 29, 2010 order was later supplemented and clarified by

the Court's Nunc Pro Tunc Order on Chapter 7 Trustee's Continued Authority to Service Loan
 on an Interim Basis [AR Bk Doc. 1312].

24. On February 8, 2010, the Court directed that "any direct lender may conduct and supervise the voting process to determine how 51% or more of the direct lenders, calculated by dollar amount in a Loan, intend to proceed with the management and direction of that Loan." [AR Bk Doc. 387 at 2/lines 12-15.] The Court also ordered that the Trustee had the right and power to vote on behalf of the Estate as a direct lender.

F. Approval of the Oak Shores II PAL and Appointment of Donna Cangelosi and Kevin Olsen as TDI Representatives

25. By 2012, the Oak Shores II Loan was in default, the Collateral Property was still owned by King or an affiliate, and property taxes, penalties, and interest in an amount exceeding \$450,000 had accrued and remain unpaid.

26. However, due to the efforts of Ms. Cangelosi and others, the San Luis Obispo Tax Collector's Office agreed to accept \$268,192.40 in full satisfaction of all taxes, penalties, and interest through December 31, 2012–provided that the money was paid by December 31, 2012. There was therefore an immediate need to borrow money to take advantage of the reduction in cost and to preserve the Collateral Property.

27. There was also a need to foreclose on the Collateral Property, investigate claims against King and others relating to the Oak Shores II Loan, and incur certain expenses to attempt to sell the entire property or undertake a lot sales and marketing program.

28. Armed with the approval of the holders of more than 51% of the DL interests in the Oak Shores II loan, Ms. Cangelosi asked Silar and the Trustee to agree to make a PAL loan under the Settlement Order to assist the Estate and other Oak Shores II Loan DLs to maximize recovery of their investments by providing necessary funds.

29. Because of the urgency imposed by the need to pay the taxing authority by December 31, 2012, advances were made to immediately pay the taxes and to retain a marketing analysis firm. The parties then filed a joint motion for the Court's approval of a secured, non-recourse loan of up to \$772,500.00. This Court approved that loan in an Order Approving Post-

Petition Financing, Loan-Related Claim Resolution, And Related Matters (Oak Shores II) 1 2 entered on April 19, 2013 (AR Bk Doc. 2047) (the "Oak Shores II PAL Order").

30. The Oak Shores II PAL Order also affirmed the appointment of Ms. Cangelosi and Kevin Olsen as trust deed representatives ("TDI Representatives") to assist their fellow direct lenders in the management of their Oak Shores II Loan, including without limitation, establishing, drawing funds from, and overseeing repayment of the Oak Shores II Protective Advance Loan, managing the foreclosure process, the lot sales and marketing program, and resale(s) of the Collateral Property in whole or in part through one or more transactions, investigating and pursuing if advisable claims against King, and retaining counsel and other professionals and vendors to assist in those matters.

G.

The Initial Oak Shores II PAL

31. The Oak Shores II PAL Order authorized an ear-marked loan made to the Estate by Silar, its designee, and their assignees (collectively, the "Silar Lender") to be advanced to protect the Direct Lender Interests of the Estate and its fellow Direct Lenders in the Oak Shores II Loan.

32. Silar Lender made the Oak Shores II PAL on a non-recourse basis as to the Oak Shores II DLs and any entity through which they directly or indirectly obtained title to the Collateral Property, with repayments to be made on a priority basis solely out of collections ("Collections") under the Oak Shores II loan documents (including without limitation through the Collateral Property itself and cash and other proceeds generated by the Collateral Property). (AR Bk Doc. 2047 ¶¶ 66–67).

33. In order to secure indefeasible re-payment to Silar Lender of all sums due in connection with the Oak Shores II Protective Advance Loan, this Court directed that all Collections are and shall be earmarked for re-payment of the Oak Shores II PAL and, under no circumstances may any Collections or the proceeds thereof be used by or available in any way to the Estate, creditors of the Estate, or any other person or entity until there has been full repayment of the sums due to Silar Lender for the Oak Shores II PAL. (AR Bk Doc. 2047 ¶ 68).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

34. In order to further secure indefeasible re-payment to Silar Lender of all sums due in connection with the Oak Shores II PAL, this Court also declared that the Estate and Silar Lender were granted a first-lien security interest (the "Lien") in, to, and against any and all of the following, whether now existing or acquired hereafter: any and all Collections; the rights of the Oak Shores II DLs in and under the Oak Shores II Loan Documents and/or to receive the Collections; the Collateral Property and/or any other collateral foreclosed on by or for the Oak Shores II DLs or their designees or exchanged or contributed to any holding entity, or otherwise changed, impaired, encumbered, altered or substituted in any way at any time or from time to time; any interests of the Oak Shores II DL in any such foreclosure or holding entity; any and all Seller Financing Loan Documents and all liens and rights thereunder; and any and all rights and/or proceeds directly or indirectly generated by, created by, derived from, or collected from any of the foregoing. (AR Bk Doc. 2047 ¶ 69).

35. The Court further ordered that the Lien was effective upon initial funding of the loan without the need for any further action, recording, or perfection and shall remain in place until all sums due to Silar Lender with respect to the Oak Shores II PAL are indefeasibly paid in full. The interests of the Estate in, to, or under the Lien shall be subordinate in all respects and at all times to the interests of Silar Lender. (AR Bk Doc. 2047 ¶ 70).

18 H.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

The First Supplemental Oak Shores PAL Order

36. Pursuant to paragraph 54 of the Oak Shores II PAL Order, the Oak Shores II PAL loan matured on June 30, 2016 (forty-two months from the date of Silar Lender's advance of the Initial Earmarked Estate Funds, which occurred on December 31, 2012).

22 37. As of September 30, 2016, the current amount due to Silar Lender under the Oak Shores II PAL Order was \$934,045.39 (the "2016 Amount Due"). The 2016 Amount Due was calculated as follows: (a) \$750,000 (principal balance advanced); plus (b) \$184,045.39 (Current Unpaid Non-Default Interest).

38. Due to the maturity of the loan, Silar Lender are empowered to seek all remedies available to it at law, in equity, or otherwise, including, under paragraph 76 of the Oak Shores

Page 9 of 23

PAL Order, the power obtain an order from the Court directing a sale of the underlying real
 estate Collateral through a Court-approved broker.

39. But, in keeping with its intent to assist the Direct Lenders in their efforts to recover losses from the USACM fraud, Silar agreed to extend the maturity date of the loan to June 30, 2017 and to advance an additional \$128,332.00 as part of the principal amount of the loan (making the outstanding principal balance of the loan, as of October 1 2016, to be \$1,062,377.39).

40. By making the \$128,332 advance, Silar fully complied with its obligations under the Settlement Order to advance \$3 million for protective advance loans.

