

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

BL RESTAURANTS HOLDING, LLC, et al.,¹
Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-10156 (CTG)

(Jointly Administered)

Re: Docket Nos.: 910, 941

**ORDER SUSTAINING SECOND OMNIBUS
(SUBSTANTIVE) OBJECTION OF THE BL RESTAURANTS
GUC TRUST TO CERTAIN (A) NO LIABILITY CLAIMS; (B) OVERSTATED
CLAIMS; (C) MISCLASSIFIED CLAIMS; AND (D) UNLIQUIDATED CLAIMS**

Upon consideration of the *Second Omnibus (Substantive) Objection of the BL Restaurants GUC Trust to Certain (A) No Liability Claims; (B) Overstated Claims; (C) Misclassified Claims; and (D) Unliquidated Claims* (the “Second Omnibus Objection”); and the Court having considered the Declaration in support of the Second Omnibus Objection; and it appearing that notice of the Second Omnibus Objection was good and sufficient upon the particular circumstances and that no other or further notice need be given; and the Court having considered the Second Omnibus Objection, any responses thereto, the Proposed Order and the claims listed on Exhibit A through Exhibit D attached hereto; and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is hereby

FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:

1. The First Omnibus Objection is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases are as follows: BL Restaurants Holding, LLC; BL Restaurant Operations, LLC; BL Restaurant Franchises, LLC; and BL Hunt Valley, LLC.

2. Each holder of a claim listed on Exhibit A through Exhibit D attached hereto was properly and timely served with a copy of the First Omnibus Objection, the Proposed Order, the accompanying exhibits, and the notice; and

3. Any entity known to have an interest in the claims subject to the Second Omnibus Objection has been afforded reasonable opportunity to respond to, or be heard regarding, the relief requested in the First Omnibus Objection; and

4. The relief requested in the Second Omnibus Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors' creditors, the estates, and other parties in interest; and it is therefore

ORDERED, that the Second Omnibus Objection is SUSTAINED; and is further

ORDERED, that each of the No Liability Claims listed on the attached Exhibit A is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED, that each of the Overstated Claims listed on Exhibit B are hereby reduced to reflect the amount listed in the "Modified Claim Amount" column on Exhibit B; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Misclassified Claim listed on Exhibit C is hereby reclassified to reflect the priority listed in the "Modified Claim Priority" column on Exhibit C; and it is further

ORDERED, that each of the Unliquidated Claims listed on Exhibit D is hereby allowed in the amounts and priorities as set forth under the columns titled "Fixed Claim Amount" and "Fixed Claim Nature," respectively, on Exhibit D; and it is further

ORDERED, that nothing in the Second Omnibus Objection or this Order shall be construed as an allowance of any claim, and all of the Trust's rights and the rights of other parties in interest to object to any of the claims or any other claims (filed or not) which may be

asserted against the Debtors on any other grounds are preserved. Additionally, should one or more of the grounds of objection stated in the Second Omnibus Objection be dismissed, the Trust's rights to object on other stated grounds or on any other grounds that the Trust discovers during the pendency of these cases are further preserved; and it is further

ORDERED, that without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Trust's rights to object to any of the claims pursuant to section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code are preserved; and it is further

ORDERED, that the rights of the Trust to setoff, counterclaim and recoupment including, but not limited to, in respect of security deposits, against the allowed amount of any claims are preserved; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matters related to or arising from the First Omnibus Objection or the implementation of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED, that each Claim and the objections by the Trust to such Claim, as set forth on Exhibit A through Exhibit D hereto, constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014 and Local Rule 3007-1. This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each Claim. Any stay of this Order pending appeal by any claimants whose Claims are subject to this Order shall only apply to the contested matter which involves such claimant and shall not act to stay the applicability and/or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters listed in the Second Omnibus Objection or this Order.

Dated: November 29th, 2021
Wilmington, Delaware



CRAIG T. GOLDBLATT
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE