

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)	
PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other <p>FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property <p>FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) <p>FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) <p>FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <p style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</p> </div> <div style="width: 48%;"> <p>FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other <p>FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other <p>FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest <p>FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment <p>FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action</p> <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause <p>Other</p> <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case) </div> </div>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	
Other Relief Sought		

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an “estate” under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor’s discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court’s Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff’s attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
BRIDGEPORT DIVISION**

In re:

Chapter 11

HO WAN KWOK, *et al.*,¹

Case No. 22-50073 (JAM)

Debtors.

(Jointly Administered)

LUC A. DESPINS, CHAPTER 11
TRUSTEE,

Adv. Proceeding No. [_____]

Plaintiff,

v.

February 11, 2024

SHALOM B. LLC d/b/a ASHER FABRIC
CONCEPTS,

Defendant.

**COMPLAINT OF CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE SEEKING AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY
OF FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS AND RELATED RELIEF PURSUANT TO
BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTIONS 544, 548, AND 550 AND NEW YORK DEBTOR &
CREDITOR LAW SECTIONS 273, 274, AND 276**

Luc A. Despins, in his capacity as the chapter 11 trustee (the “Trustee”) appointed in the chapter 11 case (the “Chapter 11 Case”) of Ho Wan Kwok (the “Debtor” or “Kwok”), files this

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases are Ho Wan Kwok (also known as Guo Wengui, Miles Guo, and Miles Kwok, as well as numerous other aliases) (last four digits of tax identification number: 9595), Genever Holdings LLC (last four digits of tax identification number: 8202) and Genever Holdings Corporation. The mailing address for the Trustee, Genever Holdings LLC, and the Genever Holdings Corporation is Paul Hastings LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166 c/o Luc A. Despins, as Trustee for the Estate of Ho Wan Kwok (solely for purposes of notices and communications).

adversary complaint (the “Complaint”) against defendant Shalom B. LLC d/b/a Asher Fabric Concepts (the “Defendant”), stating as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. The Trustee commences this adversary proceeding to avoid and recover transfers of the Debtor’s property prior to the commencement of this Chapter 11 Case.

2. The Debtor, through his alter ego shell company, G Fashion (“G Fashion”), transferred funds in the amount of \$299,003.10 prior to the Petition Date (as defined herein) to the Defendant as initial transferee.

3. These transfers were actually fraudulent, because the Debtor effectuated them as part of his “shell game,” and they were made with the intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud the Debtor’s creditors.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1334(b).

5. This adversary proceeding has been referred to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §157(a).

6. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein include sections 544, 548 and 550 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and New York Debtor & Creditor Law sections 273, 274, and 276 ² or any other applicable state law equivalents.

² All statutory references to New York Debtor and Creditor Law will hereinafter be referred to as “N.Y. Debt. & Cred. Law”. The New York Uniform Voidable Transactions Act (“NYUVT”) replaced the New York Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act (“NYUFC”) and became effective on April 4, 2020 and applies to transfers made or obligations incurred on or after April 4, 2020. With regards to transfers prior to April 4, 2020, the Trustee seeks relief in this action under the NYUFC and N.Y. Debt. & Cred. Law sections 273, 273a, 275 and 278.

THE PARTIES

7. The Trustee is the chapter 11 trustee in the Chapter 11 Case pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court's order entered on July 8, 2022 [Case No. 22-50073 (the "Main Case") Docket No. 523].

8. The Defendant is a California limited liability company with an address at 950 S. Boyle Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90023.

FACTS

A. Chapter 11 Case

9. The Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") on February 15, 2022 (the "Petition Date") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut (the "Court" or "Bankruptcy Court"), thus commencing the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Chapter 11 Case").

10. On March 21, 2022, the Office of the United States Trustee appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in this Chapter 11 Case. No examiner has been appointed.

11. The Debtor's bankruptcy schedules, filed in March 2022 with the Bankruptcy Court, claimed that he owned \$3,850 in assets and had incurred hundreds of millions of dollars of liabilities.³ While the Debtor was, indeed, deeply indebted, his schedules failed to disclose the Debtor's property as held and transferred through his many alter-ego shell companies, including G Fashion.

12. On June 15, 2022, the Court entered a memorandum of decision and order [Main Case Docket No. 465] directing the United States Trustee to appoint a chapter 11 trustee in the

³ Schedule of Assets and Liabilities, at 1 (Main Case, Docket No. 78).