41. As consideration for the maturity date extension, Silar Lender was provided the right to receive four percent (4%) of any and all gross Collections (the "Four Percent Amount") (in addition to being repaid the Outstanding Principal Balance and all accrued interest due on the loan) and the right to collect the Four Percent Amount was added to the Lien securing the PAL.

42. This Court approved the terms of this extension agreement in a second order, the Amended Agreed Order Supplementing This Court's April 19, 2013 Order entered on April 11, 2017 (AR Bk Doc. 3223) (the "First Supplemental Order").

43. In the First Supplemental Order, this Court confirmed that there were no offsets, defenses, recoupments, claims, counterclaims, or Causes of Action which can be asserted against the Estate and/or the Silar Lender in connection with the Oak Shores II PAL Order or the Oak Shores II Loan and that, in any event, any such offsets, defenses, recoupments, claims, counterclaims, or Causes of Action were waived.

44. The First Supplemental Order also confirmed that all other terms of the Oak Shores II PAL Loan approved by the Oak Shores II PAL Order remained the same except for the extension of the maturity date, the additional \$128,332.00 advance, and the consideration provided therefor as recited in the First Supplemental Order.

26

I.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The DLs Take Control Over The Collateral Property Foreclosure Into An SPE

45. Subsequent to entry of the Oak Shores II PAL, the TDI Representatives for the
Oak Shores II DLs formed Oak Shores SPE to take title to the Collateral Property through

foreclosure under the Oak Shores Loan documents. Oak Shores SPE now owns the foreclosed real estate and other attendant rights, titles, and interest comprising the Collateral Property. The Oak Shores SPE is managed by Ms. Cangelosi, as TDI Representative for the Oak Shores II direct lenders.

1

2

46. Copies of the OAK Shores PAL Order and First Supplemental Order (concurrently, the "Two PAL Orders") were duly recorded in the County land records of San Luis Obispo (Doc. No. 2014001247 filed 1/10/14 at 1:34pm) immediately following recordation of the trustee deed to Oak Shores SPE (Doc. No. 2014001246 filed 1/10/14 at 1:34 p.m.).

47. Pursuant to the Two PAL Orders, the non-recourse obligations of the Oak Shores II DLs and the Estate to repay Silar Lender out of the Collateral Property all accrued and accruing sums in connection with the Oak Shores II PAL Loan were automatically extended to and assumed by Oak Shores SPE the moment Oak Shores SPE received title to the real property and to any other rights, titles, or interests or assets comprising any part of the Collateral Property.

48. Similarly, the first-priority Lien granted to Silar Lender against the Collateral Property for repayment of all accrued and accruing sums in connection with the Oak Shores II PAL Loan attached to the foreclosed real estate and other rights, titles, and interests foreclosed upon by Oak Shores SPE effective the moment Oak Shores SPE received title to that real property and to any other rights, titles, or interests and assets comprising any part of the Collateral Property.

J. The Oak Shores PAL Is Still Wholly Unpaid And Is In Default

49. Despite the best efforts of the TDI Representatives, the Oak Shores DLs and their SPE were unable to undertake a sale or development of the Collateral Property over the past three years. One of the significant issues was addressing a local government requirement concerning physical phone lines at the site.

50. During that three year period, Silar entered into extension and forbearance agreements with TDI Representative Cangelosi, based on approval of the holders of more than 51 percent of the beneficial "direct lender" interests in the loan. Through the agreements reached with Ms. Cangelosi after the June 2017 maturity date (which were also approved by the holders of more than 51% of the DL interests in the loan), Silar forbore from seeking the remedies available to it under the Court's orders and the law, and even agreed to reduce the amount it would accept as repayment if the direct lenders were able to achieve a liquidity transaction within the extended period.

51. Ms. Cangelosi and others undertook substantial efforts to obtain the governmental and other approvals necessary to develop the Collateral Property as a means to repay the loan and, in the alternative, tried and sell the Collateral Property for a price sufficient to repay the loan. Silar kept in contact with Ms. Cangelosi during her efforts and was very supportive of her efforts.

52. As part of its efforts to help the Direct Lenders save what they could from their investment with USACM, Silar and Ms. Cangelosi on her capacity as TDI Representative and on behalf of Oak Shores SPE negotiated and reached an agreement in the last quarter of 2018 which provided still more time to the Direct Lenders (the "December Agreement"). The December Agreement was brought to the Direct Lenders by Ms. Cangelosi and approved by the holders of more than 51% of the beneficial Direct Lender Interests in the Oak Shores loan.

53. Under the December Agreement, Silar Lender agreed to continue to forbear from exercising its remedies as long as certain milestone occurred in 2019, including obtaining certain government approvals in October 2019 and making some funded lot sales and payments in December 2019. At Ms. Cangelosi's request, Silar Lender refrained from making a public filing about the extension and its terms while she attempted to negotiate a sale of the Collateral Property to the adjacent landowner (whose property shares certain infrastructure improvements with the Collateral Property). Unfortunately, despite their efforts, the Direct Lenders were unable

to meet the 2019 milestones set forth in the December Agreement, and the Oak Shores II PAL remained–and still remains–in default.

54. The Two PAL Orders and the December Agreement are in default. The Two PAL Orders allow Silar to exercise any and all rights and remedies available to it under the law, which include pursuing state law foreclosure of the Collateral Property. The December Agreement further provides Silar the right to receive a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure for the Collateral Property from Oak Shores SPE or to receive 100% ownership of the Oak Shores SPE which holds title to the Collateral Property. To the extent it might have applied to any such remedies, the automatic stay has already been lifted by the Two PAL Orders.

55. In short, Silar Lender made the Oak Shores PAL loan seven years ago and has not received any payments. The maturity date of the loan was voluntarily extended by Silar Lender in good faith, when it was not required to do so by the Settlement Order, the Two PAL Orders, the equities, or the law. The balance of the loan now exceeds \$1.5 million and continues to accrue interest at the default rate. Because the loan is non-recourse, Silar Lender's only source of repayment is the Collateral Property itself or proceeds from that real estate.

56. Silar Lender has informed the Court that Silar would have much preferred that the Direct Lenders obtained a liquidity event and repaid the loan (a statement which the Court finds credible and true). However, despite what this Court finds to be the best efforts of the TDI Representatives and Oak Shores SPE, the Direct Lenders have not been able to obtain any liquidity events or even reach any agreements with third parties which would reasonably lead to a liquidity event. Silar Lender has stated that, under these circumstances, it has brought the Motion with a "reluctant resolve" to be able to receive title to the Collateral Property and close out the PAL "chapter" of the global settlement.

57. Finding good cause to exist for granting the Motion, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion and renders relief under the terms set forth in Section III below.

