	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
4	Case No. 10-12653-bls
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	TRICO MARINE SERVICE, INC., ET AL.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
15	824 North Market Street
16	Wilmington, Delaware
17	
18	October 1, 2010
19	12:01 PM
2 0	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. BRENDAN L. SHANNON
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECR OPERATOR: DANA MOORE

	Page 2
1	
2	Debtors' Motion to Approve the Sale of Assets Free and Clear of
3	Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances
4	
5	Debtors' Motion for Extension for Interim Order for DIP
6	Financing, with Amendments
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Dena Page

		Page 3
1		
2	A P P	EARANCES:
3	VINSO	N & ELKINS LLP
4		Attorneys for Debtors
5	BY:	JOHN E. MITCHELL, ESQ.
6		
7		
8	MORRI	S, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP
9		Attorneys for Debtors
10	BY:	ROBERT J. DEHNEY, ESQ.
11		
12		
13	KASOW	ITZ BENSON TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
14		Attorneys for Official Committee of Creditors
15	BY:	RICHARD F. CASHER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
16		DANIEL A. FLIMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
17		ANDREW K. GLENN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
18		DAVID J. MARK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
19		DAVID S. ROSNER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
20		
21		
22	PACHU	LSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
23		Attorneys for Official Committee of Creditors
24	BY:	LAURA DAVIS JONES, ESQ.
25		

		Page 4
1		
2	BRACE	WELL & GIULIANI LLP
3		Attorneys for Certain Second Lien Noteholders
4	BY:	GREGORY W. NYE, ESQ.
5		KURT A. MAYR, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
6		SOHAM D. NAIK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
7		
8		
9	FOX R	OTHSCHILD LLP
10		Attorneys for Nordea Bank
11	BY:	ERIC M. SUTTY, ESQ.
12		
13		
14	LANDI	S RATH & COBB LLP
15		Attorneys for Certain Second Lien Noteholders
16	BY:	KERRI MUMFORD, ESQ.
17		
18		
19	LATHA	M & WATKINS LLP
20		Attorneys for Tennenbaum
21	BY:	ROBERT A. KLYMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 5
1		
2	MCCAR:	TER & ENGLISH, LLP
3		Attorneys for General Electric Capital Corporation
4	BY:	LISA S. BONSALL, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
5		
6		
7	PAUL,	WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
8		Attorneys for Caspian Capital
9	BY:	OKSANA LASHKO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
10		
11		
12	REED S	SMITH LLP
13		Attorneys for BoNY, Mellon as Indenture Trustee
14	BY:	KURT F. GWYNNE, ESQ.
15		J. CORY FALGOWSKI, ESQ.
16		
17		
18	WHITE	& CASE LLP
19		Attorneys for Nordea Bank Finland PLC
20	BY:	SCOTT GRIESSMAN, ESQ.
21		RADEK PAWLOWSKI, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 6
1		
2	YOUNG CONWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP	
3	Attorneys for Tennenbaum	
4	BY: MICHAEL R. NESTOR, ESQ.	
5		
6		
7	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - CIVIL DIVISION	
8	Attorneys for U.S. Department of Trans	portation
9	(Maritime)	
10	BY: SETH SHAPIRO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)	
11		
12		
13	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
14	JOSEPH CHANG, Gleacher & Company	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: All rise.
3	THE COURT: Please be seated. Apologies for the
4	delay, counsel.
5	Mr. Mitchell.
6	MR. MITCHELL: Good morning, Your Honor. I guess I
7	should ask you how you're doing. We've heard the bad news.
8	THE COURT: It's actually all better.
9	MR. MITCHELL: Well, sorry to hear that. So hopefully
10	everything
11	THE COURT: My wife wondered why I bought a shop-vac.
12	Now I feel vindicated.
13	MR. MITCHELL: Didn't know if Your Honor needed to buy
14	a boat, perhaps.
15	THE COURT: No, not yet, anyway.
16	MR. MITCHELL: We've got one for sale.
17	THE COURT: Build an ark.
18	MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we're here on two matters,
19	and we appreciate you
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	MR. MITCHELL: hearing us today.
22	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.
23	MR. MITCHELL: One is the debtors' motion to sell,
24	pursuant to Section 363, two of its vessels. The other is the
25	continued hearing on the final hearing on the DIP financing and

