

9020 West Ave J # 2

Lancaster, Ca. 93536

661-409-8892

ljanco@avc.edu

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

In re: Chapter 11

Walter Investments Incorporated/affiliates : SDNY Case No. 17-13346

**: DITECH HOLDING CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 17-13346 and SDNY Case No.19-10412 (JLG)
: Wind Down Estates.¹ : (Jointly Administered) :**

----- X

OBJECTION To ERASE RECORDS FROM SERVERS, and The Right to be Heard at the Hearing

Proof of Claim Administrative 2585, Administrative 2919

Objection to CONSUMER CLAIMS TRUSTEE'S FIFTIETH (the Consumer Claims Trustee), on behalf of the Wind Down Estates, to schedule response deadlines for claims objections to be heard at the hearings the Plan Administrator schedules with the Court.)

The claim hearing procedures (the "Claim Hearing Procedures") have been ordered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") to apply to the chapter 11 cases of the Ditech Holding Corporation and its affiliates (each, a "Debtor").¹

Claim Hearing Procedures

1. Under the Court's "Case Management Order" (Order Implementing Certain Notice and Case Management Procedures, dated March 19, 2019 (ECF No. 211)), the Court established periodic hearings in these cases and required the Plan Administrator (which term includes the Consumer Claims Trustee), on behalf of the Wind Down Estates, to schedule response deadlines for claims objections to be heard at the hearings the Plan Administrator schedules with the Court.

MOTION TO ERASE RECORDS FROM SERVERS ON SDNY CASE #17-13446 & SDNY CASE # 19-10412 FOR JUST CAUSE OF FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY FRAUD < FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY CRIMES WITH CRIMINAL CHARGES FILED IN CALIFORNIA. THE DEBTORS FILED BOTH BANKRUPTCY CASES in SDNY DURING FEDERAL CFPB (CID) CASE #170214-2708093 Confessing to FEDERAL FELONIES OF PC 424 EMBEZZLEMENT OF CALIFORNIA STATE PUBLIC FUNDING, MIS-APPROPRIATION OF FEDERAL AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC FUNDING TOOK EMBEZZLED ASSETTS TO MONEY LAUNDER THROUGH THE FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY SYSTEM. THESE CRIMES WERE COMMITTED IN

~~THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. CALIFORNIA HAS JURISDICTION OVER THESE CRIMES COMMITTED BY THE DEBTORS> OMITTING THESE FEDERAL FELONY CRIMES FROM SDNY IN CASE # 17-13346 and SDNY 19-10412 AFTER CONFESSING TO PC 424 ,EMBEZZLEMENT, GRAND THEFT <LARCENY< COMMITTED CRIMES TARGETING THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CONTROLLER'S OFFICE EMPLOYEES USING WIRE FRAUD CONCEALING THE CRIMES OF PC424 & PC115 and PRESENTING FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS TO THE CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLERS OFFICE FOR ILLEGAL ACCESS TO THE SCO ACCOUNT # 1001167 IN JANUARY OF 2019. Concealing the crime of PC 424 ,PC 115 to commit more crimes on the claimant(Lisa Janco) a Blind /Dependent adult.~~

11 DAYS BEFORE FILING THE SECOND CHAPTER 11 CASE SDNY 19-10412 WHILE UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR THE FELONIES AGAINST A BLIND/ DEPENDANT ADULT(LISA JANCO) BOTH CASES INCLUDED EMBEZZLED ASSETS. THE DEBTORS CAME BACK TO CALIFORNIA TO COMMIT MORE FEDERAL FELONIES AGAINST MS. JANCO IN CALIFORNIA PC 424 is Mandatory Jail Sentence of up to 4 years . Under California Constitutions Federal Felony Crime Victims receive Mandatory Restitution to all Victims and Heirs. The Debtors Committed :

TAX FRAUD/TAX EVASION/WIRE FRAUD TO COMMIT THESE CRIMES AGAIST THE VICTIMS. THE ATTORNEYS AND CONSUMER TRUSTEE WAS SERVED AND NOTIFIED OF THESE FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY CRIMES AND BANKRUPTCY FRAUD > CONCEALING CRIMES FROM A FEDERAL JUDGE TO HAVE A FEDERAL JUDGE PUT HIS "WET" SIGNATURE ON STOLEN ASSETS FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. ATTORNEYS AND CONSUMER TRUSTEE WAS SERVED AND NOTIFIED IN NOVEMBER 2021 - For the crimes committed. with TO PROOFS OF CLAIM

(DUPLICATE OR AMENDED UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS) Exhibit A

Objection By Lisa Janco

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS AND ATTORNEYS FOR TARA TWOMEY DI-TECH CONSUMER CREDITOR TRUSTEE WERE SERVED AND NOTIFIED OF BANKRUPCY CRIMES AND BANKRUPTCY FRAUD AS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A

(BELOW) Which is needed for California District Court (PROPER JURIDICITION) The Attorneys for the DEBTORS and Attorneys of the Consumer Creditor Trustee Tara Twomey were Properly Notified and served at the same time as Listed in Exhibit A filed in the Court for a Hearing in which was adjourned to a later date.

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE OF HEARING

CONSUMER CLAIMS TRUSTEE'S FIFTIETH

OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM

(DUPLICATE OR AMENDED UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS)

¹ The Wind Down Estates, along with the last four digits of each of their federal tax identification number, as applicable, are Ditech Holding Corporation (0486); DF Insurance Agency LLC (6918); Ditech Financial LLC (5868); Green Tree Credit LLC (5864); Green Tree Credit Solutions LLC (1565); Green Tree Insurance Agency of Nevada, Inc. (7331); Green Tree Investment Holdings III LLC (1008); Green Tree Servicing Corp. (3552); Matrix Servicing LLC (6101); Walter Management Holding Company LLC (9818); and Walter Reverse Acquisition LLC (8837). The Wind Down Estates' principal offices are located at 2600 South Shore Blvd., Suite 300, League City, TX 77573.