III.

THE MOTION IS GRANTED AND THE COLLATERAL PROPERTY SHALL BE SOLD BY AUCTION ON SEPTEMBER 21, 2020 UNLESS SILAR IS PAID THE BUY-OUT AMOUNT BY SEPTEMBER 1, 2020

58. As of June 30, 2020, the following amounts were due and/or continued to accrue on the Oak Shores PAL loan: (a) \$1,062,377.39 of principal; (b) \$532,154.38 of accrued interest (with interest continuing to accrue at the default rate); (c) the Four Percent Amount; and (d) \$50,000 of reasonable attorney's fees and costs (which continue to accrue). These amounts (with accruals) are referred to herein as the "Total Due."

59. The Lien granted in the Oak Shores II PAL Order shall extend to all sums constituting the Total Due and such sums shall be included in the phrase "all sums due in connection with the Protective Advance Loan" for all purposes whenever such phrase appears in either of the Two PAL Orders. All other terms of the Oak Shores II PAL Loan approved by the Oak Shores II PAL Order and First Supplemental Order remain the same, except as set forth in this Order.

60. If Silar Lender were to exercise the remedies it is fully entitled to exercise under the parties' December Agreement and/or this Court's Two PAL Orders-including obtaining an order from this Court directing the Oak Shores SPE to deliver to Silar a deed for the Collateral Property-the interests of the Direct Lenders in their Oak Shores loan investments would be totally lost.

61. However, Silar Lender has agreed to refrain from exercising its remedies in order to provide the Direct Lenders still more time to attempt to save their investments, as set forth throughout this Order, including without limitation this Section III (the "Agreed Terms"). Ms. Cangelosi has reviewed and approved the terms of this Order as TDI Representative on behalf of the Direct Lenders and Oak Shores SPE. The terms of this Order have also been reviewed and agreed to by the Trustee, through his counsel.

- 62. In exchange for Silar Lender's agreement to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies at this time, TDI Representative (on behalf of the Oak Shores DL's and Oak Shores SPE) and the Trustee (on behalf of the Estate) agree–and this Court orders–the following:
 - A. The Collateral Property shall be sold in an auction under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code to be held on September 21, 2020 at 10:00a.m. At Reno (the "Sale Hearing Date").
 - B. The auction sale shall be consistent with the terms of this Order and shall be conducted under at least the following terms:
 - (i) The sale shall be made "as is, where is," free and clear of all liens and encumbrances (except property taxes). The sale shall include title to the Collateral Property and all development and other rights, title, and interests relating thereto. The Lien in favor of Silar Lender against the Collateral Property shall be terminated upon a sale of the Collateral Property, but shall remain in effect against the gross sale proceeds and the Total Sum Due at the time of the sale shall be paid out of such proceeds (without any set-offs or deductions);
 - (ii) Unless the successful bidder is a credit bid by Silar Lender or its designee,the sale price must be paid in full, in immediately-available funds to the

Trustee in trust for Silar Lender and the DLs no later than twentyfour hours from the date and time the auction is concluded. Such funds shall not constitute property of the Estate and shall be earmarked as Oak Shores PAL loan collections (and subject to the Silar Lender Lien). Within

twenty-four hours of receipt, the Trustee shall deliver by check or otherwise such funds as follows: (1) first, up to the then Total Amount Due to Silar Lender, until paid in full; and (2) then the balance to the TDI Representatives on behalf of Oak Shores SPE and the Direct Lenders;

- (iii) Silar Lender or its designee may credit bid up to the Total Amount Due (calculated as of the day before the auction) and need not be pre-qualified by the Trustee as a bidder;
- (iv) All potential bidders other than Silar Lender or its designee shall be prequalified by the Trustee as *bona fide* bidders with the demonstrated financial capability to pay a successful bid of at least \$1,600,000 in cash on the day of the auction;
- (v) All otherwise qualified bidders (other than Silar Lender or its designee) shall make a cash deposit of \$160,000 in escrow to the Trustee (which shall be returned in the event the bidder is not the winning bidder and, if the bidder is the winning bidder, either applied to the purchase price if the bidder closes on the purchase or held as liquidated damages in the event the winning bidder does not close by paying the full sale price within 24 hours of the auction). In the event the Trustee receives liquidated damages from a bidder, such funds shall be immediately paid one-third each to the Estate, to the TDI Representative for the benefit of the DLs, and to Silar Lender as liquidated damages.
 - (vi) In the event Silar Lender or its designee is the successful bidder: (a) they shall pay the Estate's reasonable legal fees for the 363 motion and procedure (in an amount to be approved by the Court); and (b) they may

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

retain one or more Direct Lenders (including without limitation one or more of the present TDI Representatives) as consultants or otherwise with respect to the Collateral Property.

(vii) The sale of the Collateral Property shall, at the option of the purchaser, include without limitation all rights, titles, and interests and applications: (a) to develop the Collateral Property; (b) in and to public improvement plans for subdivision(s) of or for the Collateral Property; (c) in and to all plans, drawings, special or general conditions, specifications, addenda and modifications relating directly or indirectly to the Collateral Property; (d) in and to on-site improvements on the Collateral Property; (e) in and to all leases, rents, and other proceeds applicable to the Collateral Property; (f) in and to all rights for reimbursement of expenses and/or performance bonds and proceeds (including without limitation bonds issued by International Fidelity Insurance Company as surety and generally known as "Marina Bond," "Lakeview Bond," and "Access Bond") and all rights under any agreements with the County of San Luis Obispo, State of California; and (g) in and to all tangible and intangible rights and property received by the SPE through the Trustee Deed and operation of law when it foreclosed on the Collateral Property. To the extent any of the foregoing rights, title, or interests are opted to be accepted by Purchaser but are not directly assignable, the SPE, its managers and members, and the TDI Representatives are hereby directed to cooperate with and assist Purchaser and/or Purchaser's assignee and to act as Purchaser's agent (or the agent of Purchaser's assignee) so that Purchaser or its assignee receives the full

benefit of the rights, titles, and interests which are the subject of the sale under this Order. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Order, neither Purchaser nor its assignee shall be deemed to have assumed or be obligated to assume any liability or obligation relating to the Collateral Property which is not expressly assumed in writing by the Purchaser or its assignee.

