

WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP  
500 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10110  
Telephone: (212) 382-3300  
Facsimile: (212) 382-0050  
William A. Maher  
Paul R. DeFilippo  
James N. Lawlor  
Adam M. Bialek  
Mara R. Lieber

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*,

Debtors.

---

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ARLINGTON CAPITAL MORTGAGE CORPORATION  
and GATEWAY FUNDING DIVERSIFIED MORTGAGE  
SERVICES, L.P.,

Defendants.

**Chapter 11**

**Case No. 08-13555 (SCC)**

**Adv. Proc. No. \_\_\_\_\_**

## **ADVERSARY COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI”), the Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”), for its Complaint against Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation (“Arlington”) and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P. (“Gateway” and together with Arlington, “Defendants”) alleges upon knowledge as to itself and its own conduct, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

### **NATURE OF ACTION**

1. LBHI seeks to enforce its right to contractual indemnification for liabilities, losses, damages, claims, judgments and any other costs, fees and expenses LBHI incurred as a result of Defendants’ sale and/or submission of defective mortgage loans in breach of Defendants’ representations, warranties, obligations, and/or covenants and/or for which LBHI incurred liability due to Defendants’ acts, failures to act and/or omissions (the “Defective Loans”).

2. In reliance on Defendants’ promises, covenants, and representations and warranties, LBHI securitized certain loans. In connection with the securitizations, which were marketed and sold to third party investors, LBHI made certain representations and warranties regarding the quality and characteristics of certain of the loans that were coextensive with those made by Defendants. LBHI retained the right to seek indemnification from Defendants in the event it became liable for certain indemnification events. After the trustees for hundreds of trusts (the “RMBS Trustees”) allegedly discovered that the mortgage loans breached certain of those representations and warranties, the RMBS Trustees filed claims in LBHI’s bankruptcy case for losses suffered on certain loans. On March 15, 2018, this Court entered the Order Estimating Allowed Claim Pursuant to RMBS Settlement, dated March 15, 2018 (ECF No. 57785) (the

“RMBS Order”) resolving the majority of the claims. LBHI also settled several other RMBS Trustee claims as permitted by the Plan.<sup>1</sup>

3. By this action, LBHI seeks to recover money damages from Defendants for the indemnification claims. Some Defective Loans were sold or submitted directly by Gateway to LBHI’s assignor, LBB, and some were sold or submitted directly by Arlington to LBHI’s assignor, LBB. However, as the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit concluded, the alleged asset sale transaction between Gateway and Arlington in 2008 amounted to a *de facto* merger between the two companies, rendering Gateway jointly and severally liable for Arlington’s indemnification obligations to LBHI. *See Lehman Bros. Holdings v. Gateway Funding Diversified Mortg. Servs., L.P.*, 989 F. Supp. 2d 411, 418 (E.D. Pa. 2013), *aff’d*, 785 F.3d 96 (3d Cir. 2015). Both Courts found that the four factor *de facto* merger test was satisfied:

- Continuity of enterprise. Arlington’s former officers continued to operate as the Arlington Branch of Gateway. The same personnel continued to carry out the same business operations, in the same markets, using the same assets, and at the same physical locations as Arlington had prior to the transaction. The transition to Gateway occurred with minimal interruption to Arlington’s ongoing business.
- Continuity of ownership. Although Arlington shareholders had not acquired Gateway stock in the transition, they retained an ownership interest in Arlington and continued to share in its profits after the transaction by contractual profit sharing entitlements.
- Cessation of business by the seller company. Arlington, as a separate entity, maintained only a minimal level of activity after the asset purchase.
- Assumption of ordinary business liabilities by the purchaser. Gateway assumed substantially all of Arlington’s debt and liabilities related to its ongoing loan origination business.

---

<sup>1</sup> See RMBS Trust Settlement Agreement, entered into as of June 25, 2018, between LBHI and Wilmington Trust National Association; Allowed Proof of Claim numbers: 720000, 720001, 720002, 720003, 720004, 720005, 720006, 720007, 720008, 720009, 720010, 720011, 720012, 720013, 720014, 720015, 720016, 720017, 22773.04, 24792, 24810, 720020, 720025, 720021, 720024, 720022, 720023, 720018, 720019.

*See Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. v. Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.*, 758 F.3d 96, 102 (3d Cir. 2015).

## **PARTIES**

4. On September 15, 2008, Plaintiff LBHI commenced with this Court a voluntary case under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. LBHI is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York, New York.

5. Arlington is an entity that, at all times relevant, was organized in and does business within the United States.

6. Gateway is an entity that, at all time relevant, is organized in and does business within the United States.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This adversary proceeding is commenced pursuant to Rules 7001 and 7003 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

8. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction to consider and determine this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and as the matter has a close nexus with the Plan, which was confirmed by Order of the Bankruptcy Court, dated December 6, 2011 (the “Confirmation Order”), and became effective on March 6, 2012. The Court has retained post-confirmation jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to section 14.1 of the Plan and paragraph 77 of the Confirmation Order.

9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a), 1391, 1408, and 1409 because the claims arise out of pre-petition contracts and are asserted as part of the administration of the estate as set forth in the Plan, and because a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within the district, including the underlying agreements and loan transactions, and because the loss was suffered within the district.

10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants under Rule 7004(f) of the Bankruptcy Rules. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants are organized in and does business within the United States, and because the transactions giving rise to this controversy occurred in the United States.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

11. Prior to commencement of these case, LBHI engaged in the purchase and sale of mortgage loans directly or through affiliates, including Lehman Brothers Bank, FSB (“LBB”), then securitized the loans, which were then marketed and sold to third party investors.

12. At all relevant times, Defendants engaged in mortgage origination, as well as the sale of mortgage loans on the secondary market to entities such as LBB and LBHI.