Page 8 use of cash collateral. 1 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't we deal with the sale 2 3 motion; then we'll talk about scheduling issues on the cash collateral. 4 MR. MITCHELL: Certainly, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MITCHELL: If I might just give you an update on where we are. As the Court so directed, if we had an 9 agreement, you'd be willing to enter an order on September 30th, yesterday, but if it's contested, it can be kicked at a 10 11 minimum until October 6th and perhaps later. We have an agreement in principle, Your Honor --12 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MITCHELL: -- with all of the parties, but it's 14 15 some of the fine-tuning that we need to go through in order to 16 get there. And so we're not prepared to present an order 17 today, and perhaps I can just take a moment to tell you where 18 we are. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. MITCHELL: And then I know counsel for Bank of New York would like to address the Court about this, as well. 21 22 THE COURT: That sounds fine. MR. MITCHELL: Basically, in concept, Your Honor, with 23 24 respect to the actual sale of both the Hunt -- excuse me, the 25 Truckee and the Spirit River, the parties generally agree sales

	Page 9
1	price, terms, things of this nature in an attempt to get the
2	sale closed next week. However, there's some details that need
3	to work out, such as whether or not a pre a make-whole
4	premium is due under the notes that are secured by the assets,
5	as well as interest which may or may not be payable due to a
6	basically due to a redemption period and notice period that has
7	to be given to the noteholders.
8	THE COURT: Are those issues that actually need to be
9	sorted out in advance of the sale? I mean, those seem to be
10	proceeds issues.
11	MR. MITCHELL: They are, Your Honor, and what we're
12	trying to work out right now is just the structure for going
13	ahead
14	THE COURT: Got it.
15	MR. MITCHELL: and getting the sale through, who
16	will hold the escrow, how will that be determined, walking the
17	committee through some questions. And we have an interesting
18	situation where basically, you've got noteholders for which the
19	Bank of New York is the indenture trustee. They have unsecured
20	claims. However, those notes are guaranteed by MARAD and they
21	have a secured game on their guarantee. So we're working
22	through those issues, coupled with we also need MARAD's consent
23	to actually sell the ship
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR MITCHELL: to a Nigerian buyer and all that's

Page 10 going along. Bank of New York did not get counsel retained 1 until last night, but we're not throwing stones only because 2 3 there's a question whether or not they had notice of the sale. No one's threatening to push it off. We're working hard with 5 their counsel to bring them up to speed. We'll work over the weekend; hopefully we can get all this finalized and present an 6 7 agreed order to the Court. And we need to bring the committee in the loop on some of these other issues we're working 9 through. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Gwynne's a smart quy. He can move 11 quickly. MR. MITCHELL: He can move quickly. I understand he 12 13 pulled an all-nighter. So with that being said, we would like to just advise the Court, that's where we are. We hope to be 14 15 able to get an agreed order worked out over the weekend and 16 perhaps submit one on Monday --17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MITCHELL: -- if we might. 19 THE COURT: I understand. 2.0 Mr. Gwynne? MR. GWYNNE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kurt Gwynne 21 from Reed Smith on behalf of Bank of New York Mellon as 22 indenture trustee. Your Honor, at my weight, there's not many 23 24 things that people accuse me of doing quickly, so I do

appreciate that.

Page 11 Bank of New York wasn't on the service list, but like 1 counsel said, we're getting beyond that. They have been 2 3 incredibly helpful through the night, debtors' counsel, in helping us get up to speed, so there was just transaction 5 documents to read, and I actually wasn't in Delaware yesterday, so coming back with the rain and all ended up making it a 6 7 little bit more difficult. We do have some issues, but I know Your Honor's 9 already had a rough morning; I don't want to make it any worse. 10 I think we're going to be able to work them out. We have been 11 discussing it, and like I said, their counsel's been very accommodating. The committee, obviously, has to agree too, 12 13 perhaps the second lien holders, and anybody else involved. All I wanted to say is if we don't work them out, there is a 14 possibility we might need to have the opportunity to raise 15 16 those issues with the Court, but I'm hoping that that's not the 17 case. 18 THE COURT: Okay, well, let me make a suggestion. 19 Anyone else wish to be heard? 2.0 MR. SHAPIRO: Yes, Your Honor. This is Seth Shapiro on the telephone with the United States Department of Justice. 21 22 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. SHAPIRO: I represent the Department of