- C. Within ten days from the execution of this Order by the parties or their counsel,
 TDI Representative Cangelosi shall wire to Silar Lender or its designee all cash
 being held from the funding of the PAL loan for improvements of the Collateral
 Property after accounting for expenses (the "Set Aside Funds"). When received
 the Set Aside Funds shall be applied to reduce the Total Amount Due.
- D. Oak Shores TDI Representatives and Oak Shores SPE acknowledge and this
 Court confirms that they have not relied upon Francis B. Majorie or the Majorie
 Firm Ltd. for any advice concerning the transactions governed by this Order or
 the terms or provisions of this Order, that Majorie solely represents Silar Lender,
 and they have consulted their own legal counsel in connection herewith.
- E. There are no offsets, defenses, recoupments, claims, counterclaims, or Causes of Action (as defined in the Two PAL Orders) which can be asserted against the Estate and/or the Silar Lender in connection with the Two PAL Orders or the Oak Shores II Loan and, in any event, any such offsets, defenses, recoupments, claims, counterclaims, or Causes of Action are waived.

63. The Court has independently reviewed the Agreed Terms.

64. The Court finds that the Agreed Terms are the result of arms-length negotiating and not collusion, are proposed in good faith, and have not been reached as a result of any duress or coercion by Silar Lender. 65. The Court further finds that Silar Lender is extending credit in good faith and is therefore entitled to and is hereby granted all of the protection provided to an entity that extends credit in good faith under Section 364(e) of the Bankruptcy Code, including without limitation that the Silar Lender's rights and protections provided by this Order will not be affected by any subsequent reversal, modification, or amendment of this Order, or by any other order, and shall remain subject to the protections afforded under Section 364(e) of the Bankruptcy Code.

66. The Court further finds that Silar Lender is not an insider or affiliate of either Oak Shores SPE, the Estate, or any of the Oak Shores DLs and the terms of this Order will not render Silar Lender to be an insider or affiliate.

67. The Court also rules that, pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 645B.015(6) and 645B.016 and other applicable law and to the fullest extent necessary or appropriate to effect the transactions approved in this Order, Silar Lender, the Trustee, any Oak Shores II DL, and any appointed TDI Representative acting under such 51% vote, and their respective successor and assigns are, from and after the date hereof, exempt from and shall not: (a) be required to obtain separate written powers of attorney from each or any of the Oak Shores II DLs with respect to implementation of any terms of this Order; (b) be deemed to be "mortgage brokers," "mortgage agents," "mortgage bankers," "escrow agents," or "loan servicers" under Nevada law with respect to the Oak Shores II Loan or the implementation of any terms of this Order; and/or (c) be required to be licensed by the State of Nevada, or required to hold powers of attorney under Nevada law with respect to the Oak Shores II Loan or the Transaction or the implementation of any terms of this Order.

68. The Court finds that the Agreed Terms are fair and reasonable under all of the circumstances, provide the DLs more than reasonably equivalent value in light of the circumstances, and represent new value to the DLs.

69. The Court further finds that the terms and conditions of this Order provide the Oak Shores DLs a prospect for recovery which they would not otherwise have under the conditions in which the Oak Shores SPE, the Estate and the direct lenders presently find themselves. Without a forbearance, they would likely lose the property due to an inability to

1

repay the defaulted Oak Shores PAL Loan or would be unable to sell the property for sufficient proceeds to pay off the debt and still receive a dividend (owing in large measure to the state of affairs concerning telephone line requirements and the need to obtain other approvals for subdividing and selling or developing the property). None of these circumstances were created or caused by Silar Lender. To the contrary, with the original PAL Loan and two extensions of time in the First Supplemental Order and this Order, the direct lenders have been given an extended opportunity to attempt to address the development hurdles of the property. The original Oak Shores II PAL Loan was for a term of forty-two months; it has now been outstanding for sixty-five months; and, if all of the forbearance extensions are applied, it will have remained outstanding for eighty months (*i.e.*, *nine years and eight months*). The exchange of consideration set forth in the terms of this Order therefore represents new value to the Oak Shores SPE and direct lenders and a reasonably equivalent exchange of value (in fact, a lopsided exchange of value in favor of the Oak Shores SPE, Estate, and DLs). Silar Lender therefore shall not be compelled to surrender any payments or proceeds received pursuant to the terms of the Two PAL Orders and this Order as a preference, a fraudulent transfer, a recoupment or setoff, or for any other reason in any proceeding.

70. Silar Lender shall have the absolute right to transfer any or all of its rights and remedies in whole or in part under the Two PAL Orders or this Order to any entity it chooses (provided such entity agrees in writing to abide by the terms of the Two PAL Orders and this Order and such assignment shall relieve Silar Lender of any obligations thereunder with respect to the matters being assigned.

71. In addition, this Order confirms, under section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code and other applicable law, that the TDI Representatives are authorized to execute any such filings contemplated by the Two PAL Orders or this Order, jointly and severally on behalf of Oak Shores SPE and/or on behalf of any and all Oak Shores II DLs as non-recourse grantors and/or debtors of the Lien and Oak Shores PAL Loan.

1

2

IV.

RETENTION OF CONTINUING EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION

72. This Court hereby declares that it hereby asserts and maintains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction: (a) to apply and/or interpret the Two PAL Orders or this Order and any matter which arises under or relate to this Order; or (b) to implement or determine the effect of any or all of the transactions, payments, and transfers governed by this Order ("Order-Related Matters").

73. The Court hereby specifically orders and declares that, in the event any proceeding is filed in a state court or federal district or bankruptcy court which requires interpretation or application of this Order or involves any other Order-Related Matters, such action or other proceeding (including a bankruptcy proceeding) shall be commenced in this Court or transferred to this Court as: (a) a related to proceeding with respect to the above-referenced Asset Resolution and related bankruptcy cases; and/or (b) as a federal question case or controversy to interpret and enforce this Court's orders and to protect this Court's jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

###

[Agreement as to Form and Substance Signatures on the Following Page]

	Case 09-32824-rcj	Doc 3643	Entered 09/18/20 11:55:36	Page 25 of 37
1	AGREED AS TO FORM AN	ND SUBSTA	NCE:	
2	Dated: September 17, 2020		DONNA CANGELOSI,	
3	-		TDI REPRESENTATIVE	
4			Den // Denne Come desi	
5			By: <u>/s/ Donna Cangelosi</u> Donna Cangelosi	-
6			Solely In Her Capacity As TD	OI Representative Of
7			All The Direct Lenders In The (Except The Estate) And Not	
8	Dated: September 17, 2020		OAK SHORES SPE, LLC,	
9			A Nevada Limited Liability	Company
10 11			By: <u>/s/ Donna Cangelosi</u> Donna Cangelosi,	-
11			Solely In Her Capacity As M	Ianager of Oak Shores
12			SPE, LLC And Not Individua	-
13			WILLIAM A. LEONARD, 7	Trustee
15	Dated: September 17, 2020		By: <u>/s/ William A. Leonard</u>	
16	AGREED AS TO FORM:			
17	Dated: September 17, 2020		SULLIVAN HILL REZ &EN	IGEL
18			A Professional Law Corporati	ion
19			By: <u>/s/ Jonathan S. Dabbieri</u> Jonathan S. Dabbieri	-
20			Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trust	
21			WILLIAM A. LEONARD, JF	ξ.
22	Dated: September 17, 2020		THE MAJORIE FIRM LTD.	
23			By: <u>/s/ Francis B. Majorie</u>	
24			Francis B. Majorie	D
25			Attorneys for SILAR LENDE	ΓK
26			###	
27				
28				
			Page 22 of 23	