#### **A. The Governing Agreements**

13. This dispute arises out of Defendants’ sale of residential mortgage loans to LBHI’s assignor, LBB, under one or more Loan Purchase Agreements with LBB (each a “LPA”);<sup>2</sup> and Defendant’s submission of residential mortgage loans to LBHI’s assignor, LBB, under a Broker Agreement with LBB (the “Broker Agreement”).<sup>3</sup>

14. Several of the residential mortgage loans were also sold under the terms and conditions of the related Purchase Price and Term Letters by and between LBB and Gateway (each a “Purchase Letter”), as contemplated in the related LPAs.

15. The dates of the relevant LPAs, Broker Agreement, and Purchase Letters are listed in Exhibit A hereto.

---

<sup>2</sup> Although the language of certain sections referenced throughout this Complaint may vary slightly from LPA to LPA, it is generally consistent in all material respects.

<sup>3</sup> The operative Broker Agreement for each of the Defective Loans is the version in effect at the time the Gateway sold the loan to LBB. Although the language of certain sections referenced throughout this Complaint may vary slightly from Broker Agreement to Broker Agreement, it is generally consistent in all material respects.

16. The LPAs specifically incorporate the terms and conditions of the Seller's Guide of loan administrator, Aurora Loan Services LLC (the "Seller's Guide," together with the LPAs, Broker Agreement, and Purchase Letters, the "Agreements") which sets forth additional duties and obligations of Defendants.<sup>4</sup> The Seller's Guide in its entirety is valid and binding on Defendants.

17. The Agreements set forth the duties and obligations of the parties with respect to the purchase and sale of mortgage loans, including but not limited to purchase price, delivery, and conveyance of the mortgage loans and mortgage loan documents.

18. The Agreements also set forth Defendants' duties and obligations regarding underwriting; representations and warranties concerning the parties and individual mortgage loans purchased, sold or submitted; and Defendants' indemnification obligations.

19. Pursuant to the Agreements, Defendants sold and/or submitted Defective Loans to LBB that resulted in LBHI being exposed to and incurring liability, as described further below.

20. The parties agreed that Defendants' obligations would extend to any subsequent purchasers and/or assignees, such as, in this case, LBHI. The Seller's Guide defines the "Purchaser" as LBB and, among others, its "successors and/or assigns." *See* Seller's Guide § 8.

21. The Broker Agreement provides that LBB as the "Lender, in its sole discretion, may assign this Agreement from time to time." *See e.g.*, Broker Agreement § 15.

22. In conjunction with the sale by LBB to LBHI of the Defective Loans, LBB assigned to LBHI all of its rights and remedies under the Agreements pertaining to the loans.

23. Further, the Seller's Guide provides that LBHI, as a subsequent holder of any

---

<sup>4</sup> The operative Seller's Guide for each of the Defective Loans is the version in effect at the time the Defendant sold the loan to LBB. Although the language of certain sections referenced throughout this Complaint may vary slightly from Seller's Guide to Seller's Guide, it is generally consistent in all material respects.

mortgage loan, “shall be a third party beneficiary” of the LPAs. *See* Seller’s Guide § 711.

## **B. Defendants’ Representations Under the LPAs**

24. Accordingly, LBHI as the “assignee” and third-party beneficiary of the LPAs, and as “subsequent holder” of the loans, is entitled to all the benefits of the Agreements, including the right to contractual indemnification for Defective Loans.

25. With respect to each of the loans sold to LBHI (as, among other things, LBB’s assignee) under the LPAs, Defendants made a number of representations, warranties, and covenants concerning the quality, characteristics, and underwriting of the mortgage loans; the property securing the mortgage loans; and the borrowers.

26. Specific examples of Defendants’ representations, warranties and covenants include, but are not limited to, the following:

No document, report or material furnished to Purchaser in any Mortgage Loan File or related to any Mortgage Loan (including, without limitation, the Mortgagor’s application for the Mortgage Loan executed by the Mortgagor), was falsified or contains any untrue statement of fact or omits to state a fact necessary to make the statements contained therein not misleading. Seller’s Guide § 703(1).

Seller . . . has duly and faithfully complied with and will continue to comply with: (i) all applicable laws, rules, regulations, decrees, pronouncements, directives, orders and contractual requirements with respect to the origination, closing, underwriting, processing and servicing of each Mortgage Loan . . . . Seller’s Guide § 703(8).

The documents, instruments and agreements submitted for loan underwriting were not falsified and contain no untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the information and statements therein not misleading. No fraud was committed in connection with the origination of the Mortgage Loan. The Seller has reviewed all of the documents constituting the Mortgage Loan File and has made such inquiries as it deems necessary to make and confirm the accuracy of the representations set forth herein. Seller’s Guide § 703(12).

There is no default, breach, violation or event of acceleration existing under the Mortgage or the Note and, no event has occurred or condition exists that, with the passage of time or with notice and the expiration of any grace or cure period, would constitute a default, breach, violation or event of acceleration and neither Seller nor its predecessors has waived any default, breach, violation or event of acceleration. Seller's Guide § 703(18).

The Mortgage Loan has been originated and processed by Seller or Seller's correspondent in accordance with, and conforms with, the terms of this Seller's Guide and the Loan Purchase Agreement, and the Mortgage Loan has been underwritten in accordance with Underwriting Guidelines in effect as of the date of the Delivery Commitment applicable to the Mortgage Loan. The Mortgage Loan complies with all the requirements of the related Program Profile applicable to such Mortgage Loan . . . . Seller's Guide § 703(21).

The Mortgaged Property is lawfully occupied under applicable law, unless properly disclosed to Purchaser. All inspections, licenses and certificates required to be made or issued with respect to all occupied portions of the Mortgaged Property, or with respect to the use and occupancy of the same (including, without limitation, certificates of occupancy and fire underwriting certificates), have been made or obtained by Seller or Seller's correspondent from the appropriate authorities. The Mortgagor represented at the time of origination of the Mortgage Loan that the Mortgagor would occupy the Mortgaged Property as the Mortgagor's primary residence, if applicable. Seller's Guide § 703(24).

