## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	) Chapter 11
In re:	)
	) Case No. 20-12088 (MFW)
MEA RemainCo Holdings, LLC, et al.,	)
	) (Jointly Administered)
<b>-</b> 1	)
Debtors. <sup>1</sup>	) Re: Docket No.: 569
	)

ORDER SUSTAINING SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION (SUBSTANTIVE) OF THE LIQUIDATION TRUSTEE TO CERTAIN (I) MISCLASSIFIED CLAIMS AND (II) NO LIABILITY CLAIMS PURSUANT TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007, AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1

Objection")<sup>2</sup> of the Liquidation Trustee, by which the Liquidation Trustee requests the entry of an order, pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532, as amended (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), reclassifying, disallowing and/or expunging each of the Disputed Claims identified on Schedule 1 and Schedule 2 attached hereto; and upon consideration of all pleadings related to this Second Omnibus Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to

The Debtors, together with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are MEA RemainCo Holdings, LLC (f/k/a Energy Alloys Holdings, LLC) (4144); MEA RemainCo, L.L.C. (f/k/a Energy Alloys, L.L.C.) (0377); MEA RemainCo Louisiana, LLC (f/k/a/ Energy Alloys Louisiana, LLC) (0623); MEA RemainCo Canada Holding, L.L.C. (f/k/a Energy Alloys Canada Holding, L.L.C.) (0382); MEA RemainCo Services, L.L.C. (f/k/a Energy Alloys Services, L.L.C.) (4284); MEA RemainCo Cayman Holding, L.L.C. (f/k/a Energy Alloys Cayman Holding, L.L.C.) (3484); MEA RemainCo Mexico Holding Co. – Majority, LLC (f/k/a Energy Alloys Mexico Holding Co. – Minority, LLC) (9165); MEA RemainCo Mexico Holding Co. – Minority, LLC (f/k/a Energy Alloys Mexico Holding Co. – Minority, LLC) (N/A). The mailing address for the Debtors is 9450 Pinecroft Drive, P.O. Box 8819, The Woodlands, TX 77380.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All capitalized terms used but otherwise not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Second Omnibus Objection.

28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated as of February 29, 2012; and it appearing that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and it appearing that venue of this proceeding is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and that due and adequate notice of the Second Omnibus Objection having been given under the circumstances; and this Court having considered the Second Omnibus Objection, the Michaelis Declaration, the Disputed Claims listed on <u>Schedule 1</u> and <u>Schedule 2</u> attached hereto, and any responses thereto; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Second Omnibus Objection is SUSTAINED as set forth herein.
- 2. Any Response to the Second Omnibus Objection not otherwise withdrawn, resolved, or adjourned is overruled on the merits.
- 3. Each Misclassified Claim identified on <u>Schedule 1</u> hereto is reclassified as set forth on <u>Schedule 1</u> under the column titled "Modified Classification Status." Each Misclassified Claim is subject to the Liquidation Trustee's further objections on any substantive or non-substantive grounds and further order of the Court.
- 4. Each No Liability Claim identified on <u>Schedule 2</u> hereto is disallowed and expunged.
- 5. Epiq, the claims and noticing agent, shall update the Claims Register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
- 6. The Liquidation Trustee's objection to each Disputed Claim, as addressed in the Second Omnibus Objection and the schedules hereto, constitutes a separate contested matter with

Case 20-12088-MFW Doc 587 Filed 11/03/21 Page 3 of 3

respect to each such claim, as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014 and Local Rule 3007-1.

This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each Disputed Claim.

7. Nothing in the Second Omnibus Objection or this Order constitutes a waiver of the

Liquidation Trustee's rights to object to any claims not previously disallowed or to assert any

claims, counterclaims, rights of offset or recoupment, or any other claims against the claimants

listed on Schedules 1 and Schedule 2 hereto, all of which rights are expressly preserved.

8. Nothing in the Second Omnibus Objection or this Order, nor any actions or

payments made by the Liquidation Trustee pursuant to this Order, shall be construed as: (a) an

admission as to the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors or the

Liquidation Trust under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver

of the Liquidation Trustee's or any other party in interest's right to dispute any claim; (c) a promise

or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular

claim is of a type specified or defined in this Order; (e) an admission as to the validity, priority,

enforceability, or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property

of the Liquidation Trust; or (f) a waiver of any claims or causes of action which may exist against

any entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law..

9. This Order is immediately effective and enforceable.

10. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to

the implementation of this Order.

Dated: November 3rd, 2021 Wilmington, Delaware

MARY F. WALRATH

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

- 3 -