This Objection seeks to disallow and expunge certain

You should locate your name and claims on Exhibit A attached to this Objection. If you do not oppose the disallowance of your claim, you do not need to file a written response, and you do not need to appear for the hearing. If you do oppose the disallowance

of your claim, you must file a written response to the Objection as provided below. If the Bankruptcy Court disallows your claim, you will not be entitled to any money based on the claim.

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER CLAIMS TRUSTEE AT INFO@DITECH-SETTLEMENT.COM OR 877-783-6297

Claimant's name: Lisa Janco

9020 West Ave. J space #2

LANCASTER, California 93536

phone number: 661-409-8892

email: ljanco@avc.edu

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

Lisa Janco OBJECTS TO DISCHARGE FOR JUST CAUSE FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY FRAUD/BANKRUPTCY CRIMES to MONEY LAUNDER STOLEN ASSETS THROUGH A FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY COURT AFTER CONFESSING THE ASSETS WERE STOLEN IN CALIFORNIA

Disclaimer: Ms. Lisa Janco is not able to waive nor dismiss any criminal charges pending by the Department of Justice, the federal Bureau investigation, the State of California Atty. Gen., The state of California Controller's office Betty Yee (CPA)for the State of California) or the United States Federal Government These FEDERAL FELONY CRIMES HAVE MANDATORY Prison Sentence

UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW PC 424, PC115

Attorneys for: (THE DEBTORS)

Criminal charges that are pending; Felony charges brought by the actions of the Debtors/affiliates with Intent to cause Harm and Malice on a Blind/Dependent Adult (LISA JANCO) OTHERS VICTIMS IN CALIFORNIA WITH CONSTUTIONAL RIGHTS TO RESTUTUTION (MANDATORY UNDER CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION) are :

BRANDON JANCO<JULIANNA JANCO, and Heirs to Ms. Janco's Estate

CRIMES SDNY CASE #17-13346 & SDNY 19-10412

WALTER MANAGEMENT CORPORATION/Ditech LLC Holding Corporation, Green Tree LLC,
and its affiliates,

ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTORS

and ATTORNEYS FOR DEBTOR/ DI-TECH'S

CONSUMER CREDITOR TRUSTEE

WERE SERVED AND NOTIFIED of the Bankruptcy Crimes (Jurisdiction California where the Crimes Occurred)

19-10412-jlg, 2021-4387 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 15:08:43 Main Document
Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claims – Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer
Creditor Claims (the “Objection”), which seeks to disallow proofs of claim listed on Exhibit A to the
Objection. The Objection asks the Bankruptcy Court to disallow the claims listed on Exhibit A
because the claims are either duplicative of, or in some instances have been amended by a
subsequent filing of one or more claim(s) asserted against the Debtors. Recipients of this Notice
should locate their name and claims on Exhibit A. If you do not oppose the disallowance of your
claim, you do not need to file a written response to the Objection or appear for the hearing. The
Court will disallow the duplicate or amended claim, and you will not be entitled to any money on
account of that claim. If you do oppose the disallowance of your duplicate or amended claim (for
example, if you believe it does not duplicate or amend the other claim you filed), you must file a
written response to the Objection. If you do not respond to this Notice, the court may disallow
your claim without further notice or hearing.

The Court has ordered those certain procedures—the “Claims Hearing Procedures”— apply to this
Objection and to any Response you file. A copy of the Claims Hearing Procedures is Attachment 1
to this Notice. The Claims Hearing Procedures impose deadlines for action. Failure to comply with
the Claim Hearing Procedures may result in the disallowance of your claim without further notice.
Please review them carefully if you oppose disallowance of your claim.

2

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 3 of 15

Among other things, the Claims Hearing Procedures require that you file with the Bankruptcy
Court and send a copy of your response so that it is received by November 12, 2021 to:

(1) Consumer Claims Trustee, Tara Twomey, Consumer Recovery Trust, c/o Settlement
Administrator, PO Box 1607, Blue Bell, PA 19422 or via email at info@ditech-settlement.com;

(2) The chambers of the Honorable James L. Garrity, Jr., United States Bankruptcy Court for the
Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, NY 10004. Claimants wishing to file
by email should check the court website for operating procedures, which are subject to frequent
changes due to COVID-19 accommodations

The Claims Hearing Procedures also require that every response to the Objection contain the
following:

a. a caption identifying the court (U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York), the
Debtor (Ditech Holding Corporation, et al), the case number (19- 10412); and the document to
which the response is directed (Consumer Claim Trustee’s Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of
Claim);

b. the name of the claimant and a description of the basis for the claim; c. a statement describing
why the claim should not be disallowed, including the specific factual and legal bases upon which
the claimant will rely in opposing the Objection;

d. all documentation or other evidence upon which claimant will rely in opposing the Objection,
excluding documentation provided with the original Proof of Claim; and e. the name, address,
telephone number and email of the person (which may be the claimant or the claimant’s legal
representative) who will have the ultimate

authority to settle or otherwise resolve the Claim on behalf of the claimant.

3

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 4 of 15

a written response to the Objection, you should plan to appear at that hearing, unless the Consumer Claims Trustee notifies you that the hearing will be postponed to a later date. You may participate in the hearing telephonically by complying with the Court's instructions (including providing prior written notice to the attorneys for the Consumer Claims Trustee), which can be found on the Court's website at www.nysb.uscourts.gov.