Notwithstanding anything contained elsewhere in this Seller's Guide or the Loan Purchase Agreement, Seller hereby represents and warrants that all appraisals and other forms of real estate valuation conducted in connection with each Mortgage Loan comply with applicable federal and state law, including without limitation, the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 as applicable, and the requirements of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac and the Seller's Guide and were conducted and delivered prior to approval of the Mortgage Loan application by either (i) in the case of an appraisal, by a qualified appraiser, duly appointed by the Seller, or (ii) a valuation method meeting the requirements of the Seller's Guide. The fair market value of the Mortgaged Property as indicated by the property appraisal or valuation is materially accurate. Any appraiser, inspector or other real estate professional engaged in the valuation of the Mortgaged Property has no interest, direct or indirect, in the Mortgaged Property or in any security thereof. The compensation of any appraiser, inspector or other real estate professional engaged



in the valuation of the Mortgaged Property was not affected by the approval or disapproval of the Mortgage Loan. Seller's Guide § 703(36).

27. To the extent Defendants were also the underwriter of certain loans as permitted under the Seller's Guide or other applicable agreements, Defendants additionally represented, warranted and covenanted in Section 717(1) of the Seller's Guide that with respect to such loans:

All underwriting performed by Seller hereunder shall be in strict compliance with the underwriting guidelines and product descriptions contained in the Seller's Guide and such other guidelines and requirements as may be provided to Seller in writing from time to time.

28. Defendants represented and/or warranted that it had the ability to perform its obligations under, and satisfy all requirements of, the LPAs. *See* Seller's Guide § 702(5).

29. LBHI (as, among other things, LBB's assignee) relied upon the representations and warranties contained in the Agreements in purchasing the loans. Specifically, Section 701 of the Seller's Guide provides that:

Seller acknowledges that Mortgage Loans are purchased in reliance upon: (i) the truth and accuracy of Seller's representations and warranties set forth in the Loan Purchase Agreement and this Seller's Guide, each of which representations and warranties relates to a matter material to such purchase; and (ii) Seller's compliance with each of the agreements, requirements, terms, covenants and conditions set forth in the Loan Purchase Agreement and this Seller's Guide.

### **C. Defendants' Indemnification Obligation Under the LPAs**

30. Defendants agreed to indemnify LBHI (as, among other things, LBB's assignee) from liabilities, claims, judgments, losses and expenses it might sustain as a result of the Defective Loans, including attorneys' fees. Section 711 of the Seller's Guide, entitled "Indemnification and Third Party Claims," provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

In addition to any repurchase and cure obligations of Seller, . . . Seller shall indemnify Purchaser and Purchaser's designee

(including, without limitation, any subsequent holder of any Note) from and hold them harmless against all claims, losses, damages, penalties, fines, claims, forfeitures, lawsuits, court costs, reasonable attorney's fees, judgments and any other costs, fees and expenses that the Purchaser may sustain in any way related to or resulting from any act or failure to act or any breach of any warranty, obligation, representation or covenant contained in or made pursuant to this Seller's Guide or the Loan Purchase Agreement by any agent, employee, representative or officer of Seller or Seller's correspondent. In addition to any and all other obligations of Seller hereunder, Seller agrees that it shall pay the reasonable attorney's fees of Purchaser incurred in enforcing Seller's obligations hereunder . . . .

#### **D. Gateway's Representations Under the Broker Agreement**

31. With respect to each of the loans submitted under the Broker Agreement, Gateway made a number of representations, warranties, and covenants concerning the quality, characteristics, and underwriting of the mortgage loans; the property securing the mortgage loans; and the borrowers of the mortgage loans.

32. Specific examples of Gateway's representations, warranties and covenants include, but are not limited to, the following:

To Broker's knowledge, after review of the entire loan application package (including, without limitation, the loan application, earnest money or purchase contract, property appraisal, verification of income, deposits and credit sources, and closing affidavits or certifications and other representations by borrowers), no fraudulent information or documentation is present in the loan application package or in the origination process used to generate the loan application package. Broker has used its best efforts to ensure that nothing contained in any loan application package, whether obtained, derived or requested by the borrower, Broker or otherwise, is untrue, erroneous or misleading. Broker Agreement § 5(d).

Broker has no knowledge nor any reason to know of any . . . circumstance or condition which might indicate that the appraisal is incomplete or inaccurate or that the value of the Property might not be at least the amount reported therein; or . . . circumstances or conditions with respect to the Property, the borrower or the borrower's credit that could reasonably be expected to cause private institutional investors to regard the loan as an unacceptable

investment or cause the loan to become delinquent, or adversely affect the value or marketability of the loan. Broker Agreement § 5(e).

Broker has complied with all terms, conditions, and requirements of Lender's Guidelines and this Agreement, and with all applicable federal, state and local laws relating to the loan application process . . . Broker Agreement § 5(g).

33. Gateway represented and/or warranted that it had the ability to perform its obligations under, and satisfy all requirements of, the Broker Agreement.

34. Gateway agreed to indemnify LBHI (as, among other things, LBB's assignee) from liabilities, claims, judgments, losses and expenses it might sustain as a result of the Defective Loans, including attorneys' fees. Section 8 of the Broker Agreement, entitled "Indemnification," provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

In addition to Lender's rights and remedies under applicable law (whether arising at law or in equity), Broker shall indemnify and hold Lender, its successors and assigns, and their respective officers, directors, employees, shareholders, members, agents, contractors, affiliates and subsidiaries (collectively, the "Lender Indemnitees") harmless from and against, and shall reimburse Lender Indemnitees with respect to, any and all claims, demands, losses, damages, interest, penalties, fines, forfeitures, judgments and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel, and court costs) (any of the foregoing hereinafter referred to as a "Claim"), resulting from, relating to or arising out of, whether the result of negligent or intentional conduct or otherwise: (i) any breach of any representation or warranty made by Broker pursuant to this Agreement or Lender's Guidelines; (ii) any breach or failure to perform any covenant or obligation of Broker in this Agreement or Lender's Guidelines. . . .

