19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 1 of 18

OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM (INSUFFICIENT LEGAL BASIS UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS)

COMES NOW, the Consumer Creditor, CHERANE PEFLEY, and files this her Objection in response to the Claims Trustee's Forty- Third Omnibus Objection to Proof of Claim and as grounds does state:

1. That the Creditor, CHERANE PEFLEY, filed a Proof of Claim,

Number 22049 in the sum of ninety million dollars (\$90,000,000.00)

2. That on April 16, 2021, the Claims Trustee filed an Objection to the

Proof of Claim stating that there was insufficient legal basis for the claim.

3. That Creditor objects that there was an insufficient legal basis

for her claim. That Creditor presents sufficient facts which, if taken as true, will indicate

that a violation of law occurred and that the Creditor is entitled to a legal remedy.

4. Creditor asserted claims for fraud, malicious prosecution and wrongful

publication of foreclosure and herein presents all facts necessary to uphold such claims.

5. A misrepresentation is an untrue or misleading statement of fact made

during negotiations by one party to another, the statement then inducing that other party to enter into a contract. Creditor/PEFLEY asserts that Ditech knowingly filed false reports and statements that served to trick or deceive Creditor into signing a contract for a

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 2 of 18

modification that it knew was not going to honored in 'good faith. Ditech, knowing it was going to foreclose on Creditor, misrepresented its' intentions when it agreed that Creditor make three payments on the trial period plan (TPP) and only reported one payment to Fannie Mae. As the third automatic payment was being taken from Creditor's bank account, Fannie Mae, unaware of the second and third automatic payments and believing that Creditor did not keep her promise to pay, assigned Creditor's Mortgage and Promissory Note to Ditech so Ditech could foreclose against the Creditor. As a direct consequence of Ditech's deliberate and unlawful conduct, PEFLEY'S business no longer produced the revenue to cover her real estate expenses and she lost the real property.

6. When Ditech became holder of the Note and Mortgage, it became a party to the contract with Creditor/PEFLEY. See *Amjad Munim, M.D., P.A. v. Azar*, 648 So. 2d 145 (4th DCA 1994, Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc Denied Feb. 2, 1995) (holding under traditional corporate law rule, liability of predecessor corporation is not automatically imposed upon successor corporation unless successor expressly or impliedly assumes obligations of predecessor, transaction is de facto merger, successor is mere continuation of predecessor, or transaction is a fraudulent effort to avoid liabilities of predecessor.)

Creditor asserts that as a real party in interest, Ditech was a "mere continuation of predecessor" PNC. Therein, Ditech was responsible for complying with all provisions of the contract (which did **not** include foreclosure). Failure to comply with any of the contract's provisions would be a breach.

Ditech and Creditor/PEFLEY had a binding agreement that was to be

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 3 of 18

honored by each party. Ditech breached the agreement when it foreclosed on Creditor even though the record showed and the Court ruled that Creditor/PEFLEY had made all three payments punctually and in full. As a result of Ditech's breach, Creditor was delivered into poverty.

7. Although Ditech had no legal reason or judicial permission to foreclose on Creditor's/PEFLEY's property. Ditech attempted to foreclose. Ditech's publication **EXHIBT F** of the foreclosure was wrongful. There should never have been a foreclosure, nor a publication of it. The Court agreed and on December 14, 2010, the Court vacated its decision for foreclosure and sale of Creditor's property. A non-jury trial was held on March 27, 2010 and the resulting decision was against Ditech and in favor of Creditor/PEFLEY. Ditech offered Creditor loss mitigation while still scheming behind the scenes to foreclose. **See Trial transcript expert testified ONE P YMENT THE TPP**

8. Ditech attempted foreclosure two more times and failed as these attempts were fraudulent. The foreclosure attempts by Ditech were wrongful because Ditech was involved in unethical activity- that being, the failure to admit to and concealed fromFannie Mae that the Creditor had made three payments for the TPP and the failure to take the place of its predecessor in contract. **EXIBIT C**