CLAIMANTS SHOULD NOT CONTACT THE CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO DISCUSS THE LEGAL MERITS OF THEIR CLAIMS.

DATED: October 18, 2021

/s/ Richard Levin

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1600

Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

Richard Levin

rlevin@jenner.com

Attorneys for Consumer Claims Trustee 19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 5 of 15

Attachment 1

COURT-ORDERED CLAIM HEARING PROCEDURES

The claim hearing procedures (the "Claim Hearing Procedures") have been ordered by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Court") to apply to the chapter 11 cases of the Ditech Holding Corporation and its affiliates (each, a "Debtor").¹

Claim Hearing Procedures

1. Under the Court's "Case Management Order" (Order Implementing Certain Notice and Case Management Procedures, dated March 19, 2019 (ECF No. 211)), the Court established periodic hearings in these cases and required the Plan Administrator (which term includes the Consumer Claims Trustee), on behalf of the Wind Down Estates, to schedule response deadlines for claims objections to be heard at the hearings the Plan Administrator schedules with the Court.

2. If a claimant properly files and serves a response to an objection, the Plan Administrator will schedule a hearing (a "Claim Hearing") to consider the claim (a "Contested Claim"), the objection, and the response as follows:

19-10412-jlg Doc 387 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 15:16:43 Main Document Pg. 6 of 23
the Debtor, that the Plan Administrator does not intend to introduce evidence in support of the objection, that is, that the Contested Claim should be dismissed under Bankruptcy Rule 7012, the hearing (a "Sufficiency Hearing"), will go forward at the date stated in the Notice Of Hearing to which this Attachment 1 is attached. The legal standard of review that will be applied by the Court at a Sufficiency Hearing will be equivalent to the standard applied by the Court upon a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

(b) If the Plan Administrator instead intends to proceed with an evidentiary hearing on the merits of a Contested Claim (a "Merits Hearing"), the Plan Administrator must serve upon the relevant Claimant, by email or overnight delivery and file with the

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this Attachment 1 shall have the meanings assigned to those terms in the Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Ditech Holding Corporation and Its Affiliated Debtors (the "Third Amended Plan") (ECF No. 1326) or the Order Approving (I) Claims Objection Procedures and (II) Claim Hearing Procedures (the "Order") (ECF No. 1632), as applicable, which are available at <https://dm.epiq11.com/case/ditech>.

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 6 of 15

Court, a notice (a "Notice of Merits Hearing") substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 2 to the Order establishing these Claims Hearing Procedures, at least 30 calendar days before the date of the Merits Hearing. The rules and procedures applicable to a Merits Hearing will be set forth in any scheduling order issued by the Court in connection with the Merits Hearing.

3. Discovery with respect to a Contested Claim will not be permitted until either (i) the Court has held a Sufficiency Hearing and determined that the Contested Claim states a claim that could be allowed and should not be dismissed pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7012 or (ii) the Plan Administrator has served the Claimant a Notice of Merits Hearing with respect to the Contested Claim.

4. The Plan Administrator may file and serve a reply (a "Reply") to a Response no later than 4:00 p.m. EDT on the day that is at least five business days before the date of the hearing.

5. The Plan Administrator, in its sole discretion, may postpone a hearing scheduled in accordance with these Claims Hearing Procedures at any time by providing notice to the Court and the Claimant.

6. The Court will consider appropriate sanctions, including allowance or disallowance of the Contested Claim, if either party does not follow the Claim Hearing Procedures. 2

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 7 of 15

This Objection seeks to disallow and expunge certain Duplicate or Amended Proofs of Claim.

You should locate your name and claims on Exhibit A attached to this Objection. If you do not oppose the disallowance of the duplicate or amended claim, you do not need to file a written response, and you do not need to appear for the hearing. If you oppose the disallowance of your claim, you must file a written response to the

Objection as provided below.

If the Bankruptcy Court disallows your claim you, will not be entitled to any money based on that claim.

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER CLAIMS TRUSTEE AT INFO@DITECH-SETTLEMENT.COM OR 877-783-6297

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1600

Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

Richard Levin

rlevin@jenner.com

Attorneys for the Consumer Claims Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

:

In re : Chapter 11

:

DITECH HOLDING CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 19-10412 (JLG) :

Wind Down Estates.¹ : (Jointly Administered) :

----- X

CONSUMER CLAIM TRUSTEE'S FIFTIETH

OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO PROOFS OF CLAIM

(DUPLICATE OR AMENDED UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS)

¹ The Wind Down Estates, along with the last four digits of each of their federal tax identification number, as applicable, are Ditech Holding Corporation (0486); DF Insurance Agency LLC (6918);

6. The Trustee, with the assistance of her professionals, examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A (the “Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims”), documentation provided with respect to each claim, and, as needed, the Debtors’ respective books and records. Each of these claims is asserted as a general unsecured claim. The Trustee has determined in each case that the proof of claim either duplicates or amends the corresponding claim identified under the heading Surviving Claim on Exhibit A.

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 15:18:43 Main Document Pg. 9 of 23
The Trustee requested that the Duplicate or Amended Consumer Creditor Claims be disallowed. The proposed form of order granting the relief requested is attached as Exhibit B. 8. This Objection does not affect any of the Surviving Claims and does not constitute an admission or acknowledgement by the Trustee that the Surviving Claim should be allowed. The Trustee expressly reserves all rights to object on any other basis to any Surviving Claim and any Duplicate or Amended Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested in this Objection.

THE DUPLICATE OR AMENDED UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS SHOULD BE DISALLOWED

9. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Upon an objection, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity

3 19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 10 of 15
of the claim. See Residential Capital, LLC, 2016 WL 796860, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. 2016); In re Motors Liquidation Co., 2012 WL 1886755, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).