35. The Broker Agreement also provides for the "prevailing party" to recover attorneys' fees incurred to enforce the Broker Agreement. Section 14 of the Broker Agreement, entitled "Attorneys' Fees," provides as follows:

If any action or proceeding is brought for the enforcement of this Agreement, or because of an alleged dispute, breach, default, or misrepresentation in connection with any of the provisions of this

Agreement, the successful or prevailing party or parties shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs incurred in that action or proceeding, in addition to any other relief to which it or they may be entitled.

#### **E. LBHI's Settlement with RMBS Trustees**

36. When LBB acquired loans from Defendants and others, it typically did not permanently hold those loans on its books. The loans it acquired from Defendants and other entities, including Defective Loans, were sold to LBHI, and then packaged for securitization.

37. In connection with such securitizations, LBHI relied on information that Defendants provided to LBB, and it made representations and warranties to the securitization trusts, based, in part, on the representations Defendants made to LBB.

38. The agreements governing the securitizations provide that the applicable RMBS Trustee may seek contractually defined repurchases of loans in the event certain breaches of representations and warranties occurred.

39. Eventually, the RMBS Trustees discovered breaches of representations, warranties and/or covenants in the Defective Loans.

40. The RMBS Trustees filed claim to recover for losses on the Defective Loans and other loans sold to LBB.

41. Many of the loans at issue in the claims, including the loans in Exhibit B, were alleged to contain defects which caused LBHI to incur losses, judgments, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, and liability to the RMBS Trustees.

42. LBHI was forced to defend against such allegations and eventually settle with the RMBS Trustees.

43. LBHI entered into a settlement agreement with the RMBS Trustees, under which it agreed to seek estimation of the liability underlying the claims in a proceeding before the

Bankruptcy Court (the “Estimation Proceeding”). In that Estimation Proceeding, the RMBS Trustees sought damages of over \$11.4 billion in damages based upon losses flowing from the at issue loans. After the conclusion of the lengthy and highly contested Estimation Proceeding, for which LBHI provided notice of that proceeding to the Defendants, the Court entered the RMBS Order allowing a claim in favor of the RMBS Trustees. LBHI also settled several other RMBS Trustee claims in the course of business its bankruptcy case as permitted by the Plan.<sup>5</sup>

44. LBHI incurred liability, expenses, costs, losses, judgments, and attorneys’ fees to the RMBS Trustees as a result of defects, including but not limited to, defects concerning the quality and characteristics of the loans, the creditworthiness of the borrowers, the characteristics of the collateral, the intended and actual occupancy status of the properties, compliance with appraisal standards and lending regulations, application of underwriting guidelines and the collection and review of the loan application and supporting documentation, and documentation deficiencies.

45. As it concerns Defendants specifically, Exhibit B attached hereto identifies each of the at issue loans in connection with the RMBS Order, and provides a non-exclusive list of the defects alleged by the RMBS Trustees on those loans. LBHI incurred liability, expenses, losses, judgments, attorneys’ fees, and other costs as a result of the Defective Loans. A general description of the defects identified in Exhibit B is included in Exhibit C attached hereto.

46. LBHI made representations, warranties, obligations and/or covenants to the RMBS Trustees that were coextensive with those made by Defendants, and LBHI incurred liability to the RMBS Trustees as a result of Defendants’ acts, failures, omissions, and breaches

---

<sup>5</sup> See RMBS Trust Settlement Agreement, entered into as of June 25, 2018, between LBHI and Wilmington Trust National Association; Allowed Proof of Claim numbers: 720000, 720001, 720002, 720003, 720004, 720005, 720006, 720007, 720008, 720009, 720010, 720011, 720012, 720013, 720014, 720015, 720016, 720017, 22773.04, 24792, 24810, 720020, 720025, 720021, 720024, 720022, 720023, 720018, 720019.

of its representations, warranties, obligations, and/or covenants.

#### **F. Defendants' Obligations to Indemnify LBHI**

47. Defendants agreed to indemnify LBHI (as, among other things, LBB's assignee) from liabilities, claims, judgments, losses, attorneys' fees, and expenses it might sustain as a result of the Defective Loans. *See* Seller's Guide § 711; Broker Agreement § 8.

48. Gateway is also liable for Arlington's obligations to LBHI because it is Arlington's successor as a matter of law. *See Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.*, 758 F.3d at 96 (affirming decision that a *de facto* merger had occurred between Gateway and Arlington).

49. Pursuant to the Agreements, the laws of the State of New York govern this action.

50. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have been met, occurred or have been waived.

#### **G. Gateway's Liability for Arlington's Obligations**

51. On February 8, 2008, Arlington and Gateway entered into what was named an Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA"). Under the APA, Arlington sold to Gateway "all of [Arlington]'s right, title and interest in and to the personal, tangible, intangible and other properties, rights and assets used in the operation of or held for use or useable in the Business." *See Gateway Funding*, 989 F. Supp. 2d at 418 (concluding that the transaction between Gateway and Arlington amounted to a *de facto* merger between the two companies), *aff'd*, 785 F.3d 96.