Transportation and the Maritime Administration. I wanted to

advise the Court and the parties, as well, that we will work

23

24

Page 12 with the indenture trustee and debtors' counsel to try to work 1 out these issues, and also, that we are -- MARAD is moving as 2 3 quickly as possible to get the regulatory approval needed. Normally, that process takes two or three weeks, but given the 4 5 circumstances in this case, we are very optimistic that a decision will be rendered next week. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GWYNNE: And Your Honor, I didn't realize Mr. 9 Shapiro was on the phone, but he was also extremely helpful yesterday, in fact, in talking to the client as well as me, 10 11 helping us get up to speed. THE COURT: Okay, well, that sounds fine. 12 13 MR. GWYNNE: Thank you. THE COURT: Let me make a suggestion. Obviously, I 14 15 had scheduled the hearing in the hope -- or, based on the 16 debtors' hope that they might have a consensual sale, and 17 frankly, it sounds like you're pretty close to that. Most of 18 these issues seem to be treatment of proceeds, and no issue 19 seems to be raised with the wisdom of the sale or the fairness 20 of the sale. So I'm more than happy to allow the process to 21 play itself out. 22 And I have had an opportunity to review the motion. have no issues with respect to the relief that's requested, and 23 24 I don't make that comment in derogation of anybody's rights

that are currently being negotiated. It seems to me that these

Case 10-12653-BLS TROC 2974 RFH eds 10/13/10 IN Page 13 pf 22 Page 13 are issues that need to be sorted out between and among the 1 2 parties, and it makes a lot of sense, and hopefully, people 3 will get there. And I understand and appreciate the urgency that the debtor has. I don't see a reason, if there is consensus, to hold 5 6 on to this until our next scheduled hearing which is some time 7 next week, right? MR. MITCHELL: Wednesday, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Wednesday. If there is consensus, I would entertain this on a certificate of counsel, and you need to 10 11 tell us it's coming because I would assume that you would want it docketed and the registered -- or, the formal copy of it for 12 13 closing. But I would entertain it. And I quess I'd make one other suggestion. If I can be of assistance on Monday, if 14 15 there's an issue or a hiccup or somebody needs a measure of 16 comfort about how the process will work -- and that actually 17 seems to me to be something that the trustee may want -- that, if something's happening with proceeds, trustees generally 18 19 don't want to go out on a limb for anybody, so if there's an 20 issue that you need to raise with the Court, you'll be able to 21 get it on the phone. All right? 22 MR. MITCHELL: Very good. THE COURT: I mean, I'm not going to hear it on a 23

contested basis, but I can imagine treatment of proceeds is something that somebody may want at least to apprise the Court

24

Page 14 and that would be fine and I would do that by phone. Okay? 1 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. So that's fine. I think we 3 know where, then, the sale motion is. 4 5 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah. 6 7 MR. MITCHELL: The other matter before the Court today is the continued hearing on the motion for approval of final 9 DIP financing. 10 THE COURT: Right. MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we're here today to ask 11 that the Court reset this for October 20th. And we have an 12 13 agreement to actually extend the deadline to have a final hearing and actually have a final order entered until October 14 20th. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. MITCHELL: We've been working very hard over the last, really, since we were before you a week ago -- it seems 18 19 like a month ago -- to try to bring to resolution the issues 2.0 between all the various constituencies, and while we've worked real hard, we're still somewhat far apart. So the parties got 21 22 together and, just a few minutes ago, were able to agree on the terms of an extension of the interim order through October 20. 23 24 Basically, it's an extension of the deadline which is currently 25 set at October 1 to get a final order entered. We're pushing

	Page 15
1	that out to October 20 by agreement, Your Honor. Now,
2	generally, all the terms of the interim order will stay the
3	same
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MR. MITCHELL: and will continue. However, there
6	were some terms that were negotiated and have been agreed to by
7	all the parties. I was just going to read through with the
8	Court. One, we're paying an extension fee, Your Honor, the
9	debtor is, of about 38,000 dollars, a little bit more than
LO	that.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. MITCHELL: Two, if the Court will recall, we
13	approved what we call "AKO" (ph.) financing for our nondebtor
L4	subsidiaries and there were payments that were to be made into
15	the estate. We've agreed, basically, that Trico Enterprise to
16	have those payments initiated today to get into the estate. It
17	may take a couple days to get in, but we would initiate that,
18	and I believe that's already happened.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MR. MITCHELL: Third, Your Honor, I can't go into
21	specifics with respect to names or the vessels themselves, but
22	there's a deadline of October 12th to have some letters of
23	intent or letters of interest
24	THE COURT: For other vessels?
\ _	MD MIRGURI