1	LOCAL RULE 9021 DECLARATION		
2	In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order		
3	accurately reflects the Court's ruling and that:		
4	\Box The court has waived the requirement set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).		
5	☐ No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.		
6	I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the		
7	hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or		
8	disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the		
9	party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:		
10	\boxtimes I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this		
11	order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the form or		
12	content of the order.		
13	Dated this 17th day of September 2020.		
14	SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL A Professional Law Corporation		
15	By: <u>/s/ Jonathan S. Dabbieri</u>		
16	James P. Hill Jonathan S. Dabbieri		
17	Elizabeth E. Stephens Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee,		
18	William A. Leonard, Jr.		
19			
20			
21			
22	###		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered 09/	/18/20 11:55:36 Page 27 of 37	
1 2 3 4 5	SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL A Professional Law Corporation James P. Hill, CA SBN 90478 (Pro Hac Vice) Jonathan S. Dabbieri, CA SBN 91963 (Pro Hac Vice) Elizabeth E. Stephens, NV SBN 5788 228 South Fourth Street, First Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 382-6440 Fax Number: (702) 384-9102		
6 7	Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee, William A. Leonard, Jr.		
8	UNITED STATES BAN	KRUPTCY COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF	FNEVADA	
10	In re	CASE NO. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ (Lead Case)	
11	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC,	Jointly Administered with Case Nos.: BK-S-09-32831-RCJ; BK-S-09-32839-RCJ;	
12	Debtor.	BK-S-09-32843-RCJ; BK-S-09-32844-RCJ; BK-S-09-32846-RCJ; BK-S-09-32849-RCJ;	
13		BK-S-09-32851-RCJ; BK-S-09-32853-RCJ; BK-S-09-32868-RCJ; BK-S-09-32873-RCJ;	
14 15		BK-S-09-32875-RCJ; BK-S-09-32878-RCJ; BK-S-09-32880-RCJ; BK-S-09-32882-RCJ	
16	Affects:	Chapter 7	
10	All Debtors	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
 18 19 20 21 22 22 	 Asset Resolution, LLC, 09-32824 Bundy 2.5 Million SPE, LLC, 09-32831 Bundy Five Million SPE, LLC, 09-32839 CFP Anchor B SPE, LLC, 09-32843 CFP Cornman Toltec SPE, LLC, 09-32844 CFP Gess SPE LLC, 09-32846 CFP Gramercy SPE, LLC, 09-32849 Fiesta Stoneridge, LLC, 09-32851 Fox Hills SPE, LLC, 09-32853 HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, 09-32868 		
23 24	Huntsville SPE LLC, 09-32873 Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, 09-32875	Judge: Hon. Robert C. Jones	
24 25	Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, 09-32878		
26	 10-90 SPE, LLC, 09-32882 Bundy Canyon Land Development, LLC, 13- 		
27	13491		
28			
	413671-v1		

1	I am employed in San Diego County. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action.		
2	My business address is 600 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California 92101. On September 18,		
3	2020, I served the foregoing document(s), described as:		
4	• NOTICE OF UPLOADING AGREED ORDER GRANTING SILAR ADVISORS LP'S MOTION FOR RELIEF CONCERNING OAK SHORES II PAL LOAN [Dkt. 3502]		
5	by placing \Box the original \boxtimes a true copy thereof, by the following means to the persons as		
6	listed below:		
7	ECF SYSTEM On September 18, 2020, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the		
8	Electronic Mail Notice List to receive ECF transmission at the email address(es) indicated		
9	below:		
10	 MICHELLE L. ABRAMS michelle@clearcounsel.com RYAN A. ANDERSEN ryan@vegaslawfirm.legal, 		
11	• KTAN A. ANDEKSEN Tyan@vegasiawinin.legal, tatiana@vegaslawfirm.legal;charlai@vegaslawfirm.legal;ecf-		
12	 df8b00a4597e@ecf.pacerpro.com;notices@nextchapterbk.com RALPH ASCHER Ralphascher@aol.com 		
13	KAREN M. AYARBE kayarbe@lkglawfirm.com,		
13	 clamia@lkglawfirm.com;gakltd@kernltd.com TODD L. BICE lit@pisanellibice.com, zs@pisanellibice.com 		
	JAMES M. BRAKO		
15	 KELLY J. BRINKMAN kbrinkman@gooldpatterson.com, trovere@gooldpatterson.com MICHAEL J. BROCK mbrock@maybrocklaw.com, para02@maybrocklaw.com 		
16	OGONNA M. BROWN OBrown@lrrc.com, KPimentel@lrrc.com,ogonna-brown-		
17	4984@ecf.pacerpro.comLOUIS M. BUBALA lbubala@kcnvlaw.com,		
18	 mmarsh@kcnvlaw.com;cbrimm@kcnvlaw.com Jason J. Bach aloraditch@bachlawfirm.com;sandra.herbstreit@bachlawfirm.com 		
19	 JANET L. CHUBB lbubala@kcnvlaw.com, 		
20	mmarsh@kcnvlaw.com;cbyrne@kcnvlaw.comDAVID A. COLVIN dcolvin@lvpaiute.com		
21	• TIMOTHY S. CORY tcory@crdslaw.com, creade@crdslaw.com		
	• JONATHAN S. DABBIERI dabbieri@sullivanhill.com, hill@sullivanhill.com;hawkins@sullivanhill.com;millerick@sullivanhill.com;bkstaff@sulliv		
22	anhill.com;dabbieri@ecf.inforuptcy.com		
23	 CRAIG S. DUNLAP cdunlap@cdunlaplaw.com, cdunlap7@gmail.com FORD ELSAESSER ford@eaidaho.com 		
24	• EPIQ CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING, LLC (sg) Pacerteam@choosegcg.com,		
25	rjacobs@ecf.epiqsystems.comSEAN P FLANAGAN sean@flanaganltd.com		
26	JOSEPH P. GARIN		
27	snutt@lipsonneilson.com;kleaver@lipsonneilson.com;aochoa@lipsonneilson.com;jcerezo@l ipsonneilson.com		
28	GREGORY E GARMAN ggarman@gtg.legal, bknotices@gtg.legal		