52. Gateway obtained "all of the following assets of [Arlington]" including: equipment, fixtures, and furniture; intellectual property; computer software; telephone numbers, domain names, and email address; credits, advance payments and deposits; all loans on both warehouse facilities; "[a]ll accounts receivable as of the Closing Date;" "[r]ights to all prepaid expenses as of the Closing Date;" "[r]ights in and to any restrictive covenants and other

obligations of present and former employees, independent contractors, consultants, suppliers and customers to [Arlington];” “[a]ll cash in all accounts, including excess cash in both Warehouse Facilities;” and “[c]laims and rights against third parties” related to the purchased assets. *Id.* at 418. Gateway also obtained “[a]ll Pipeline Loans that have not gone to settlement by the Closing Date.” *Id.* The APA defined “Pipeline Loans” as “any residential mortgage loan for which an application has been taken by [Arlington]’s employees on or before the Closing Date, and that has not been closed and for which a check has not been issued or wire has not been sent as of the Closing Date.” *Id.*

53. Gateway’s CEO publicly admitted that he sought to maintain the “ongoing business” of Arlington, that he sought to continue the mortgage operations, even after the pipeline closed, and that he not only bought the residential mortgage loans in process, but “the ability to get that . . . business in the future.” *Id.*

**1. Gateway’s Assumption of Liabilities Ordinarily Necessary for the Uninterrupted Continuation of Normal Business Operations**

54. Besides purchasing substantially all of Arlington’s assets, Gateway also assumed many of its liabilities.

55. Under the APA, Gateway assumed certain liabilities of Arlington, including all of Arlington’s warehouse debt, all accounts payable, all accrued payroll, lock fees, escrows, almost all accrued expenses, and a loan and a line of credit from Wilmington Trust. *Id.* at 419. Arlington and Gateway also signed an “Assignment, Delegation and Assumption Agreement.” This agreement states that Gateway “is to assume, substantially all of the contracts, liabilities and obligations of [Arlington] relating to [Arlington]’s business and operations except for Excluded Liabilities (as defined in the Purchase Agreement).” *Id.*

## 2. Continuity of Ownership

56. As a condition of closing the asset purchase transaction, Arlington president Kevin Kenyon and executive vice presidents Daniel Leinhauser and Joseph Granahan signed “Branch Manager Employment Agreements.” *Id.* at 419-20. Through these agreements Gateway hired the three Arlington shareholders as branch managers of the former Arlington offices that became the Arlington Branch of Gateway. These branches used the Arlington Capital Mortgage name as a d/b/a and used the Arlington name outside their front door. In this capacity, the three Arlington shareholders supervised many of the same employees they had previously supervised at Arlington and did so at the former Arlington locations. *Id.*

57. Arlington CEO Russo, signed a different “Employment Agreement,” providing for special compensation terms and benefits. *Id.* Russo’s employment agreement contractually entitled him to five percent of Gateway’s total profits. It also entitled him to ten percent of the profits of the Arlington Branch of Gateway, which included not only the former Arlington offices, but “any offices to which any of . . . [Arlington’s] business operations are transferred and any new offices opened with respect to such business operations.” *Id.* at 435.

58. Russo, however, was not the only shareholder to receive contractual profit-sharing rights at Gateway. Each of the three minority shareholders also signed Branch Manager Employment Agreements that entitled them to share in the profits of the former Arlington offices. Each of the three shareholders received the net profits from the branch they managed, and both Granahan and Leinhauser admitted that their situation was similar to that of a small business owner. *Id.* at 435. In this way, the three shareholders also maintained an ownership interest in Arlington’s former assets after the transaction.

59. In addition to these profit sharing rights, Arlington’s four shareholders received significant lump sum payments and forgivable loans as part of their Agreement Not to Compete



with Gateway. At least one former shareholder admitted that these payments compensated for the fact that the transaction rendered his shares worthless.

60. In sum, four Arlington shareholders continued to have an ownership interest in their assets after the transaction with Gateway. Before the transaction, the Arlington owners shared in Arlington's profits as shareholders. After the transaction, they continued to share in the profits of the Arlington Branch of Gateway. Continuity of ownership exists.

### **3. Continuity of Arlington's Enterprise**

61. Gateway purchased the ability to continue Arlington's entire loan production business and did successfully continue that business. *Id.* at 432. Gateway's CEO admitted that he not only sought Arlington's pipeline of loans but the ability to continue to generate that business. *Id.*

62. In the transition from Arlington to Gateway, the former Arlington employees worked in the same office, worked under the same business name (using the Arlington name as a d/b/a), and continued to work in the same markets—including the jumbo loan market—as they had before the transaction. *Id.* at 424-25; 432.

63. Gateway offered employment to the vast majority of Arlington's employees, most of whom accepted the offers. The APA states that "[i]t is the intention of [Gateway] that [Gateway] shall employ all of [Arlington]'s existing qualified loan production and production support employees that are employed in connection with the operation of the Business," as well as additional employees listed in a separate schedule. *Id.* at 424. The additional list of employees contains approximately 180 people, including branch managers, accountants, underwriters, compliance coordinators, financial analysts, IT staff, administrative assistants, and a Human Resources generalist, among others. *Id.* Gateway offered employment to the "large majority" of Arlington employees, and approximately 90% accepted Gateway's offer of

employment. *Id.*

64. At least as late as 2011, Gateway’s website listed two branches under the Arlington name. *Id.* at 426. As late as July 2011, a branch called “Arlington Capital Mortgage—PA” was still listed under Gateway’s Pennsylvania locations. *Id.* As late as August 2011, a branch called “Arlington Capital Mortgage—NJ” was still listed under Gateway’s New Jersey Locations. *Id.* In August 2011, Gateway’s online profile for Arlington Capital Mortgage—NJ—the former Arlington Princeton Branch—stated in the “About Us” section that: “As one of the oldest mortgage banking firms . . . in Princeton, New Jersey, Arlington Capital Mortgage knows how to make home financing solutions happen.” *Id.* The APA provided that Arlington would transfer its telephone numbers to Gateway, and the former Arlington branches of Gateway continued to use the same phone numbers they had used prior to the asset purchase transaction. *Id.* The APA provided that Arlington would transfer the right to use its domain names and email addresses to Gateway, including arlingtoncapital.com, acmc-web.com, Thinkarlington.com, and Windsorfinancial.com, and after the transaction, Arlington’s website redirected to Gateway’s website, while Thinkarlington.com redirected to Gateway’s website until at least August 2010. *Id.*

65. Virtually all of Arlington’s assets transferred to Gateway. The APA provides that Gateway purchased all of the “Assets Used in the Business,” which represent all of the assets used by [Arlington] to conduct its mortgage origination business as it is now being conducted.” The APA even designated that “all cash in all accounts be transferred to Gateway as part of the transaction.”