10. The Trustee cannot be required to pay on the same claim more than once. See In re Finley, Kumble, Wagner, Heine, Underberg, Manley, Myerson & Casey, 160 B.R. 882, 892 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1993) (“In bankruptcy, multiple recoveries for an identical injury are generally disallowed.”).

11. Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(1) permits omnibus objections to duplicate claims. 12. Based upon a review of the proofs of claim filed on the Claims Register, the Trustee determined that the Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims either duplicate or amend other claims against the Debtor. Some of the Duplicate Claims are identical to Surviving Claims, while others are substantially similar to Surviving Claims and are based on the same underlying debt. The amended claims are also based on the same underlying debt and are superseded by the claim(s) identified as a Surviving Claim(s). Therefore, the Trustee seeks disallowance of all Claims that are identified in Exhibit A as either duplicative or amended claims against the Debtor. If the Duplicate or Amended Consumer Creditor Claims are not disallowed, then the parties who filed these proofs of claim may potentially receive an improper double recovery to the detriment of the Trust’s beneficiaries.

13. Therefore, to prevent the possibility of multiple recoveries on account of the same liability, and to ensure that the Trust’s beneficiaries are not prejudiced by improper recoveries, the Trustee requests that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety each of the Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims.

SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS.

14. To the extent a claimant files a Response regarding any Duplicate or Amended Consumer Creditor Claim and the Trustee is unable to resolve the Response, the Objection

4 19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 11 of 15
shall constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each Duplicate or Amended Consumer Creditor Claim. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.

15. The rights of the Trustee to (i) file subsequent objections to any Duplicate or Amended Consumer Creditor Claims on any ground to the extent there are surviving claims; (ii) amend, modify, or supplement this Objection including, without limitation, the filing of objections to further amended or newly-filed claims; (iii) seek to disallow or reduce any claim to the extent all or a portion of such claim has been paid; and (iv) settle any claim for less than the asserted amount

NO PRIOR REQUEST.

16. No previous request for the relief sought herein with respect to the Duplicate or Amended Borrower Claims has been made by the Trustee to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE the Trustee respectfully requests entry of the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

DATED: October 18, 2021

/s/ Richard Levin

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 891-1600

Facsimile: (212) 891-1699

Richard Levin

rlevin@jenner.com

Attorneys for Consumer Claims Trustee

5 19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 12 of 15

Exhibit A

Consumer Claims Trustee's Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims)

Duplicate or

Creditor/Address

Clayborn, Terry D

Amended

Claim Number

Surviving

Claim Number

Total

Claim Amount

Chicago Heights, IL 24704 1239 \$1,900,000.00 Clayborn, Terry D

Chicago Heights, IL 24705 1239 \$19,000,000.00 Dixon, Roy Jr.

West Palm Beach, FL 2906 2896 \$303,500.00 Janco, Lisa

Lancaster, CA 2916 2585 \$659,950.00 Manning, Robert

Myrtle Beach, SC 2904 21563, 20458 \$6,000.00 Siva, Sheetalkumar

Concord, CA 2905 2081 \$7,383.40

White, Michelle Southfield, MI	22635	22637	\$105,500.00
-----------------------------------	-------	-------	--------------

1 19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 13 of 15

Exhibit B

Proposed Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

:

In re : Chapter 11

:

DITECH HOLDING CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 19-10412 (JLG) :

Debtors.⁴ : (Jointly Administered) : Related Docket No. -----
----- X

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING CONSUMER CLAIMS TRUSTEE’S FIFTIETH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
TO PROOFS OF CLAIM

(DUPLICATE OR AMENDED UNSECURED CONSUMER CREDITOR CLAIMS)_ The Consumer Claims Trustee by the Consumer Claims Trustee’s Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim (Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims) filed on October 18, 2021 (the “Objection”),⁵ seeks an entry of an order, under section 502(b) of the title 11 of the United States Code, Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court’s order for approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim (the “Claim

Procedures Order”), disallowing the Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims on the basis that such claims either duplicate or

⁴ The Debtors confirmed the Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Ditech Holding Corporation and Its Affiliated Debtors (ECF No. 1326) (the “Third Amended Plan”), which created the Wind Down Estates. Wind Down Estates, along with the last four digits of their federal tax identification number, as applicable, are Ditech Holding Corporation (0486); DF Insurance Agency LLC (6918); Ditech Financial LLC (5868); Green Tree Credit LLC (5864); Green Tree Credit Solutions LLC (1565); Green Tree Insurance Agency of Nevada, Inc. (7331); Green Tree Investment Holdings III LLC (1008); Green Tree Servicing Corp. (3552); Matrix Servicing LLC (6101); Walter Management Holding Company LLC (9818); and Walter Reverse Acquisition LLC (8837). The Wind Down Estates’ principal offices are located at 1100 Virginia Drive, Suite 100, Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034.

⁵ Capitalized terms not otherwise herein defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Objection.