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 29 of 37
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	 DOUGLAS D. GERRARD DGERRARD@GERRARD-COX.COM;kjohnson@Gerrard-cox.com;medellin@gerrard-cox.com, KGonzales@Gerrard-Cox.com;KJohnson@Gerrard-Cox.com;KJohnson@Gerrard-Cox.com;Meldelli@Gerrard-Cox.com;NHelnderson@Gerrard-Cox.com;milne@gerrard-cox.com TALITHA B. GRAY KOZLOWSKI tgray@gtglegal, bknotices@gtglegal JAMES D. GREENE jgreene@greeneinfusolaw.com, fritchie@greeneinfusolaw.com, fritchie@greeneinfusolaw.com, fritchie@greeneinfusolaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.comjsetner@goldguylaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.competerc@goldguylaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.competerc@goldguylaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.competerc@goldguylaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.comjsetner@goldguylaw.com, selenav@goldguylaw.com;techesk@glaw.com, twitidock@gtlaw.com;fintza@gtlaw.com DANIEL T HAYWARD dhayward@lasalt-nomura.com, kmartin@lasalt-nomura.com KARA B. HENDRICKS hendricksk@glaw.com, twitidock@gtlaw.com;fintza@gtlaw.com JEFFERY D. HERMANN jherman@orrick.com, gwilley@orrick.com JEFFERY D. HERMANN jherman@orrick.com, gwilley@orrick.com MICHAEL W. HIL mill@shlaw.com, hill@sullivanhill.com MICHAEL W. HIL mill@shlaw.com, hill@sullivanhill.com MICHAEL W. HIL Melani@MelaniHill.aw.com, dell@cox.net RICHARD F. HOLLEY molley@nevadafirm.com;agandara@nevadafirm.com;mlangsn er@nevadafirm.com;oswibies@nevadafirm.com;agandara@nevadafirm.com;mlangsn er@nevadafirm.com;oswibies@nevadafirm.com; agastadigwadw.com;fifula@glaw.com, ckishi@khevada.com;hanutegwadafig.gov;maritas.recinto@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;anita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;maritas.recinto@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita covarribas@usdoj.gov;sanita.tmules@usdoj.gov;marita.com; gaktad@kernltd.com;fxayarbe@lkglawfirm.com;s
	413671-v1

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 30 of 37
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 JAMES J LEE james@leelitigate.com LEE COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR (cs) cathys@leetc.com WILLIAM A. LEONARD Trustee@bktte.com, wal@trustesolutions.net ANNE M. LORADITCH aloraditch@bachlawfirm.com, sandra.herbstreit@bachlawfirm.com;jbach@bachlawfirm.com MONA LYMAN BURTON monaburton@msn.com FRANCIS B. MAJORIE fbmajorie@themajoriefirm.com, ECFNoticesTheMajorieFirm@gmail.com PATRICIA A. MARR patricia@martlawlv.com, gina@martlawlv.com SHAWN W MILLER smiller@hainesandkrieger.com, dkrieger@kriegerlawgroup.com;graham@kriegerlawgroup.com ROBERT M MILLIMET RRM@bickelbrewer.com ROYI MOAS rmoas@wrslawyers.com, mshield@wrslawyers.com JOHN A MOE john.moe@dentons.com STEPHEN MOLLATH joan@prezantmollath.com ERVEN T. NELSON erv@hyperionlegal.com VICTORIA L NELSON vnelson@nelsonhoumand.com BOB L. OLSON bolson@swlaw.com;math@swlaw.com;jstevenson@swlaw.com;nka
12	nute@swlaw.com RONAK N PATEL DRESPARZA@RIVCO.ORG
13	 JEFFERY D PATTERSON jdp@gooldpatterson.com JON T PEARSON jpearson@gelaw.com
14	J. STEPHEN PEEK speek@hollandhart.com,
15	vlarsen@hollandhart.com,krcole@hollandhart.com
16	 CRAIG E. POWER cpower@cbylaw.com, msegura@cbylaw.com DENNIS M. PRINCE eservice@egletlaw.com, aebinger@thedplg.com
17	LISA A. RASMUSSEN lisa@lrasmussenlaw.com,
	 secretary@lrasmussenlaw.com;alex@lrasmussenlaw.com MICHAEL D. RAWLINS mrawlins@smithshapiro.com, jbidwell@smithshapiro.com
18	CHRISTINE A ROBERTS Christine@crobertslaw.net,
19	 Christine@crobertslaw.net;lynn@crobertslaw.net KEVIN S. ROSEN krosen@gibsondunn.com
20	• JOHN M. SAMBERG jsamberg@wrslawyers.com, efilingjms@wrslawyers.com
21	 SEAN C. SOUTHARD ssouthard@klestadt.com ELIZABETH E. STEPHENS stephens@sullivanhill.com,
22	rudolph@sullivanhill.com;hill@sullivanhill.com;dabbieri@sullivanhill.com;bkstaff@sullivanhill.com;stephens@ecf.courtdrive.com;Hawkins@sullivanhill.com
23	BRADLEY J. STEVENS bstevens@jsslaw.com, lbourland@jsslaw.com
24	 CINDY LEE STOCK cindy@clstock.com ERIC W. SWANIS swanise@gtlaw.com, lvlitdock@gtlaw.com
25	AMY N. TIRRE amy@amytirrelaw.com, admin@amytirrelaw.com
	 U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 7 USTPRegion17.LV.ECF@usdoj.gov MARTIN L. WELSH mwelsh@lvlaw.com,
26	k.bratton@hayesandwelsh.onmicrosoft.com;nv.ecf@aislaw.com
27	PATRICIA WILSON HADFIELD patriciah@bankruptcyLG.com, reno@bankruptcylg.com;chadj@bankruptcylg.com;notices@nextchapterbk.com
28	KATHERINE M. WINDLER kwindler@verizon.net

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 31 of 37		
1 2 3 4	 RYAN J. WORKS rworks@mcdonaldcarano.com, kkirn@mcdonaldcarano.com;bgrubb@mcdonaldcarano.com FRANK J. WRIGHT ecfbankruptcy@fjwright.law, frank@fjwright.law;imelda@fjwright.law UNITED STATES MAIL, postage fully prepaid: 		
5 6	Hon. Robert C. JonesUnited States Trustees OfficeUnited States District Court - Reno300 Las Vegas Boulevard, S,400 S. Virginia St.Room 4300Reno, NV 89501Las Vegas, NV 89101No Courtesy Copy/COVID-19 OrderOn ECF/No Courtesy Copy Sent		
7 8 9	BY DIRECT E-MAIL (as opposed to through the ECF System) (List persons and email		
	<i>addresses. Attach additional paper if necessary.</i>) Based upon the written agreement of the parties to accept service by email or a court order, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the		
10 11	persons at the email addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.		
12	**SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST**		
13	I declare under repetty of regivery that the foregoing is true and correct. Everyted on		
14	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 18, 2020 at San Diego, California.		
15	/s/ Linda Gubba-Reiner		
16	Linda Gubba-Reiner		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	413671-v1		