66. Additionally, Arlington’s operations continued uninterrupted during the transition to Gateway. The APA required Arlington to ensure the continuity of its general business

operations and

use all commercially reasonable efforts to (i) preserve the business organization of [Arlington], (ii) keep available the services of the current officers and employees of [Arlington] that are engaged in the Business . . . , and (iii) maintain the existing relations with Customers, creditors, business partners and others having business dealings with [Arlington] in connection with the Business.

*Id.* at 425.

#### **4. Cessation of Ordinary Business Operations**

67. Although Arlington did not formally dissolve, Arlington retained no employees, offices, or assets of substance after the transaction. Arlington also ceased its ordinary business operations: Arlington discontinued its residential mortgage origination business—its primary enterprise—as required by the Arlington shareholders’ Agreements Not to Compete. *Id.* at 437.

68. Furthermore, by the end of 2009, Arlington had devolved into an asset-less shell. Its cash was virtually depleted, and only \$11,000 remained in the bank. Since 2009, Arlington’s cash assets have not fluctuated greatly and it is still an asset-less entity. *Id.*

69. Arlington has not made disbursements to shareholders since shortly after the transaction, and two of Arlington’s shareholders testified that their ownership interest was worthless. *Id.*

70. Arlington did not carry on an independent business after the merger.

#### **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

##### **(Contractual Indemnification)**

71. LBHI hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above as though fully set forth herein.

72. The Agreements are valid and enforceable contracts that are binding upon Defendants.

73. LBHI and/or LBB has substantially performed all of their obligations under the Agreements.

74. Defendants owe LBHI indemnity for its liabilities, losses, claims, attorneys' fees, judgments and any other costs, fees and expenses as to the Defective Loans.

75. Defendants' breaches of the Agreements and other acts and/or omissions as to the Defective Loans resulted in LBHI incurring liability and/or losses in an amount to be determined at trial, plus prejudgment interest pursuant to New York law, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, and all other fees and costs provided by the Agreements.

76. Gateway is jointly and severally liable for any and all judgments, losses, liability and/or damages resulting from the wrongful actions of Arlington, as alleged herein, because it is Arlington's successor.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, LBHI respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor and against Defendants jointly and severally

- a) For all damages arising from or relating to Defendants' obligations under the indemnification provisions of the Agreements, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- b) For recoverable interest;
- c) For the costs and expenses incurred by LBHI in enforcing Defendants' obligations under the Agreement, including attorneys' fees and costs and any expert witness fees incurred in litigation; and
- d) Providing for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
December 12, 2018

/s/ William A. Maher

William A. Maher  
Paul R. DeFilippo  
James N. Lawlor  
Adam M. Bialek  
Mara R. Lieber

WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP  
500 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10110  
Telephone: (212) 382-3300  
Facsimile: (212) 382-0050

*Counsel for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.*

# **EXHIBIT A**

**EXHIBIT A**  
**AGREEMENTS**  
**Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage**  
**Services, L.P.**

Loan Purchase Agreement, dated August 16, 2001

Loan Purchase Agreement, dated March 18, 2004

Loan Purchase Agreement (Bulk), dated June 16, 2005

Purchase Price and Term Letter, dated July 21, 2005

Broker Agreement, dated October 20, 2005

Purchase Price and Term Letter, dated December 7, 2005

Loan Purchase Agreement, dated November 20, 2006

# **EXHIBIT B**



**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

<b>Loan Number</b>	<b>Claim Reason</b>	<b>Claim Reason 2</b>	<b>Claim Reason 3</b>	<b>Claim Amount</b>
0019944958	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 67,649.83
0030245757	UW - OTHER			\$ 98,405.92
0030355663	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 396,863.70
0030355671	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 241,530.50
0030982516	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 100,265.90
0031312556	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 99,415.49
0031786486	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 302,697.90
0031786494	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 273,833.00
0031786528	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 170,320.10
0031786593	DOCUMENTATION	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 179,618.80
0031786692	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 165,973.20
0031786718	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 235,116.90
0031786759	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 108,263.00
0031786783	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 93,134.46
0032165862	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 105,566.10
0033580770	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 61,328.43
0033583824	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 18,183.64
0033583865	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 145,875.00
0033650144	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 23,614.26
0033650177	DOCUMENTATION	UW - OTHER	UW - OTHER	\$ 142,304.00
0033650219	UW - OTHER	UW - OTHER	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	\$ 96,062.87
0033679614	UW - OTHER	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 318,033.30
0033679978	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	\$ 261,957.90
0033680208	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 54,902.01
0033680398	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 204,727.10

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0033680588	UW - OTHER			\$ 178,264.50
0033697848	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 91,709.32
0033697897	DOCUMENTATION	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	\$ 91,768.78
0033750688	UW - OTHER			\$ 494,460.10
0033772682	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 248,195.30
0033785791	UW - OTHER			\$ 311,518.30
0033807280	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 188,808.50
0037241551	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 72,063.53
0037626645	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 67,784.44
0037649613	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 76,258.32
0038061446	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	MISREP - DEBTS	UW - OTHER	\$ 63,599.24
0038139507	MISREP - OCCUPANCY			\$ 74,854.09
0038178620	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 116,704.50
0038575528	UW - OTHER			\$ 95,743.80
0038951661	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 65,510.73
0039158035	UW - OTHER			\$ 111,512.60
0039312145	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 287,982.60
0040013153	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	\$ 427,528.60
0040019234	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 202,175.20
0040037509	UW - OTHER			\$ 404,130.10
0040043226	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 111,341.50
0040043853	UW - OTHER			\$ 115,978.80
0040050643	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 136,156.00
0040058109	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 132,473.70
0040058562	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 238,501.10