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 14 of 15

amend the Surviving Claims arising from the same underlying debt. It appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; that the relief requested is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §157(b); that venue is proper before this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; that due and proper notice of the Objection has been provided, and that such notice was adequate and appropriate under the circumstances such that no other or further notice need be provided; that the Objection complies with the Claim Procedures set forth in the Claim Procedures Order; the Court finds and determines that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interest of the Debtors’ estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein, and it is:

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Consumer Claims Trustee’s Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim is granted to the extent provided in this Order; ORDERED that, under section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto (collectively, the “Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims”) are disallowed with prejudice;

ORDERED that Epiq Corporate Restructuring, LLC, the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent, is directed to mark the Debtors’ Claims Register to show the Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims listed on Exhibit A to this Order as disallowed and expunged so that such claims are no longer maintained on the Debtors’ Claims Register;

ORDERED that the Trustee is authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order;

ORDERED that notice of the Consumer Claims Trustee’s Fiftieth Omnibus Objection to Proofs of Claim shall be deemed adequate and appropriate notice of such Objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a), the Order Implementing Certain Notice and Case

19-10412-jlg Doc 3737 Filed 10/18/21 Entered 10/18/21 10:48:23 Main Document Pg. 15 of 15

Management Procedures, entered March 19, 2019 (ECF No. 211), the Claims Procedures Order, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of any claim not listed in Exhibit A, and the Trustee and any party in interest's rights to object on any basis is expressly reserved with respect to any such claim not listed on Exhibit A;

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to each of the Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claims identified on Exhibit A, as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014, as if each such Duplicate or Amended Unsecured Consumer Creditor Claim had been individually objected to;

ORDERED that any stay of this Order pending appeal by any claimants whose claims are subject to this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such claim and shall not act to stay the applicability and /or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters listed in the Objection or this Order;

ORDERED that the terms and conditions of this Order are effective immediately upon entry;

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated:_, 2022

New York, New York

COURT DATE ADJOURNED BY TRUSTEE AND ATTORNEYS TO A DATE NOT
DISCLOSEDXX

EXHIBIT B

HONORABLE JAMES L. GARRITY, JR.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Please Take Notice:

The DEBTORS/AFFILIATES, The DEBTORS ATTORNEYS, and DEBTORS (Di-Tech CONSUMER CREDITOR TRUSTEE : Tara Twomey were Served and Notified that: The Debtors/Affiliates Filed 2 Bankruptcy cases in SDNY in "BAD FAITH" after Committing Federal Felony Crimes in California PC 424, "EMBEZZLEMENT" MIS-APPROPRIATION of California Public Funds, Grand Theft, Elder Abuse, Emotional Abuse,(PHYSIOLOGICAL ABUSE,FINANCIAL EXPLOITATION FOR FINANANCIAL

GAIN) These Crimes were Committed in California on a Blind/Dependent Adult, Anytime Crimes are against a Blind/Dependent Adult They are Considered Hate Crimes and are Charged with Special Circumstances in California where the Crimes Occurred

The Debtors Committed Crimes Including PC115,

The Debtors were Under Federal (CFPB) (CID) in Dec14th,2017 for the Crimes Mentioned above IN January 30th 2018, The DEBTORS along with in house Counsel Attorneys Confessed to these Federal Felony Crimes in the State of California in writing under a Federal subpoena (CID) Direct Evidence of the Federal Felony Crimes. In Multiple Federal and State of California CID Investigations The Federal Felony Crimes were Confessed in Writing. The Debtors took the STOLEN EMBEZZLED ASSETS OF MS.JANCO AND (HEIRS)to SDNY Secretly concealed, Omitted, Lying under Oath that the California Public Funding Embezzled Assets that the Debtors Confessed to Federal Investigators were now being Money Laundered through your Courtroom. With full knowledge of the Federal Felony Crimes PC424,Mis-Appropriation of California Public federal and State funds for the Blind, Elderly and Disabled in Ms. Janco's Name @7% Interest Monthly was Stolen and Being used for the Debtors Financial Gain. These Federal Felonies are Mandated Prison Sentences of 4 years in California State Prison and Under the California Constitution the Victims of these Federal Felony Crimes have Mandatory Restitution Ms. Janco, Brandon Janco,(IHSS) Caregiver, Julianna Janco, and (HEIRS) This is a CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT and cannot be taken away from a Bankruptcy Court . The Fact Of the Confessions are also Charged with Tax Fraud/Tax Evasions 2 Bankruptcy cases SDNY case #17-13446 and SDNY CASE # 19-10412. The DEBTORS Committed Federal Bankruptcy fraud /Bankruptcy Crimes . Money Laundering, PC 115 Filing Fraudulent Documents in Los Angeles County of Default after Confessing The Embezzlement, Grand Theft, Larceny, Stolen Assets. After filing SDNY Case # 17-13346 ,receiving a Protective Stay the Debtors came back to California and Committed More Federal Felonies in California and Against the State of California Controllers Office PC 115 to "Deceive and Conceal and Produce fraudulent Documents tied to a financial mean and Confessed in writing in a Los Angeles County Investigation (CID) subpoena Report # Re-18-04346 . Committing Federal Felony Crimes against another State That involves California Government Employees of The California State Controller's Office have severe Federal and California State penalties. Committing 2 Federal Bankruptcy Crimes in 12-14 Months in the Same Court SDNY . The Debtors Lied under oath about committing these before during and after both Bankruptcy Filings to Take "STOLEN ASSETS FROM A BLIND /DEPENDANT ADULT and ask a Federal Judge to put his "WET" SIGNATURE on the Stolen Assets is Appalling, Since the Crimes involved the State of California Jurisdiction belongs to the Federal District Court of California an audit was performed at the State of California Controller's Office and the Crimes revealed . The State of California Controllers office "Witness's Angeles Investigators ,and other witness are needed to testify. The Attorneys and The Consumer Creditor Trustee were notified which is what the