Contemporaneously with the second foreclosure, Ditech Improperly treated the loan payments during the transfer period. According to Title 12, 2006 Edition Supplement 5, Chapter 27, RESPA, § 2605(2)(d) entitled "Treatment of loan payments during transfer period": "During the 60-day period beginning on the effective date of transfer of the servicing of any federally related mortgage loan, a late fee may not be imposed on the borrower with respect to any payment on such loan and no

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 4 of 18

such payment may be treated as late for any other purposes, if the payment is received by the transferor servicer (rather than the transferee servicer who should properly receive payment) before the due date applicable to such payment"

The initial and continuing attempts by Ditech to foreclosure should never have taken place as Creditor had made all payments due. The initiation of a foreclosure against Creditor/PEFLEY was without probable cause and thereby malicious in nature, causing Creditor to make her claim of Malicious Prosecution to the Trustee against Ditech.

9. Under Bankruptcy 101 (5) Creditor/ PEFLEY has a right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right to payment, whether or not such right to an equitable remedy is reduced to judgment, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, secured, or unsecured. PEFLEY alleges that Ditech breached its agreement with her, fraudulently and maliciously brought forth foreclosure proceedings. As a result of Ditech's actions, Creditor suffered damages and has a right to collect payment from Ditech as restitution for those damages.

WHEREFORE, Creditor/PEFLEY requests that this Court dismiss its' objection to her proof of claim.

Cherane Pefley, Pro Se May 10, 2021 CHERANE PEFLEY EXHIBIT B AMENDED FINAL JUGEMENT Plaintiff comes to court with unclean hands" "prevented defendant from complying with the terms of the loan" "unconscionable to rule in favor of the plaintiff"

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 6 of 18



CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION AW CASE NO. 502010CA012592XXXMB

2014 MAY 23 AM 10:

IL:ED

GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC, Plaintiff(s)

CHERANE PEFLEY, Defendant(s).

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

THIS CAUSE came on for Non-Jury Trial on March 27, 2014, and, the Court Faving taken testimony of witnesses and heard argument of counsel for both the Plaintiff and the Defendant, and being otherwise fully apprised in the premises, makes the following findings of fact and law;

1. In accordance with the Sixth Affirmative Defense filed by the Defendant, the Plaintiff's predecessor in interest agreed to a monthly mortgage payment of \$2,506.07 in October 2008, then refused to accept the Defendant's payments.

2. The Plaintiff's predecessor prevented the Defendant from complying with the terms of the loan. Plaintiff's predecessor comes to Court with unclean hands and it would be unconscionable to render a verdict in favor of the Plaintiff.

IT IS, THEREFORE,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

That the Court enters a Judgment in favor of Defendant CHERANE PEFLEY and

reserves jurisdiction to award attorney's fees to Defendant upon proper proof and an evidentiary hearing, if necessary.

The original promissory note and mortgage shall be returned to Plaintiff's attorney uncancelled.

DONE and ORDERED in West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 22nd day of May, 2014, nunc pro tunc 8th day of April, 2014.

Circuit Judge Susan R. Lubit

Copies Furnished to:

Law Offices Of Philippe Symonovicz, 1995 East Oakland Park Boulevard - Suite 210 Fort Lauderdale, Fl 33306 SHD Legal Group, P.A P.O. Box 11438 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33339-1438

CFN 20140194941, OR BK 26816 PG 92,RECORDED 05/28/2014 09:23:05 Sharon R. Bock,CLERK & COMPTROLLER, Palm Beach County, NUM OF PAGES 1 19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 7 of 18

> **EXHIBIT A ORDER BY THE COURT COUNTERCLAIM COUNNTS 1 AND TWO APPROVED FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT AND MALICOUS** PROSECUTION **COUNT THREE FRAUD THIRTY DAYS** TO AMEND THIRD COUNT ON FRAUD **FEBUARY 8, 2019** DITECH FILED BANDKRUPTCY TWO DAYS LATter that put a stay on my sex year old counterclaim with finally this court order for me to dismiss with ruling my case as person of interest to be in consumer recovery for damages