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0040062382	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 56,195.02
0040069106	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 148,271.80
0040069387	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS	UW - OTHER	\$ 96,453.37
0040076390	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 187,642.70
0040076713	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 340,603.60
0040116105	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 401,568.20
0040132375	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 268,015.10
0040137721	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 94,804.09
0040138331	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 85,134.83
0040138448	UW - OTHER			\$ 379,241.90
0040138992	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 130,550.00
0040171803	UW - OTHER	UW - OTHER		\$ 95,882.51
0040180242	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 124,121.30
0040189185	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 273,318.70
0040189318	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 505,228.60
0040189763	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 252,727.20
0040189888	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 289,999.40
0040189896	UW - OTHER	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 81,179.63
0040189946	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 93,949.90
0040199010	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	UW - OTHER	\$ 352,218.40
0040201964	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 176,272.50
0040206542	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 180,909.90
0040223455	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 27,439.05
0040224784	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 162,124.20
0040225047	MISREP - DEBTS	UW - OTHER		\$ 99,935.34

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0040227456	MISREP - DEBTS	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 49,123.97
0040228934	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 47,308.60
0040249914	DOCUMENTATION	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 154,353.80
0040250060	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 71,224.80
0040253536	UW - OTHER	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 294,361.60
0040258543	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 203,869.90
0040258758	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 226,180.90
0040265886	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	UW - OTHER		\$ 255,085.30
0040274623	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 161,052.50
0040279390	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 160,446.40
0040279424	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 150,006.40
0040297244	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 227,000.90
0040299877	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY	\$ 128,652.90
0040340259	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 183,062.60
0040353138	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	\$ 512,605.30
0040366247	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 85,173.24
0040375511	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 1,618.15
0040375693	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 175,538.40
0040377210	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 5,957.15
0040402109	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 229,333.20
0040403107	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 237,679.20
0040416067	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 610,203.30
0040419269	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 527,622.50
0040428971	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 199,050.70
0040460339	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 311,923.60

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0040468084	UW - OTHER	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 228,176.40
0040474173	MISREP - OCCUPANCY			\$ 337,468.20
0040489098	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 298,874.60
0040489353	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 372,351.50
0040489569	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 66,149.88
0040489619	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 444,044.90
0040489908	UW - OTHER			\$ 143,151.70
0040491714	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 290,499.90
0040517724	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 273,180.50
0040537912	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS		\$ 135,966.40
0040545089	UW - OTHER	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 249,787.00
0040553687	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 418,594.30
0040555120	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 275,675.30
0040562761	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 205,021.50
0040563272	UW - OTHER			\$ 232,435.30
0040568362	DOCUMENTATION	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 290,033.50
0040568404	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 352,046.20
0040569352	UW - OTHER			\$ 295,357.20
0040575334	DOCUMENTATION	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	DOCUMENTATION	\$ 352,563.20
0040632465	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 195,257.90
0040637514	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 192,628.90
0040637639	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 305,463.90
0040652430	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 224,758.50
0040674079	UW - OTHER			\$ 497,702.10
0045343159	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	DOCUMENTATION	\$ 83,249.94

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0046880829	UW - OTHER	MISREP - DEBTS	DOCUMENTATION	\$ 293,014.70
0017480807	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 66,485.89
0017840935	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 55,535.55
0017853375	DOCUMENTATION	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 503,205.80
0030203053	UW - OTHER			\$ 18,010.10
0030431092	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 73,751.97
0030792634	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 351,878.90
0030806277	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 91,075.20
0031254170	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 2,224.98
0031384878	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 27,232.24
0031515620	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 91,308.73
0031543549	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 33,480.14
0031585185	UW - OTHER			\$ 96,660.44
0031680242	DOCUMENTATION	DOCUMENTATION	DOCUMENTATION	\$ 189,157.80
0031719255	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 41,055.33
0031807860	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 233,558.10
0031807944	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 88,397.98
0032419731	MISREP - DEBTS	DOCUMENTATION		\$ 185,937.80
0033203183	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	UW - OTHER		\$ 207,704.50
0033203712	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 57,893.74
0033236001	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 267,658.90
0033261868	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 154,525.90
0033267253	MISREP - DEBTS			\$ 79,873.04

**EXHIBIT B**

**EXHIBIT B**

Arlington Capital Mortgage Corporation and Gateway Funding Diversified Mortgage Services, L.P.

0033305210	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 100,270.40
0033371295	MISREP - DEBTS	MISREP - OCCUPANCY		\$ 51,576.60
0033502857	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY	MISREP - DEBTS	\$ 538,402.80
0033645714	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 133,864.80
0033680521	DOCUMENTATION			\$ 18,649.53
0033733403	UW - OTHER			\$ 48,773.25
0040205957	UW - OTHER			\$ 61,431.40
0040327199	MISREP - OCCUPANCY			\$ 292,651.10
0040481392	UW - OTHER	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY		\$ 87,451.67
0040517948	MISREP - INCOME/EMPLOY			\$ 50,387.54

**TOTAL****\$ 29,836,415.05**

# **EXHIBIT C**



## **EXHIBIT C**

### **I. MISREPRESENTATION CLAIMS**

- A. MISREP – ASSETS: The amount and/or source of the borrower's assets at origination and/or other information concerning the borrower's assets was misrepresented, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- B. MISREP – BORROWER: The identity of the borrower, information concerning the identity of the borrower, and/or information concerning interested parties' relationship to the borrower was misrepresented at origination, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- C. MISREP – CREDIT/FICO: Information related to the borrower's credit and/or creditworthiness at origination was misrepresented, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- D. MISREP – DEBTS: One or more debts opened by the borrower prior to close of the subject transaction and/or sale of the subject loan were undisclosed/misrepresented at origination, which non-disclosure/misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- E. MISREP – IDENTITY THEFT: The identity of the borrower at origination was misrepresented as that of someone else, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- F. MISREP – INCOME/EMPLOY: The borrower's income at origination and/or information concerning his/her employment at origination was omitted/misrepresented, which omission/misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- G. MISREP – NAL OMISSION: A non-arms-length/interested party relationship was omitted/misrepresented at origination, which omission/misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- H. MISREP – OCCUPANCY: The borrower's intention about the occupancy of the subject property was misrepresented at origination, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach, or the borrower failed to satisfy the occupancy covenants set forth in the applicable security instrument.
- I. MISREP – OTHER: Information related to the borrower or subject transaction was misrepresented at origination, which misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- J. MISREP – VALUE: The origination appraisal misrepresented the value of the subject property and/or violated one or more underwriting, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, or other requirements, which misrepresentation/violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.