Requirements are for California District Court . Tara Twomey knew of the Crimes against the California State Controller's Office The Debtors Attorneys were served, notified and DECIDED TO KEEP THE FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY CRIMES/FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY FRAUD and Perjury in both of these Bankruptcy cases: The Offense of willfully telling an untruth in a court after having taken an oath or affirmation (LYING UNDER OATH TO COMMIT CRIMES IN OTHER STATES AND AGAINST The State of California Controllers Office) and Federal Crimes Committed in The State of California (and/or) Against the State of California Concealed from this Federal Court. In writing ,filed in this court Tara Twomey was told if any person in this court aids and abet these Bankruptcy Crimes they will be charged as an accessory , That as a Consumer Trustee she needed to refer these crimes out to be prosecuted at the same time as this filing. The Documents were filed into the Court on and with the Exhibit A responses. Police Reports were made, Adult protective Services Contacted the Family is asking the Federal District court along with California APS to get a protective order to stop the 6 years of Emotional Abuse, Phycological Abuse and Financial Abuse to stop so this Family can heal from these Federal Crimes . The DEBTORS ALREADY CONFESSED IN WRITING DIRECT EVIDENCE . The Family is going into Federal District Court To A Protective Order along with Adult Protective Services to Protect Ms. Janco Emergency Hearing Bring Caser back to the proper Jurisdiction for Civil and Criminal Trials and Prosecution. Which is the Constitutional rights of the California Victims.

On November 4, 2008, the People of the State of California approved Proposition 9, the Victims' Bill of Rights act of 2008: Marcy's Law. Marcy's Law significantly expands the rights of victims in California. Under Marcy's Law, the California Constitution article I, § 28, section (b) now provides prosecution without untimely Delays. 14th Amendment United States Constitution.

Cal. Pen. Code § 784.7 -

[Cal. Pen. Code § 784.7 -](#)

<https://casetext.com › part-2-of-criminal-procedure › section>

action 784.7 - Jurisdiction when more than one violation of specified crimes occurs in more than one jurisdictional territory (a) If more than one violation of Crimes

Victims' Bill of Rights

Under the California Constitution :

Marcy's Law significantly expands the rights of victims in California. Under Marcy's Law, the California Constitution article I, § 28, section (b) now provides victims with the following enumerated rights:

1. To be treated with fairness and respect for his or her privacy and dignity, and to be free from intimidation, harassment, and abuse, throughout the criminal or juvenile justice process.
2. To be reasonably protected from the defendant and persons acting on behalf of the defendant.
3. To have the safety of the victim and the victim's family considered in fixing the amount of bail and release conditions for the defendant.
4. To prevent the disclosure of confidential information or records to the defendant, the defendant's attorney, or any other person acting on behalf of the defendant, which could be used to locate or harass the victim or the victim's family or which disclose confidential communications made in the course of medical or counseling treatment, or which are otherwise privileged or confidential by law.
5. To refuse an interview, deposition, or discovery request by the defendant, the defendant's attorney, or any other person acting on behalf of the defendant, and to set reasonable conditions on the conduct of any such interview to which the victim consents.
6. To reasonable notice of and to reasonably confer with the prosecuting agency, upon request, regarding, the arrest of the defendant if known by the prosecutor, the charges filed, the determination whether to extradite the defendant, and, upon request, to be notified of and informed before any pretrial disposition of the case.
7. To reasonable notice of all public proceedings, including delinquency proceedings, upon request, at which the defendant and the prosecutor are entitled to be present and of all parole or other post-conviction release proceedings, and to be present at all such proceedings.
8. To be heard, upon request, at any proceeding, including any delinquency proceeding, involving a post-arrest release decision, plea, sentencing, post-conviction release decision, or any proceeding in which a right of the victim is at issue.
9. To a speedy trial and a prompt and final conclusion of the case and any related post-judgment proceedings.
10. To provide information to a probation department official conducting a pre-sentence investigation concerning the impact of the offense on the victim and the victim's family and any sentencing recommendations before the sentencing of the defendant.
11. To receive, upon request, the pre-sentence report when available to the defendant, except for those portions made confidential by law.

12. To be informed, upon request, of the conviction, sentence, place and time of incarceration, or other disposition of the defendant, the scheduled release date of the defendant, and the release of or the escape by the defendant from custody.

13. To restitution.

- A. It is the unequivocal intention of the People of the State of California that all persons who suffer losses as a result of criminal activity shall have the right to seek and secure restitution from the persons convicted of the crimes causing the losses they suffer.
- B. Restitution shall be ordered from the convicted wrongdoer in every case, regardless of the sentence or disposition imposed, in which a crime victim suffers a loss.
- C. All monetary payments, monies, and property collected from any person who has been ordered to make restitution shall be first applied to pay the amounts ordered as restitution to the victim.

14. To the prompt return of property when no longer needed as evidence.

15. To be informed of all parole procedures, to participate in the parole process, to provide information to the parole authority to be considered before the parole of the offender, and to be notified, upon request, of the parole or other release of the offender.

16. To have the safety of the victim, the victim's family, and the general public considered before any parole or other post-judgment release decision is made.

17. To be informed of the rights enumerated in paragraphs (1) through (16).

Victim Services for California Crime Victims Misdemeanor or Felonies.

- [Victims' Rights Under Marcy's Law](#)
- [Victim Rights: Notification & Participation](#)
- [Request for Criminal Appeal Status](#)
 - Per California SB225
- [Hate Crimes](#)
- [Federal Rights](#)

California prosecution by the crime Unit. (e.g., Penal Code § 529 – False Impersonation; Penal Code § 530.5 – Unauthorized Use of Personal Identifying Information; Penal Code § 532a – False Financial Statements)

1. The conduct potentially involved multiple victims, a ring of more than two persons who conspired to commit offenses on more than one occasion, and multiple counties or states are involved.
2. Actual loss potentially exceeds \$50,000.
3. The perpetrator was a state government employee, targeted state employees, or misappropriated information obtained from state files, databases, or networks.
4. The perpetrator created or used counterfeit or stolen state identification.
5. Local jurisdiction declines prosecution due to technical or resource issues.