Chapter 11 Case. Pursuant to this order, the United States Trustee selected Luc A. Despins as the Trustee. On July 8, 2022, the Court entered an order granting the appointment of Luc A. Despins as the Trustee in the Chapter 11 Case [Main Case Docket No. 523].

B. Debtor's Use of Shell Companies and Trustee's Adversary Proceedings

13. G Fashion is among the numerous alter-ego shell companies used by the Debtor to hinder, delay and/or defraud his creditors.⁴ For years, the Debtor has orchestrated a “shell game,” employing a convoluted web of shell companies, nominally owned by family members and/or known subordinates, but in reality, controlled by the Debtor. This shell game enabled the Debtor to use and spend and/or transfer millions of dollars of cash and other assets while concealing his property from creditors.

14. Since his appointment, the Trustee has commenced a number of adversary proceedings in the Chapter 11 Case to, among other things, establish that the Debtor's shell companies were his alter-egos and/or that such companies and their assets were equitably owned by the Debtor and in several the Bankruptcy Court has already granted such relief.⁵

15. The fact patterns in these litigations consistently involve: (i) Debtor-controlled shell companies held by close family members and/or subordinates, (ii) assets purchased with cash from

⁴ The Trustee has or will imminently commence an adversary proceeding against G Fashion, which adversary proceeding seeks, among other things, a declaratory judgment that G Fashion was the alter ego of the Debtor. The Trustee incorporates by reference herein, the Trustee's Complaint filed in such adversary proceeding.

⁵ These include the following adversary proceedings: (i) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. HK International Funds Investments (USA) Limited, LLC, et al.* [Adv. Proc. No. 22-05003]; (ii) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. Greenwich Land LLC, et al.* [Adv. Proc. No. 23-05005]; (iii) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. Mei Guo* [Adv. Proc. No. 23-05008]; (iv) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. HCHK Technologies, Inc., et al.* [Adv. Proc. No. 23-05013]; (v) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. Taurus Fund LLC, et al.* [Adv. Proc. No. 23-05017]; (vi) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. Golden Spring (New York) Limited* [Adv. Proc. 23-05018]; and (vii) *Luc A. Despins, Chapter 11 Trustee v. Lamp Capital LLC, et al.* [Adv. Proc. 23-05023].

other Debtor-controlled entities and/or transferred to and among shell entities for no consideration, and (iii) the Debtor benefiting from and having control and dominion over such assets.

C. Debtor's Conduct to Hinder, Delay and/or Defraud Creditors

16. In addition to the matters addressed in the Trustee's adversary proceedings, the Bankruptcy Court has found that the Debtor was the beneficial owner of Ace Decade Limited (and through it, Dawn State Limited) and that the Debtor controlled and employed Ace Decade Limited's nominee shareholder, Yvette Wang.⁶

17. The Bankruptcy Court has also found that the "G Entities" (Gettr, G Fashion, G Music, GClubs, GNews and GEdu), the New Federal State of China and the Rule of Law Foundation, as well as other purportedly independent entities and organizations, were controlled by the Debtor⁷, led by the Debtor⁸ and/or served "the purposes of... and as business vehicles of" the Debtor.⁹

18. Prior to the Petition Date, Judge Liman of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York found that Eastern Profit Corporation Limited, an entity originally owned by one of Debtor's chauffeurs before its transfer to Debtor's daughter, was "in essence, a shell corporation" for the Debtor.¹⁰

⁶ *Order Granting Motion to Hold Debtor in Contempt of Corporate Governance Order*, para.1, 4 (Jan. 24, 2023, Docket No. 1372 ("Corp. Governance Contempt Order").

⁷ *Corrected Memorandum of Decision Granting in Part Motion for Preliminary Injunction*, para. 3 (Adv. Proc. No. 22-05032, January 13, 2023 ("The Debtor also controls Saraca Media Group and a related entity 'GTV'").

⁸ *Id.* at para. 7 ("The Debtor is the leader of The Whistleblower Movement, NFSC, ROLF and Himalaya.").

⁹ *Id.* ("The Whistleblower Movement, NFSC, ROLF, GSeries, and Himalaya serve the purposes of the Debtor, serve as business vehicles for the Debtor, and their members are personally loyal to the Debtor.").

¹⁰ *Eastern Profit Corp. Ltd. v. Strategic Vision US LLC*, No. 18-CV-2185 (LJL) 2021 WL 2554631, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. June 22, 2021).