## **EXHIBIT C**

- K. MISREP – VOR: Information related to the borrower's rental and/or rental payment history was omitted/misrepresented at origination, which omission/misrepresentation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.

### **II. UNDERWRITING CLAIMS**

- A. UW – APPRAISAL: Upon information and belief, the origination appraisal violated one or more underwriting, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, or other requirements, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- B. UW – ASSETS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning verification of the borrower's assets and/or other information related to the borrower's assets, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- C. UW – CONTRIBUTIONS/CONCESSIONS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning costs paid by the seller or an interested third party, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- D. UW – CREDIT/BANKRUPTCY: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning analysis of the borrower's credit, creditworthiness, and/or other information related to the borrower's credit, including but not limited to a prior or existing bankruptcy, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- E. UW – CREDIT/FICO: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning analysis of the borrower's credit, creditworthiness, and/or other information related to the borrower's credit, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- F. UW – DATA ERRORS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the use of an automated underwriting system, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- G. UW – DEBT DISCLOSURE: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the borrower's outstanding debt, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- H. UW – DOCUMENTATION/ASSETS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning verification of the borrower's assets and/or other information related to the borrower's assets, and/or verification of the borrower's rental and/or rental payment history, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.

## EXHIBIT C

- I. UW – DOWN PAYMENT: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the borrower's minimum down payment obligation, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- J. UW – ESCROW: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning escrow accounts and/or escrow holdbacks, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- K. UW – EXCESSIVE CASH OUT: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the permissible amount of cash-out for the subject transaction, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- L. UW – FAILURE TO ADDRESS POTENTIAL MISREPRESENTATIONS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning misrepresentations about the occupancy of the subject property, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- M. UW – FLIP TRANSACTIONS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning ineligible property/land flip transactions, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- N. UW – INCOME/EMPLOY: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning verification of the borrower's employment and/or income and/or the reasonableness of the borrower's ability to repay the mortgage debt, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- O. UW – INCOME/RATIOS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning analysis of the borrower's rental income, and/or ratio and/or qualifying guidelines, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- P. UW – INCONSISTENT LOAN APPLICATIONS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning verification of inconsistent information between the borrower's loan applications and/or the reasonableness of the borrower's ability to repay the mortgage debt, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- Q. UW – INELIGIBLE INSTRUMENT: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting requirements concerning the information disclosed on the note, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.

## EXHIBIT C

- R. UW – INSUFFICIENT ASSETS/RESERVES: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning required asset and/or reserve amounts, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- S. UW – INVESMENT PROPERTIES: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning non-owner occupied/investment properties, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- T. UW – LACK OF NECESSARY INSURANCE: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning required private mortgage and/or other mandated insurance coverage, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- U. UW – LIEN POSITION: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements related to the lien position of the subject transaction, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- V. UW – MISSING DOCUMENTS: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning necessary or required documentation related to the subject transaction, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- W. UW – NAL TRANSACTION: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting requirements concerning non-arms-length/interested party transactions, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- X. UW – NON WARRANTABLE CONDOMINIUM: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the financing/acceptability of condominium projects, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- Y. UW – PAYMENT SHOCK: Upon information and belief, in violation of applicable underwriting or other requirements the subject transaction resulted in signification payment shock (the payment for the subject transaction more than doubled the borrower’s existing rental or mortgage payment), which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- Z. UW – PROPERTY: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning verification of the type and/or classification of the subject property, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.
- AA. UW – POINTS/FEES: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the points and fees paid by the borrower, which violation formed the basis of Defendant’s breach.

## **EXHIBIT C**

- BB. UW – RATIOS or EXCESSIVE DTI: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning ratio and/or qualifying guidelines, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- CC. UW – RESIDENCY: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements concerning the borrower's residency, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- DD. UW – STRAW TRANSACTION: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements related to ineligible straw borrower/straw buyer transactions, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- EE. UW – TITLE: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements related to the property title and/or lien position of the subject transaction, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- FF. UW – INELIGIBLE FOR LOAN PROGRAM: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- GG. UW – OTHER: Upon information and belief, the subject transaction violated one or more underwriting or other requirements.

### **III. OTHER**

- A. COLLATERAL: Upon information and belief, the origination appraisal violated applicable underwriting, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, or other requirements, which violation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- B. DOCUMENTATION: Necessary or required documentation concerning the subject transaction was missing, unverified, or otherwise inadequate, and Defendant's failure to obtain, verify, or otherwise ensure the adequacy of documentation concerning the subject transaction formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- C. IDENTITY THEFT: The borrower's identity at origination was that of someone else, which misinformation formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- D. MI RESCISSION: Facts and/or events concerning the subject loan transaction resulted in the denial of liability or rescission of coverage by a mortgage insurer, which denial/rescission formed the basis of Defendant's breach.
- E. MISSING VVOE: Necessary or required documentation concerning the verbal verification of the borrower's employment was missing, unverified, or otherwise inadequate, and Defendant's failure to obtain, verify, or otherwise ensure the

## **EXHIBIT C**

adequacy of documentation concerning the verbal verification of borrower's employment formed the basis of Defendant's breach.

- F. OTHER: The subject transaction was a breach of the Agreements for a reason that does not fit into one of the proceeding categories.