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entere	ed 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 32 of 37			
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ				
1	SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL				
1	Tracy L. Klestadt Sean C. Southard	Randolph L. Howard			
2	Joseph C. Corneau	Natalie Cox			
3	Ian R. Winters Klestadt & Winters, LLP	KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD 400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400			
4	570 Seventh Avenue, 17th Floor	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145			
	New York, NY 10018 tklestadt@klestadt.com; ssouthard@klestadt.com	<u>rhoward@klnevada.com</u> Local Counsel for Debtors			
5	<pre>iwinters@klestadt.com; jcorneau@klestadt.com</pre>	ECF			
6	General Counsel for Debtors ECF	NOT SERVED (per Order on 7/9/2013)			
7					
8	Katherine Windler BRYAN CAVE, LLP	Henry P Baer			
	120 Broadway, Suite 300	LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 885 Third Avenue			
9	Santa Monica, CA 90401-2386 katherine.windler@bryancave.com	New York, NY 10022			
10	Special Counsel for Debtors	henry.baer@lw.com			
11	ECF Undeliverable	Counsel for Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions Undeliverable			
	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)			
12					
13	Francis B. Majorie	Melanie A. Hill, Esq.			
14	THE MAJORIE FIRM, LTD 3514 Cedar Springs Road	LAW OFFICE OF MELANIE HILL			
15	Dallas, TX 75219	9345 W. Sunset Road, Suite 100			
	fbmajorie@themajoriefirm.com	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Melanie@MelanieHillLaw.com			
16	<u>AGottlieb@themajoriefirm.com</u> Counsel for Interested Party Silar Advisors, LP	Local Counsel for Silar Advisors, L.P. and Silar Special			
17	and Silar Special Opportunities Fund, LP	Opportunities Fund, L.P. ECF			
18	ECF				
19	William A. Brewer III	Robert M. Millimet			
	Luke McGrath	Michael J. Collins BICKEL & BREWER			
20	Alexander D. Widell BICKEL & BREWER	4800 Bank One Center			
21	767 Fifth Avenue, 50th Floor	1717 Main Street Dallas, Texas 75201			
22	New York, New York 101533	mjc@bickelbrewer.com			
	wab@bickelbrewer.com Counsel for Certain Direct Lenders	rrm@bickelbrewer.com			
23	Request for Special Notice	Counsel for Certain Direct Lenders Request for Special Notice & ECF			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1 of 6 344168-v1			
		Current as of September 18, 2020			

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Enter	ed 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 33 of 37			
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ				
	SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL				
1	Janet L. Chubb	Bradley J. Stevens			
2	Louis M. Bubala, III KAEMPFER CROWEL	JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, PLC			
3	50 W. Liberty Street, Ste. 700	201 East Washington Street, 11 Floor Phoenix, AZ 85004-2385			
4	Reno, NV 89501 jchubb@kcnvlaw.com	bstevens@jsslaw.com			
	<u>lbubala@akcnvlaw.com</u>	Counsel for Jennings, Strouss & Salmon Request for Special Notice			
5	Counsel for the Kehl Family & Local Counsel for Certain Direct Lenders	Undeliverable			
6	Request for Special Notice & ECF	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)			
7					
0	Kirk Brett DUVAL & STACHENFELD LLP	James D. Greene GREENE INFUSO, LLP			
8	101 Park Avenue, 11th Floor	3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 700			
9	New York, NY 10178	Las Vegas, NV 89169			
10	<u>kbrett@dsllp.com</u> Counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured	jgreene@greeneinfusolaw.com Local Counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured			
	Creditors	Creditors			
11	Request for Special Notice	ECF			
12	Anthony Vassallo				
13	Tal Sapeika				
14	Jeff Stein THE GARDEN CITY GROUP, INC.	Robert W. Ulm			
14	105 Maxess Rd.	414 Morning Glory Road			
15	Melville, NY 11747-3836	St. Mary's, GA 31558 robert.w.ulm@gmail.com			
16	Angela.ferrante@gcginc.com Request for Special Nation	Request for Special Notice			
	Request for Special Notice Undeliverable				
17	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)				
18	Craig E. Power				
10	Lisa M. Norman COKINOS, BOSIEN & YOUNG				
19	Four Houston Center	Jason Medley			
20	1221 Lamar, 16th Floor	Jason.Medley@leclairryan.com			
21	Houston, Texas 77010 cpower@cbylaw.com	Local Counsel for G.T. Leach Builders, LLC			
	Counsel for G.T. Leach Builders, LLC				
22	Request for Special Notice & ECF				
23	Barry Glaser	Jeffrey D. Patterson			
24	STECKBAUER WEINHART LLP 333 S. Hope Street, 36th Floor	Kelly J. Brinkman GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY			
	Los Angeles, California 90071	1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140			
25	bglaser@swesq.com	Las Vegas, NV 89134			
26	Counsel for County of Los Angeles Tax Collector Request for Special Notice	jpatterson@gooldpatterson.com kbrinkman@gooldpatterson.com			
27	Undeliverable	Local Counsel for County of Los Angeles Tax Collector			
	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)	Request for Special Notice & ECF			
28					

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered	09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 34 of 37			
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ				
1	SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL				
1 2 3 4 5	Lisa A. Rasmussen LAW OFFICE OF LISA RASMUSSEN, ESQ. 616 South 8th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 <u>Lisa@LRasmussenLaw.com</u> Counsel for Donna Cangelosi, et al. Request for Special Notice & ECF	Scott August SIERRA LIQUIDITY FUND, LLC 2699 White Road, Ste. 255 Irvine, CA 92614 <u>saugust@sierrafunds.com</u> <i>Request for Special Notice</i>			
6 7 8 9 10 11	Gayle A. Kern GAYLE A. KERN, LTD. 5421 Kietzke Lane, Suite 200 Reno, Nevada 89511 gaylekern@kernltd.com Counsel for Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton Request for Special Notice & ECF	Victor Alan Perry PERRY, SPANN & WESTBROOK, APC 1701 W. Charleston Blvd. Ste. 200 Las Vegas, NV 89102 <u>vperry@perryspann.com</u> Local Counsel for Michael Clevenger Undeliverable (no forwarding address provided to Trustee)			
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Rob Charles LEWIS AND ROCA LLP One South Church Avenue, Suite 700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1611 <u>RCharles@LRLaw.com</u> Counsel for USACM Liquidating Trust Request for Special Notice & ECF Joshua I. Divack HAHN & HESSEN LLP 488 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022 jdivack@hahnhessen.com Counsel for SMOF-A, LLC Request for Special Notice	John P Dillman LINEBARGER GOGGAN BLAIR & SAMPSON P.O. BOX 3064 Houston, TX 77253 Houston bankruptcy@publicans.com Counsel for Harris County Request for Special Notice Frank J. Wright Wright Ginsberg Brusilow P.C. 14755 Preston Road, Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75254 bankruptcy@wgblawfirm.com Counsel for Hall Phoenix/Inwood Ltd. and Hall Financial Group, Ltd. Request for Special Notice Undeliverable (no forwarding address provided to Trustee)			
 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Lorne R. Polger <u>lploger@pathfinderfunds.com</u> Counsel for CCM Pathfinder Gramercy, LLC	Robert Leeds <u>Rleeds@silarcapital.com</u> Donna Cangelosi <u>dcangelosi@gmail.com</u> Gordon Miller <u>gimtwo@comcast.net</u>			