Computer / Network Intrusion Crimes

(e.g., Penal Code § 502 violations - Hacking, Denial of Service, Theft of Data)

State investigation or prosecution should be considered when any of the following factors potentially applies:

1. An intrusion causes “significant” damage (e.g., loss of more than \$50,000, impairment of records, threat to health and safety, actual physical injury).
2. An intrusion causes a “significant” breach of confidentiality (e.g., the value of the information was greater than \$50,000, the intrusion was for the purpose of commercial gain, or the intrusion was for some other criminal purpose).
3. An intrusion involves a state government computer system.
4. Local jurisdiction declines prosecution due to technical or resource issues.

Intellectual Property Crimes

(e.g., Penal Code § 499c – Theft of Trade Secrets; Penal Code § 351a – Counterfeit Goods; Penal Code § 653w – Selling Pirated Sound Recordings or Audiovisual Works)

State investigation or prosecution should be considered when any of the following factors potentially applies:

1. The conduct potentially involved multiple victims, a ring of more than two persons who conspired to commit offenses on more than one occasion, and multiple counties or states are involved.

2. The target engages in large-scale operations (e.g., the holder of the intellectual property has potentially suffered a loss greater than \$50,000).
3. The infringing or counterfeit goods or services present potential health or safety issues in multiple counties (e.g., counterfeit medications).
4. The state, one of its agencies, or a state program is a victim of the crime (e.g., Penal Code § 483.5 - Counterfeit of a Public Seal).

5. Internet Fraud

(e.g., Penal Code § 535 - Internet Auction Fraud; Penal Code § 487 – Grand Theft)

State investigation or prosecution should be considered when any of the following factors potentially applies:

1. The actual loss is over \$50,000.
2. The fraud or theft is from the state government, one of its agencies, or one of its programs.
3. The conduct potentially involved multiple victims, a ring of more than two persons who conspired to commit offenses on more than one occasion, and multiple counties or states are involved.
4. Local jurisdiction declines prosecution due to technical or resource issues.

Computer Component Theft & Theft of Other Technology Services

(e.g., Penal Code § 211 - Robbery; Penal Code § 502.8 - Telecommunications Fraud; Penal Code § 593d/593e – Satellite or Cable Service Fraud)

State investigation or prosecution should be considered when any of the following factors potentially applies:

1. The actual loss is over \$50,000.
2. The theft is from the state government, one of its agencies, or one of its programs.
3. The conduct potentially involved multiple victims, a ring of more than two persons who conspired to commit offenses on more than one occasion, and multiple counties or states are involved.

SDNY CASE #17-13446 and SDNY CASE \$19-10412 The Debtors/affiliates while under Investigation for PC 424 for The State Controller's office Loan #1001167 Presented The State of California Controller's Office PPT Program hired their Vendor "The Mortgage Law Firm" to

Present Fraudulent ,Manufactured Foreclosure Documents filed in the County of Los Angeles while under Los Angeles County Investigation for PC424 Embezzling the PPT Program Loan funds in the claimants name (Lisa Janco) after confessions to the PC 424 ,PC 115 to (CID)Government Office

The State of California Controller's Employees of the SCO PPT Program to gain Illegal access to the State of California PPT Program PPT Account SCO #1001167 while under a LOS ANGELES COUNTY (CID) for PC 424, EMBEZZLE OF THE PPT PROGRAMS PUBLIC FUNDING, PC 115 Filing Fraudulent Documents under Penalty of Perjury knowing the Documents are tied to a Financial Means (Ms. Janco's House) The DEBTORS AND HIRED VENDORS "THE MORTGAGE LAW FIRM" as Confessed in The Los Angeles Investigation (CID) LA COUNTY # FILE RE 18—04346 Dated July 23,2018 Via Email Cjovellanos@dcba.lacounty.gov Los Angeles Consumer and Business Affairs. Attention:Chelo Jovellanos, INVESTIGATOR of LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM B-96, LOS ANGELES CA.90012 The Debtors Confessed they Hired their Vendor "The Mortgage Law Firm" To Contact the State of California's Controller's Office Twice. The first time Concealing the PC424, PC115 Filing Fraudulent Declarations of "DEFAULT" in which both parties knew to be false and thus the Investigation described above. The Call was to illegally gain access to a California Controller's Office Loan SCO Account #1001167 IN Which the DEFENDANTS CONFESSED TO EMBEZZLELING and were under INVESTIGATION for PC424,PC 115, Mis preprooperating of Government Public funding that was Stolen ,Embezzled in March of 2017, Kept ,used for the DEBTORS personal gain, refusing to give it back to the Claimant Lisa Janco, Signor of the public funding at 7 % Interest monthly. The Criminals asked for the account SCO 1001167 Payoff to do criminal acts. As stated on Page 2 of the report Concealing, Omitting, and Lying to the State of California (first attempt failed)Controller's Office a Government Official Office to commit Federal Felonies while under Federal and State of California Subpoena Investigation/Presenting Fraudulent Manufactured, False Documents to an Official Government Office through Wire Electronic Means with intent to commit further crimes against Ms. Janco January 30,2019 11 Days before filing the 2nd Bankruptcy case in Bad Faith in SDNY Case # 19-10412 with Embezzled assets of Ms. Janco to Money Launder an audit was performed at the State Controller's Office in California.