19. The Debtor has gone to great lengths to hinder and delay the collection efforts of one of his largest creditors, *i.e.*, Pacific Alliance Asia Opportunity Fund, L.P. (“PAX”). In 2017, PAX sued the Debtor in the Supreme Court of the State of New York (the “New York Court”), Index No. 652077/2017 (the “PAX Case”), seeking judgment for monies owed. On February 3, 2021, PAX obtained a judgment from the New York Court against the Debtor in the sum of \$116,402,019.57 (the “PAX Judgment”). PAX sought to enforce the PAX Judgment by levying the Debtor’s assets—including the Lady May, which the Debtor falsely claimed was owned by his daughter, and the Debtor’s luxury apartment in Manhattan, which the Debtor falsely claimed was held in trust for the Debtor’s son. The Debtor’s defiance of New York Court orders in post-judgment proceedings eventually resulted in the Debtor incurring contempt penalties of \$134 million.

20. The Debtor also used his alter egos, including G Fashion, as personal piggy-banks, funding the lavish lifestyle to which he and his family had become accustomed, while concealing assets in these alter egos from his creditors and hindering and delaying creditors from recoveries on their claims. The transfers that the Debtor effectuated through these alter egos perpetuated the Debtor’s fraudulent scheme.

21. The Debtor has testified before the Bankruptcy Court that he has insufficient assets to pay his liabilities and that his luxurious lifestyle is funded by his family through businesses nominally held by family members.¹¹ The Debtor scheduled only \$3,850 in assets.¹²

¹¹ Hearing on Debtor’s Motion for Entry of Interim and Final DIP Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtor to Obtain Unsecured, Subordinated Postpetition Financing and (II) Scheduling Interim and Final Hearings, and (III) Granting Related Relief (Main Case, April 27, 2022)

¹² See n.3.

22. In March 2023, the Debtor was arrested and remains confined pending his on federal criminal charges of, among other things, wire fraud, securities fraud, and money laundering.

23. In its criminal indictment of the Debtor, the United States Government asserts that the Debtor and his co-defendants “utilized more than approximately 500 accounts held in the names of *at least 80 different entities or individuals* to launder more than \$1 billion in fraud proceeds.”¹³

24. The property of the Debtor’s alter egos, including G Fashion, was at all times prior to the Petition Date property of the Debtor.

25. At all times relevant herein, the Debtor conducted business in New York, New York, including direction of the Transfers(as defined herein).

D. Transfers Made with Intent to Hinder, Delay, or Defraud Creditors

26. The Transfers at issue in this Complaint were made with the intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud the Debtor’s creditors inasmuch as they were all made through the Debtor’s alter-ego shell companies operated as a part of the Debtor’s shell game.

27. The Debtor intentionally orchestrated his financial affairs in this manner in order to conceal and dissipate millions of dollars in assets while falsely pleading poverty to his many creditors. The Debtor claimed to be destitute while simultaneously spending heavily to maintain a billionaire lifestyle for himself and for his family members and close associates.

¹³ See Memorandum of Law of the United States of America in Opposition to Defendant Yanping Wang’s Motion for Pretrial Release at 29, attached as Exhibit B in the Reply of Chapter 11 Trustee to G Club Operations LLC’s Supplemental Objection to Trustee’s Motion to Compel and Request for Related Relief (June 23, 2023, Main Case Docket No. 1934).

28. The assets concealed and dissipated by the Debtor included funds that he obtained from the victims of his cryptocurrency, securities, and other fraud schemes. As a result of this conduct, the Debtor faces multiple felony fraud, money laundering, and racketeering charges in a criminal case pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. *See United States v. Ho Wan Kwok*, case no. 1:23-cr-00118-AT [ECF No. 215] (Jan. 3, 2024 S.D.N.Y.).

29. The Debtor knew and intended that by concealing and dissipating his assets in this manner there would not be sufficient assets remaining to repay his creditors—including the many victims of his frauds. These transfers were thus necessarily made with the intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud the Debtor’s creditors.

E. Transfers to the Defendant

30. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtor, through shell companies including G Fashion, or otherwise, transferred the Debtor’s property to the Defendant (collectively, the “Transfers”), including, without limitation, as follows:

See **SCHEDULE A** attached hereto and made a part hereof.

31. At the time of the Transfers, the Debtor had outstanding obligations to creditors of the Debtor’s estate.

32. The Defendant was the initial transferee of the Transfers.

FIRST CLAIM

**(Claim to Avoid and Recover Actual Fraudulent Transfers Pursuant to
Bankruptcy Code §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550(a))**

33. The Trustee repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-32 as if fully set forth herein.

34. The Transfers were made by the Debtor through his alter ego, G Fashion, with the actual intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud his creditors.