3 of 6 344168-v1 Current as of September 18, 2020

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entere	ed 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 35 of 37			
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ				
1	SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL				
2	X7' / X7'1 1				
3	Victor Vilaplana Matthew J. Riopelle FOLEY & LARDNER	Victoria L. Nelson, Esq. SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON			
4	402 W. Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101	400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
5	vavilaplana@foley.com Counsel for CCM Pathfinder Pompano Bay	vnelson@nelsonhoumand.com Local Counsel for CCM Pathfinder Pompano Bay			
6	Request for Special Notice	ECF			
7					
8 9	Timothy W. Walsh Jason Karaffa	Timothy S. Cory Law Offices of Timothy S. Cory & Associates 8831 W. Sahara Avenue			
10	DLA PIPER LLP (US) 1251 Avenue of the Americas	Las Vegas, NV 89102 tim.cory@corylaw.us			
11	New York, New York 10020-1104 <u>Thomas.Califano@dlapiper.com</u>	Local Counsel for Klestadt & Winters, LLP and Tracy L. Klestadt			
12	Counsel for Klestadt & Winters, LLP and Tracy L. Klestadt	ECF Undeliverable			
13	Request for Special Notice & ECF	(no forwarding address provided to Trustee)			
14					
15	Dean T. Kirby, Jr. KIRBY & MCGUINN	Eric Swanis			
16	600 B Street, Suite 1950 San Diego, CA 92101	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400			
17	dkirby@kirbymac.com Counsel for Debt Acquisition Company of	Las Vegas, NV 89169 swanise@gtlaw.com			
18	America, LLC ECF	Interested Party ECF			
19					
20	J. Stephen Peek Bryce K. Kunimoto				
21	Lars K. Evensen HOLLAND & HART LLP	Michael R. Bakst Marla B. Neufeld			
22 23	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 10th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169	RUDEN MCCLOSKY, P.A. 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 800			
23 24	speek@hollandhart.com bkunimoto@hollandhart.com	West Palm Beach, FL 33401 <u>michael.bakst@ruden.com</u>			
25	lkevensen@hollandhart.com Counsel for Bryan Cave LLC and	<u>marla.neufeld@ruden.com</u> Request for Special Notice			
26	Katherine Windler Request for Special Notice & ECF				
27					
28					

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entere	ed 09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 36 of 37			
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC,	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ			
1	SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL				
1					
2		Jeffrey R. Sylvester			
3		SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK 7371 Prairie Falcon Road, Suite 120			
4		Las Vegas, NV 89128 jeff@sylvesterpolednak.com			
6		Local Counsel for Sullivan & Worcester, LLP			
7					
8					
9	Dean C. Waldt	David A. Barksdale Jon Pearson			
10	BALLARD SPAHR LLP Plaza 1000 - Suite 500 Main Street	BALLARD SPAHR LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750			
11	Voorhees, NJ 08043-4636 waldtd@ballardspahr.com	Las Vegas, NY 89106-4617 barksdaled@ballardspahr.com			
12	Counsel for Carallas Holdings, Inc. Request for Special Notice	pearsonj@ballardspahr.com Local Counsel for Carallas Holdings, Inc. Request for Special Notice			
13		Kequesi for Special Nouce			
14	Ryan J. Works, Esq. McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP				
15	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	Liane K. Wakayama, Esq. MARQUIS & AURBACH			
16	<u>kthomas@mcdonaldcarano.com</u> Counsel for Alliance Realty Partners, LLC	10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145			
17	AND Counsel for Defendants Parke S. Teal,	Email: <u>info@maclaw.com</u> Counsel for Tom Grimmett, as Receiver in Related U.S.			
18	Richard K. Basso, and Mark Collins in Adversary Case No. 11-01080-RCJ	District Court Case Request for Special Notice			
19 20	ECF & Request for Special Notice				
20 21					
21	Richard C. Greenberg Michael J. Weinberger				
22	GREENBERG, WHITCOMBE & TAKEUCHI, LLP 21515 Hawthorne Blvd., Ste.450				
24	Torrance, CA 90503 rgreenberg@gwtllp.com				
25	<u>mweinberger@gwtllp.com</u> Counsel for Commercial Mortgage Managers, Inc.				
26					
27					
28					
		5 of 6 344168-v1 Current as of September 18, 2020			

	Case 09-32824-rcj Doc 3643 Entered	09/18/20 11:55:36 Page 37 of 37	
	ASSET RESOLUTION, LLC, et al., Lead Case No. 09-32824-RCJ SERVICE LIST VIA DIRECT EMAIL		
1			
2			
3	McAlan Duncan Cory Emerson	Robert R. Kinas Claire Y. Dossier	
4	CROSS FLS 16475 North Dallas, Parkway, Suite 550	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100	
5	Addison, TX 75001 mduncan@crossfls.com	Las Vegas, NV 89169 <u>rkinas@swlaw.com</u>	
6	cemerson@crossfls.com	Counsel for USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC	
7			
8			
9	Jeffery D. Hermann	Julie E. Oelsner, Esq.	
10	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000	400 Capitol Mall, 11 th Floor	
11	Sacramento, CA 95814-4497 jhermann@orrick.com	Sacramento, CA 95814 joelsner@weintraub.com	
12	Counsel for USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC	Counsel for Michael Clevenger	
13			
14			
15	Terrance Polen, Trustee	Robert Leeds <u>Rleeds@silarcapital.com</u>	
16	3502 S. Morgan Street Seattle, WA 98118		
17	tjpolen@gmail.com Trustee for the Pole Family Trust	Donna Cangelosi dcangelosi@gmail.com	
18	Removed from mail service as requested by email dated April 1, 2020	Gordon Miller	
19		gimtwo@comcast.net	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		6 of 6 344168-v1 Current as of September 18, 2020	