Page 16 1 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MITCHELL: Those have been redacted. When you see 2 3 the form of order and the exhibits, Your Honor, we've redacted the names for obvious reasons. THE COURT: I understand. That makes sense. 6 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm happy to 7 run down and hand you the note or something, if you like. And fourth, Your Honor, there is an agreement to --9 there was a proposed first amendment to the DIP credit 10 facility. We talked about it at the hearing a week ago; the 11 committee had an objection to it or at least wanted to review the terms. Part of this, we've agreed to have the first 12 13 amendment to the DIP credit facility go into effect, and then we're memorializing this agreement today with a second 14 15 amendment, so the order we're going to present to you will have 16 a first amendment and second amendment to the DIP credit 17 facility go into effect. However, the DIP credit facility, 18 though, continues to be approved pursuant to the interim order 19 subject to any of the agreements we've rolled in that I've just 20 announced today. Your Honor, I do have a form of order with the two 21 22 forms of amendments, if I may approach. THE COURT: That sounds fine. 23 24 MR. MITCHELL: Oh, that's right. One more point. 25 committee may want to clarify this, too, Your Honor.

	Page 17
1	pushed out the committee's standing. The committee had
2	negotiated an ability to review liens and claims against the
3	lenders as part of the final order, which isn't entered yet.
4	And so Tennenbaum and the committee have agreed to push their
5	period to get a motion on file, seek standing to pursue claims,
6	out to, I believe, December 1st. And that term is rolled into
7	the order because under the current interim order, it was
8	the time period would begin to run from the formation of the
9	committee, as opposed to
LO	THE COURT: Right.
L1	MR. MITCHELL: entry of the final order; we don't'
12	have one.
13	THE COURT: Sure.
L4	MR. MITCHELL: So they've negotiated an extension of
L 5	that time period.
L6	THE COURT: Okay, well, that makes sense. I
L 7	understand that.
L 8	MR. MITCHELL: May I approach, Your Honor?
L 9	THE COURT: Sure.
20	Okay, does anyone else wish to be heard regarding the
21	interim order?
22	MS. BONSALL: Your Honor, it's Lisa Bonsall from
23	McCarter & English for GECC. We had not been a party to any of
24	these negotiations or seen the form of order, but it's my
25	understanding that GECC's objection would be carried without

Page 18

any prejudice.

MR. MITCHELL: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very good. Okay.

All right, I have had a chance just to flip through this, but I have followed carefully counsel's representations with respect to the modifications, and again, they seem to me to be consistent with basically extending the existing deal going forward. So I believe that the order is appropriate and well-founded, and I will issue the order. The request is for an adjournment to the 20th of October. And we have given you a time of 1:30 in the afternoon on the 20th, is that right? Okay.

Let me make a couple observations. First is, obviously, you've got that time. It also seems to me that, just as an editorial comment that, an additional three weeks, not simply for purposes of negotiations, but frankly to give parties a, you know, maybe a little bit more sense of where the case is heading, may shed some light on positions on this issue because part of what I heard, particularly from Mr. Rosner during the argument was, we're kind of flying blind. We're a few weeks into this and we're concerned about the impact of it, and I understood the response on connection with that. But at least it would seem to me, just as a general proposition, perhaps further development, further becoming informed may shed some light on that. May not, and then I'll conduct the

Page 19

hearing.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One other observation is I've got you scheduled for the afternoon. I understand this expires on the 20th, so I presume that I will need to rule and dispose of it on the 20th. So I think there have been some discussion about whether the parties could proceed on stipulated facts. That would obviously be ideal. In the absence of that, my suggestion would be -- and some of you have been through this with me -that if there's going to be live witnesses, that direct testimony be presented by affidavit at an agreed time in advance. And then what I usually do is give you -- you can put your witness on. I'll give you a minute or two to get them comfortable, ask them a few questions, but otherwise, their testimony's in and we proceed directly to cross. I find, in my experience, that really -- one, it helps me understand the case and the testimony, and two, it frankly makes the crossexamination a lot more efficient because counsel's fully able to prepare their examination rather than just trying to do it on the fly.