35. The Debtor's intent to hinder, delay, or and/or defraud his creditors in effectuating the Transfers is shown by, among other things:

- a. The Transfers were effectuated using an alter-ego shell company;
- b. The Transfers were concealed from the Debtor's creditors;
- c. The Transfers were effectuated as part of the Debtor's "shell game" with the aid of the Debtor's family members and other lieutenants and subordinates that he controlled in an effort to conceal and shield assets;
- d. The Transfers furthered the Debtor's fraudulent conduct by enabling the Debtor to benefit from his fraud without subjecting his property to the reach of his creditors;
- e. The Transfers furthered the Debtor's fraudulent conduct by enabling the Debtor to profit from his fraud without subjecting his property to the reach of his creditors;
- f. At the time of the Transfers, the Debtor was being sued, including by PAX in the PAX Case; and
- g. At the time of the Transfers, the Debtor was insolvent or undercapitalized.

36. The Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee pursuant to Section 548(a)(i)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code and are recoverable pursuant to Section 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

SECOND CLAIM

(Claim to Avoid and Recover Actual Fraudulent Transfers pursuant to N.Y. Debt. & Cred. Law sections 273, 274, and 276, and Bankruptcy Code sections 544(b) and 550(a))

37. The Trustee repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-32 as if fully set forth herein.

38. The Transfers were made by the Debtor, through his alter ego company, G Fashion, with the actual intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud his creditors.

39. The Debtor's intent to hinder, delay, and/or defraud his creditors in effectuating the Transfers is shown by, among other things:

- a. The Transfers were effectuated using an alter-ego shell company;
- b. The Transfers were concealed from the Debtor's creditors;
- c. The Transfers were effectuated as part of the Debtor's "shell game" with the aid of the Debtor's family members and other lieutenants and subordinates that he controlled in an effort to conceal and shield assets;
- d. The Transfers furthered the Debtor's fraudulent conduct by enabling the Debtor to benefit from his fraud without subjecting his property to the reach of his creditors;
- e. The Transfers furthered the Debtor's fraudulent conduct by enabling the Debtor to profit from his fraud without subjecting his property to the reach of his creditors;
- f. At the time of the Transfers, the Debtor was being sued, including by PAX in the PAX Case; and
- g. At the time of the Transfers, the Debtor was insolvent or undercapitalized.

40. The Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee pursuant to N.Y. Debt. & Cred. Law sections 273(a)(1), 274, and 276, and section 544(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and recoverable pursuant to section 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

[THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK.]

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that judgment be entered as follows:

1. On the First Claim, (a) an order declaring that the Transfers in an amount not less than \$299,003.10 are avoided pursuant to section 548(a)(1)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code; (b) an order directing that the Transfers be set aside; and (c) recovery of the Transfers or the value thereof at the Trustee's option, from the Defendant for the benefit of the Debtor's estate pursuant to section 550(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code;

2. On the Second Claim, (a) an order declaring that the Transfers in an amount not less than \$299,003.10 are avoided pursuant to section 544(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and N.Y. Debt. & Cred. Law sections 273(a)(2), 274 and 276; (b) an order directing that the Transfers be set aside; and (c) recovery of the Transfers, or the value thereof at the Trustee's option, from the Defendant for the benefit of the Debtor's estate pursuant to N.Y. Debt & Cred. Law section 276 and section 550(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code;

3. Awarding the Trustee pre-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate from the date of the filing of this Complaint to the date of judgment herein; and

4. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, proper, or equitable under the circumstances.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Dated: February 11, 2024
New Haven, CT

LUC A. DESPINS
CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE

By: /s/ Douglas Skalka
Douglas S. Skalka (ct00616)
Patrick R. Linsey (ct29437)
NEUBERT, PEPE & MONTEITH, P.C.
195 Church Street, 13th Floor
New Haven, Connecticut 06510
(203) 781-2847
dskalka@npmlaw.com
plinsey@npmlaw.com
Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee

SCHEDULE A

ID #	Account Holder	Date	Amount
1	G Fashion	11/13/2020	\$ (127,500.00)
2	G Fashion	2/10/2021	(51,841.50)
3	G Fashion	2/26/2021	(20,744.25)
4	G Fashion	3/25/2021	(29,605.50)
5	G Fashion	4/8/2021	(18,075.93)
6	G Fashion	4/28/2021	(16,694.75)
7	G Fashion	5/20/2021	(34,541.17)
Total - Payments to Shalom B LLC dba Asher Fabric Concepts			\$ (299,003.10)