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 8 of 18

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLURIDA
CASE NO. 50-2010-CA-012592XXXXXMB (AF)
GREEN TREE SERVICING, LLC,
HainimCounter-Defendant,
и.
CHERANE PEPLRY, et al.,
Defendanta/Counter-Plaintiffs.
ORDER ON COUNTER-DEFENDANT DITECH FINANCIAL LLC'S MOTION TO DISMESS COUNT HI OF COUNTER-PLAINTIFFS DURITIAMENDED COUNTER-PLAINTIFFS Mation for generation of the court on the state of the former, 2019, upon Counter- THIS CAUSE care before the Court on the state of Parage, 2019, upon Counter-
Definition, DUTECH FINANCIAL LLC (Non Group Tray Su, vising, LLC's Motion to Dismiss
Count III of Counter-Flointiff's Fourth Amendeal Counterclaim (the "Motion"). The Court,
having reviewed the Court's file, basis argument of counsel and being otherwise fully advised in
the premise, it is
ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as places 1. Constructions in statistic is briefly <u>Constrated</u> , willow frequebre. 2. Construction of the statistic is briefly <u>Constrated</u> frequebre. 2. Construction of the statistic of
TILED, PALM DEACH DOUNTY, FL, SHWRON R. DOOK, OLERK, 020002019 04:20:21 PM

Filing # 84669737 E-Filed 02/08/2019 04:20:21 PM

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 9 of 18

EXHIBIT C

N PBC DOCKET TO ANSWE BY DITECH ATTORNEY

13. As to Request Number 13, Objection: The term "offered" is a legal term and

19-10412 ijlor (Doc 3416 her Filed 05/12/21 entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 10 of 18

modify the terms of the loan would be in writing and the Plaintiff states that this party has made reasonable inquiry and without waiving said objection the information known or readily available by the Plaintiff is insufficient to enable the Plaintiff to admit or deny anything beyond the fact that Plaintiff admits that the Defendant made periodic payments for \$1,099.44 for the months of January through March of 2010.

- 14. As to Request Number 14, Admitted that \$1099.44 was paid and received monthly in January through March, 2010.
- As to Request Number 15, Admitted that \$3,912.65 was due and owing from the Defendant as an escrow payment in June of 2008.
- 16. As to Request Number 16, Admitted.
- As to Request Number 17, the Plaintiff states that this party has made reasonable inquiry and the information regarding information on Form 1098 known or



DEFENDANTS COLLATER DOC 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document CLAIM AND MONEY Pg 11 of 18 DAMAGES AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL " 19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 12 of 18

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION AW CASE NO. 502010CA012592XXXXMB

GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC, Plaintiff(s) Y. UNKNOWN TENANT NO 1, UNKNOWN TENANT NO 2, CHERAN' PH/LEY, Defendant(s).

/

COPY

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO REASSIGN CASE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon information that the forcelosuse case has been resolved and that there remains only Defendant's counter-claim for money damages and demand for jury trial. Accordingly, the case should be reassigned in accordance with Administrative Order, 3.302.6(13. It is therefore, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

The clerk shall randomly reassign this case to a general civil division.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, on this

RICHARD L. OFTEDAL RICHARD L. OFTEDAL Circuit Judge

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 13 of 18

EXHBIT E

DITECH JANUARY 2010 INVOICE WITH MY OVERAGE OF ESCOW

CORPOATION ADVANCE AND ESCROW



NUCAE TALIVILLA

Current Payment:

Past Due Payment:

Escrow Due:

-23-2010

170-4804

in

I and held by servicer for the future payment of taxes and insurance. onies advanced by servicer to pay taxes, insurance, and any other art of an escrow account. o pay your loan in full

Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 14 of 18

Insurance Due: Additional Charges Billed Late Charges Dotal Amount Total Amount Second

TO STOP IN DECEMBER 14 2010 ANOTHER ORDER ONE YEAR LATER BEFORE THEY STOPPED ADVERTISING

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 15 of 18

19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 16 of 18



19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 17 of 18

EXHIBIT G

My client who has bought from me since january 2028 when my plea was this is near end 19-10412-jlg Doc 3416 Filed 05/12/21 Entered 05/30/21 13:22:34 Main Document Pg 18 of 18

1202/21/2

C

C

000'9

and correct