Those are a couple observations, but I'm kind of in your hands in that regard, especially as we get closer and closer to the hearing. Okay?

All right. I've signed this order. We'll have this on the docket this morning. Again, with respect to the sale issues, we'll wait to be guided by counsel. And otherwise, we

	Page 20
1	should be all set. Anything further?
2	MR. MITCHELL: Nothing further.
3	THE COURT: Very well. We'll stand in recess. Thank
4	you very much, counsel. Have a good weekend.
5	IN UNISON: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:14 PM)
7	
8	
9	
LO	
11	
12	
13	
L4	
15	
L6	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

			Page 21	
1				
2		I N D	E X	
3				
4		RULI	NGS	
5		Page	Line	
6	Debtors' Motion for	18	9	
7	Extension for Interim			
8	Order for DIP Financing,			
9	with Amendments Approved			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
2 0				
21				
2 2				
23				
2 4				
2 5				

		Page	22
1			
2	CERTIFICATION		
3			
4	I, Dena Page, certify that the foregoing transcript	is a	true
5	and accurate record of the proceedings.		
6			
7			
8			
9	DENA PAGE		
10			
11	Veritext		
12	200 Old Country Road		
13	Suite 580		
14	Mineola, NY 11501		
15			
16	Date: October 12, 2010		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT District of Delaware

In Re:

Trico Marine Services, Inc., et al., 10001 Woodloch Forest Drive Suite 610 The Woodlands, TX 77380

EIN: 72–1252405

Chapter: 11

Case No.: 10-12653-BLS

NOTICE OF FILING OF TRANSCRIPT AND OF DEADLINES RELATED TO RESTRICTION AND REDACTION

A transcript of the proceeding held on 10/1/10 was filed on 10/13/10. The following deadlines apply:

The parties have 7 days to file with the court a *Notice of Intent to Request Redaction* of this transcript. The deadline for filing a *request for redaction* is 11/3/10.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due 11/15/10.

If no such notice is filed, the transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 1/11/11 unless extended by court order.

To review the transcript for redaction purposes, you may purchase a copy from the transcriber (see docket for Transcriber's information) or you may view the document at the clerk's office public terminal.

Clerk of Court

Date: 10/13/10

(ntc)

Case 10-12653-BLS Doc 292-2 Filed 10/13/10 Page 1 of 1

Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0311-1 User: Leslie Date Created: 10/13/2010

Case: 10-12653-BLS Form ID: ntcBK Total: 14

Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:

United States Trustee USTPREGION03.WL.ECF@USDOJ.GOV
L. John N. Bird jbird@mnat.com
Steven M. Abramowitz sabramowitz@velaw.com

aty

aty

TOTAL: 3

Recipi	ents submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy I	Noticing Center):
db	Trico Marine Services, Inc., et al.,	10001 Woodlo

Recipients	submitted to the DNC (Dankrupicy Noticing Center):
db	Trico Marine Services, Inc., et al., 10001 Woodloch Forest Drive Suite 610 The Woodlands, TX
	77380
ust	David L. Buchbinder Office of the U.S. Trustee J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building Suite
	2207 Wilmington, DE 19801
aty	Andrew R. Remming Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell 1201 North Market Street P.O. Box
•	1347 Wilmington, DE 19899–1347
aty	Jim Day Vinson & Elkins LLP 666 Fifth Avenue 26th Floor New York, NY
aty	Joel H Levitin Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP 80 Pine Street New York, NY 10005
aty	John E Mitchell Vinson & Elkins LLP Trammell Crow Center 2001 Ross Avenue Suite
	3700 Dallas, TX 75201–2975
aty	John Paul K Napier Vinson & Elkins LLP Trammell Crow Center Suite 3700 2001 Ross
	Avenue Dallas, TX 75201–2975
aty	Kevin Burke Cahill Gordon & Reindell LLP 80 Pine St. New York, NY 10005
aty	Maya Peleg Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP Eighty Pine Street New York, NY 10005
aty	Richard A. Stieglitz Jr. Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP Eighty Pine Street New York, NY 10005
aty	Robert J. Dehney Morris, Nichols, Arsht &Tunnell 1201 N. Market Street P. O. Box
	1347 Wilmington, DE 19899–1347

TOTAL: 11