The Debtors use the mail (MAIL FRAUD) to have all Loan Pay Off and Lien Release sent to another address besides Ms. Janco Address (and/Or) The Los Angeles Investigator (CHELO) that was investigating PC 424, Embezzlement, Larceny, Filing fraudulent Mortgage Default Documents in :Los Angeles County is a "Class D" Federal Felony (14 years in Prison) PC 115 "Tied "to a financial means (Ms. Janco's House) Illegally using white out on the Original Deed of Trust while under Federal and State Investigations for Financial Exploitation for financial gain on a Blind /Dependent adult for trying to replace/Modify The Blind/Dependent adults First Deed of Trust in Which the (DEBTORS Confessed to doing in the Federal CFPB (CID) Case #170214-

2708093 in January 30,2018. Confessing to the crimes in writing The Debtors/affiliates filed a Bad faith (knowing/with knowledge of PC 424 /Federal Crimes Committed in California) Intended to Money Launder the Stolen Assets in the SDNY FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY COURT) After filing the SDNY Bankruptcy case#17-13446,receiving a Blanket "Stay" of Protection in SDNY The DEBTORS came back to California and Committed More Federal Felonies against a Blind/Dependent Adult with intent to cause harm and Malice, Emotional Abuse, Phycological abuse, Financial Abuse which turned to Medical Physical ailments due to the abuse, Mocking the crimes in Writing that were being Committed, Elder abuse, Hate Crime against a Blind/Dependent Adult, laughing about it being 6 years Put a fraudulent Foreclosure on Ms. Janco's Credit in Retaliation so that the Family could not access Housing (knowing that Ms. Janco sold the house 1 day before auction to stop the painful abuse of the Debtors) while still in possession of PC 424 Stolen Ca. Gov. Public funding Loan#1001167 and other stolen Assets. SDNY CASE # 17-13446 Doc 193 Filed on 02/09/18 after confessing to the federal felony crimes committed in The State of California PC 424 (No Statute of Limitations) Filed Entered 02/09/2018at time 13:13:34 Main Document 1 of 6 (EFC No.172) (The "Order") (EFC No. 169) The Last 4 digits of the Debtor's Federal Tax Identification numbers are (0486)

2 Police Reports were filed, The suicide hotline reported the ELDER/ABUSE BY THE DEBTOR to Adult Protective services of Los Angeles County open case/ Pending California District Court. Relief Sought By the SDNY Court Throw Out these Bankruptcy cases for Cause of Crimes in California, Lift the stay of Protection and Refer This Criminal Case to the Proper Jurisdiction in the Proper California Court. A Victim of Federal Crimes has a Constitutional right to Restitution and a Right to ask the District Court to Stop/Halt these Proceedings/ freeze until the Civil and Criminal case is closed in California due to the Confessions (Direct Evidence of Guilt)All Evidence with Confessions are filed into this Court Again all records of these 2 Bankruptcy Crimes SDNY Case # 17-13446 and SDNY Case #19-10412 as Previously told to Attorneys and Consumer Creditor Trustee Tara Twomey filed previously the Fact these Attorneys and Trustee are still concealing these Federal Bankruptcy Crimes from the Honorable Judge James Garrity Jr. and trying to Erase Records and Servers are Appalling to say the least more criminal action should be taken on behalf of this Federal Court (For Disrespecting the Bankruptcy Codes and this Judicial Proceeding)are to be Preserved for the Federal and State Government s in both cases with Stays of Protections from this court The Debtors continued to commit Federal Crimes against the State of California forcing this courts hand to take action in the name of Justice. The Debtors Attorney Did Not Provide enough Time to Call Court Solutions for the Participation in the Hearing (PUT ON RECORD) ALL MATERIALS THAT HAVE BEEN IN FONT LESS THAN !\$-16 Arial Black (ADA) will not stand in the California District Court .Federal Crimes are prosecuted California No Statue of Limitations PC 424 PC115. California Penal Code 368 PC defines elder abuse as the physical or emotional abuse, neglect, or financial exploitation of a victim 65 years of age or older or Dependent Adult. The offense can be prosecuted as a misdemeanor or a felony, and is

punishable by up to 4 years of jail or prison. Ms. Janco Is Asking for an Emergency Hearing with proper time and Telephonic Hearing with Orders from this Honorable Judge Garrity. The California District Court Hearing will follow .(Adult Protective Services of Los Angeles along with IHSS CAREGIVER WILL File FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND DISABILITY ADVOCATE PER FEDERAL LAW.)Personal Injury torts for Malious Injury with intent to harm with deliberate Federal Crimes against the Victims Lisa Janco, Brandon Janco, Julianna Janco and (HEIRS) The California Victims have Mandatory Constitutional rights Under the California Constitution with Mandatory Restitution for all Crimes Committed in California.

Relief Sought

Motion to Terminate, Annul, or Modify the Automatic Stay for Cause of Crimes in California .Document for the California District Court of California OBJECTIONS TO THESE DISCHARGES OF SDNYCASE # 17-13446 and SDNY CASE #19-10412 For FEDERAL FELONY CRIMES<BANKRUPTCY CRIMES<AND BANKRUPCY FRAUD< EMBEZZLED CALIFORNIA PUBLIC FUNDING<TAX FRAUD ?TAX EVASION<STOLEN ASSETS (CONFESSED)TRYING TO MONEY LAUNDER the STOLEN ASSETS and sale for 1.2 BILLION DOLLARS to profit on the STOLEN ASSETS (CONFESSED)in California Seeking Relief and Remand to Proper Jurisdiction in California District Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; that the relief requested is a NON -core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §157(b); that this venue is not proper before this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; that due and proper notice of the Objection has been provided, and that such notice was adequate and appropriate under the circumstances such that no other or further notice need be provided; that the Objection complies with the Claim Procedures set forth in the Claim Procedures Order; the Court finds and determines that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interest of the Debtors' estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein, and it is just and proper. In the District Court where the Crimes arose.(Perpetrated on the Victims)

Seeking g entry of an order

/s/ Lisa Janco Pro Se

Signed on November 8th,2022

